

SECTION I: DEMOGRAPHICS


Question 1:

What is your **PRIMARY** professional role.

Total Responses: 1692

Overall Average: 4.6

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Medical Student	0	0%
Fellow	143	8.5%
Research (includes Basic Sc., Clin., Health Services, and Transl.)	84	5%
Clinical Practice	199	11.8%
Faculty	1195	70.6%
Resident	29	1.7%
Other	42	2.5%




Question 2:
Faculty

Total Responses: 1195

Overall Average: 3.13

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Emeritus	12	1%
Professor	352	29.5%
Associate Professor	383	32.1%
Assistant Professor	408	34.1%
Adjunct	14	1.2%
Clinical Researcher	5	0.4%
Administration (includes Dean/Department Chair, Division Chief, Institute or Program Director)	21	1.8%



Question 3:
Professional Role - Other

Total Responses: 42

**Overall
Average:**
n/a

Answer

- Psychotherapist
- Project Manager
- Administration/CMO - still practicing neonatology
- Clinical Nurse Specialist Asthma and Adolescent Transition
- Retired from clinical practice
- UTILIZATION REVIEW MEDICAL DIRECTOR FOR AN INSURANCE COMPANY
- MD-PhD Student
- Nurse Practitioner
- APP (Nurse Practitioner) - Nephrology
- CMO
- RN
- Respiratory Care Practitioner
- Policy
- education/administration
- Education & Training
- Retired physician researcher
- public health professional
- Regional Medical Director, Natera
- Clinical Supervisor Respiratory Therapy
- RRT/NPS
- Research
- I am attending PAS representing the Lactation Team at Einstein Medical Center. I am also currently a fulltime master's student in the University of Pennsylvania School of Nursing Psychiatric Mental Health Nurse Practitioner Program
- Administrative Role, American Board of Pediatrics
- Nurse Practitioner

- Registered Dietitian, Vendor for Mead Johnson Nutrition
- Undergraduate researcher working as an intern for the Cohens Childrens Medical Center Pediatric nephrology Department
- Program Coordinator / Teacher
- Research Intern and High School Student
- Clinical Effectiveness Officer
- Administration
- RRT
- DNP APRN
- Medical Science Liaison
- Attending physician
- President & CEO, American Board of Pediatrics
- Nurse Practitioner
- Dean & CEO
- administrator
- Nurse Educator
- Pediatric Hospice Medical Director, Former PICU attending
- Medical Director
- Scientist
- Retired Neonatologist
- Industry


1649 empty responses

Question 4:

Specialty/Area of Focus

Total Responses: 1692**Overall Average:** 15.71

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Adolescent Medicine	31	1.8%
Allergy/Immunology	9	0.5%
Cardiology	19	1.1%
Child Abuse Pediatrics	9	0.5%
Complex Care	24	1.4%
Critical Care	49	2.9%
Developmental-Behavioral Pediatrics	43	2.5%
Developmental Biology	1	0.1%
Emergency Medicine	154	9.1%
Endocrinology	11	0.7%
Ethics/Bioethics	4	0.2%
Gastroenterology/Transplant Hepatology	11	0.7%
General Pediatrics	329	19.4%
Global Health	5	0.3%
Hematology/Oncology	2	0.1%
Hospital Medicine	189	11.2%
Hospice and Palliative Medicine	5	0.3%
Infectious Disease	40	2.4%
Medical Toxicology	1	0.1%
Neonatal-Perinatal Medicine	549	32.4%
Nephrology	108	6.4%
Neurology	23	1.4%
Pharmacology	2	0.1%
Public Health	14	0.8%
Pulmonology	10	0.6%
Rheumatology	2	0.1%
Sleep Medicine	1	0.1%
Sports Medicine	2	0.1%
Other	45	2.7%



Question 5:

Specialty / Area of Focus - Other

Total Responses: 45

**Overall
Average:**
n/a

Answer

- Urgent Care
- Behavioral health
- Urgent Care (within ED)
- obesity and nutrition
- Child & Adolescent Psychiatry
- Otolaryngology; pediatric tracheostomy
- Child and Adolescent Psychiatry
- Child Psychiatry
- Environmental Medicine
- child and adolescent psychiatry
- Pediatric pulmonary pathology
- Health Services Research
- Nutrition/Obesity medicine
- Urgent Care
- medical education
- Family Physician
- Obesity Medicine
- med-peds primary care
- simulation
- Medical Genetics
- Anesthesiology
- Child Psychiatry
- Research Education
- Developmental Behavioral Pediatrics/ Integrative Pediatrics
- While my training is pediatric critical care, my work now is in administration with focus on pediatrics as part of a health system
- General medical practice
- Pediatric Emergency Medicine and Child Abuse Pediatrics

- Urgent Care Medicine
- Anesthesiology
- Gen peds and Population health
- Pediatric Urgent Care
- Anesthesiology
- Community Pediatrics
- Obesity
- Breastfeeding and Lactation Medicine
- Pediatric Rehabilitation Medicine
- General Pediatrics
- internal medicine
- Public Health
- Newborn/Hospitalist Care/Child Abuse
- Autonomic Medicine
- Genetics
- Child and Adolescent Psychiatry
- Medical Genetics
- Rehabilitation Medicine

1648 empty responses


Question 6:

Gender

Total Responses: 1693

Overall Average: 2.43

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Agender	2	0.1%
Female	1095	64.7%
Male	562	33.2%
Non-binary	1	0.1%
Other	2	0.1%
Transgender	1	0.1%
Prefer not to respond	30	1.8%




Question 7:
Ethnicity

Total Responses: 1698

Overall Average: 1.99

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Hispanic or Latino	128	7.5%
Not Hispanic or Latino	1459	85.9%
Prefer not to respond	111	6.5%




Question 8:

Race

Total Responses: 1736

Overall Average: 4.36

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	0.3%
Asian	346	19.9%
Black or African American	94	5.4%
Native Hawaiian / other Pacific Islander	2	0.1%
White	1154	66.5%
Prefer not to respond	134	7.7%



Overall Activity


Question 9:

What were YOUR TOP THREE (3) GOALS at the PAS 2022 Meeting?

Total Responses: 5489

Overall Average:
5.62

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Learn pediatric research within my specialty	1060	19.3%
Learn pediatric research outside my specialty	158	2.9%
Present my own/my team's work	910	16.6%
Gather feedback on my own/my team's work	238	4.3%
Support my mentee or institutional colleagues	512	9.3%
Network with colleagues within my specialty	1034	18.8%
Network with colleagues outside my specialty	208	3.8%
Develop professional skills (ex: research, grant writing, or networking)	310	5.6%
Learn about new products or services relevant to my work	78	1.4%
Make career contacts for a future job search	73	1.3%
Obtain Continuing Medical Education (CME) credits	251	4.6%
Fulfill Maintenance of Certification (MOC) requirements	58	1.1%
Learn about new methods and technologies	198	3.6%
Feel a part of the academic pediatrics or pediatrics research community	382	7%
Other	19	0.3%



Question 10:

Other goal(s)

Total Responses: 19

**Overall
Average:**
n/a

Answer

- Learn more about academic medicine
- Help form relationship between AACAP and PAS
- Observe/evaluate/contribute to aspects of the meeting as a PAS Board of Directors member
- Spark new ideas or thoughts
- Reconnect with colleagues
- Increase newborn care knowledge
- Role as co-chair of pediatric advocacy committee for SPR and member of the Pediatric Policy Council
- Participate in Educational Scholars Program as a National Advisor
- Get updated clinical approaches and better understand how other units are practicing
- Engage as faculty in the APA QGIS program
- learn teaching skills and techniques
- Invited to participate in a session
- learn more about DEI efforts at other institutions
- experience the meeting and organization
- learn about the DEI work that colleagues are participating in nationally to gain ideas to apply locally and to build networks within that area
- Develop academic advocacy skills
- Get inspired for new ideas and academic projects
- Attend workshops of interest for personal and professional development
- learn new skills and be a part of the medical education/med ed research community

1674 empty responses

Please rate


Question 11:

To what degree were you able to ACCOMPLISH YOUR GOALS?

Total Responses: 1692

Overall Average: 4.24

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Poor	3	0.2%
Fair	26	1.5%
Good	224	13.2%
Very Good	750	44.3%
Excellent	689	40.7%



Question 12:

Comments

Total Responses: 145

**Overall
Average:**
n/a

Answer

- This was my first time at PAS (in-person), so I wasn't sure what to expect. It was massive, but also with more desirable events than I could have attended.
- It is always a good learning experience at PAS. I always wish the sessions I want to attend are not concurrent, but the on demand option helps with that
- Very good meeting, glad to be back in person.
- It was a very good conference
- Challenging in meeting with people for the first time in 3 years, reestablishing connections and collaborations
- It was just joyful to see professional colleagues and friends in person after endless zoom meetings.
- valuable experience
- Great, fun sessions, but lots of overlapping ones I wanted to attend. Will enjoy trying to dive into recordings as well.
- Very happy to be back in person! While virtual conferences may be a good option, it does not compare to the in person conversation, meeting/networking ,etc
- great conference
- Conference hall was difficult to navigate - too many stairs.
- Especially after having been on hiatus for a few years in the pandemic, this year's PAS activities exceeded my expectations. Excellent content. Outstanding speakers. Appreciated 30 min breaks in between activities. Easy to find everything. Nice location.
- Good to see folks in person
- The major subspecialty areas in which I have an interest (genetics, endocrinology, metabolism, diabetes, nutrition) no longer have much of a presence at this meeting and it is not the primary location where the most current research is presented.

- This was my first time attending PAS and I truly loved it. What a great time to meet other pediatricians in all specialties and from all over the world. After two years of not being able to attend a conference in person, this was much needed. I specifically came as part of the AAP's APEX scholars program, but now that I've been introduced to PAS I want to make it a yearly event. Excited to attend next year.
- I wish it was easier to get the slides from presentations I was interested in but did not go to (due to conflicting sessions)
- I would not sign up for "on Demand" every again. I thought that this would be "live". Many/most talks did not have audio or slides. I missed many talks that I had wanted to hear. Next time I will not sign up for "on demand" if I cannot go in person.
- meeting was fun
- I would be good to start the conference with a large plenary session for everyone with no other sessions running concurrently; this might help PAS feel less chaotic and disconnected
- I supported one of my resident who had an oral presentation and connected with former colleagues at the PAS 2022 and presented a poster, made connections with potential collaborators and learned about new techniques and new products
- Need more improvement in the mobile app. it was really hard to follow the different tracks and plan. Some workshops needed larger spaces.
- the GIS session expanded my knowledge some
- This was my first time at PAS and I greatly enjoyed hearing the brilliant work that is being done in our field.
- There are always so many sessions and too little time. I am thankful I can now access on-demand and catch up on sessions I missed.
- The app was TERRIBLE. The worst one I have ever used at a meeting. I liked the 90 minute sessions but did not like having 4 talks. I think 3 would be better with more time to ask questions.
- fantastic, felt safe with masking!

- There was more than usual overlap in same sessions. For instance, the Obesity SIG was at the same time as the Obesity II scientific session. This was unfortunate. It was nice to be in person for the networking pieces though!!
- The conference would benefit from the inclusion of more cutting edge science. I was also disconcerted that with the heightened emphasis on inclusion, the PAS held the conference during Passover.
- I was quite surprised that there were very few attendees at the PAS Meeting of my age (i.e. 79)
- A wide variety of applicable sessions allowed me to exceed these goals easily
- excellent meeting, will definitely be back and present research from my own work
- I wish there would have been more opportunity to attend more of the morning session content
- I had not attended a PAS meeting in several years due to family constraints. I used this as a chance to gain more skills for my role in faculty affairs and found that part to be most fulfilling. I also was able to catch up on the latest research in my specialty, and network with some people I hadn't seen in a while.
- Needs detailed power point
- Thank you for offering Hispanic or Latino. I also do not mind Latino/a/x or Latin; however, I find the growing use of Latinx as a catch-all as disrespectful of Hispanic and Latin culture.
- Skip
- Excellent conference
- I loved the workshop selection
- Great conference!
- Coming to an in person meeting after years of virtual flexibility was made it clear that the in person meeting is not of use. Too expensive and too much lost time in travel for little gain.
- Meeting was remarkably hard to navigate this year in large part due to the very poor app used
- SO excited to be in person!!!!

- Hotels and convention center all very easy to access. Made meeting effortless. Need more food/drink options in convention center.
- The obvious: so great to be back together and seeing one another.
- There were some events where I was able to meet people but often people said their names and I instantly forgot them. I don't have business cards, and we tried to scan each other's badges to get contact information (like the vendors could do) but couldn't get it to work.
- This meeting was great after our three year hiatus of in person meetings. Well done by the organizers!
- Fantastic meeting with several labs
- Wonderful conference. It was as usual difficult to choose among all the different experiences. My only area of disappointment is not knowing in advance which presentations would be recorded and which would not, so I missed out on seeing Dr. Coker's plenary (which I thought would be recorded as it was a presidential plenary). This being said, it was a wonderful conference.
- lots of overlap and couldn't get to all the sessions I wanted to
- What I was looking for (newborn care) was way too specialized for what I was looking for, same with breastfeeding. The general pediatrics and adolescent medicine sections were great for what I was looking for. Too much specialized information, more for the generalist was what I was looking for.
- It was SO GREAT to be in-person again. Some of the most valuable parts of PAS are running into people and catching up with old friends and meeting new ones. None of that is possible online.
- many of the sessions of interest were conflicting, otherwise great conference
- Wonderful to network and get face to face feedback again
- Amazing conference! Felt incredible to be a part of the PAS community. First time ever presenting a workshop in person!
- more human milk specific sessions as it's a huge area of research, and also more space/chairs in the general neonatology sessions

- Sessions were informative
- Having such a big meeting, it was not made clear to me that the Live sessions evaluations I attended in Denver had to be completed "in" Denver! Such a waste!
- A lot of the content is too basic research related and not as clinically applicable. Also, most of the newborn content was NICU focused and the more broad newborn content for hospitalists was all concentrated on Monday instead of being more well spread out.
- the meeting was well organized and made networking easy
- So great to be back in person. The networking and collaboration that happens is something you cannot achieve virtually.
- Difficult to network as the number of attendees was overwhelming so it was difficult to find casual networking opportunities
- It was odd that the meeting was scheduled on the last days of Passover, Eastern Orthodox Easter and Ramadan.
- There were a number of technical difficulties, particularly related to sound (microphones or recorded presentations). In addition, more than once a speaker's slides could not be brought up and shown, even if that talk was skipped and we came back to it. Seems odd to have a system that cannot bring up slides (which were concurrently available on the app, so definitely uploaded.) The tech support literally seemed asleep at the wheel. Also, missing speakers and moderators at times. This may have been due to COVID but it seems that this was not anticipated in meeting planning, with a mechanism to identify a fill-in moderator or have some other way of delivering the presentation.
- After a several year break, would have been really helpful to have scheduling information up front. I knew not all days within the overall dates of "PAS" included sessions, but I did not recall which days were the "real" conference days, which led me to miss an entire day of activities.
- I usually find this conference overwhelming, but I actually liked the smaller size and more limited sessions this year.
- Good to be back in person with masks. I missed my friends.
- Networking opportunities were fantastic

- good
- This was my first time attending PAS, as it is not a hematology/oncology heavy conference, but I really enjoyed it.
- The meeting was great but the app was a disaster
- wonderful return to in-person academic meeting
- Lower attendance with masking required made for less networking, but good attempt!
- so nice to be back in person. I tried one virtual meeting early on and hated it.
- being a part of the ESP has greatly helped with networking efforts. I liked the multiple workshops available to support my other goals.
- yes
- Great to be back together in person. Great opportunity to learn from others in critical areas of health- mental health, DEI, social determinants of health, value based care
- wonderful in-person conference
- I am a usual attendee, and while much does NOT apply to me , now, I generally find something of interest. Spend alot of time speaking with other leaders.
- A lot of topics overlapped and I had to choose between them.
- I learned a variety new approaches and methods
- Being in person really promotes networking and collaboration
- So many sessions scheduled in each time slot I could not go to as many as I wanted.
- i was pleasantly surprised at all of the different DEI workshops and networking opportunities
- NA
- Reconnected with everyone from the pediatric community, and got to see incredible, thought provoking research that will truly change how I approach the upcoming year from both clinical and research standpoints.
- Excellent Meeting and nice to be back in person. thank you PAS
- The EventScribe App was TERRIBLE!

- I have not come to this meeting in many years and thought to be too geared towards research but what a great surprise. There are options for the clinician and the researcher alike. I was initially overwhelmed by the amount of competing talks at the same time.
- I really enjoyed being at a live conference! My only concern was a lack of organized sports medicine lectures. I applied myself to point-of-care ultrasound and miscellaneous sports topics, however I really would have enjoyed some dedicated lecture series or at least making it easier to find sports related topics within the schedule
- it was difficult to make meaningful connections
- The PAS meeting was overall excellent. The attendance was not as high as previous years and this most likely related to the effect of COVID.
- Please include a whole tract on Autism Spectrum Disorder. The sessions were glaringly lacking and did not include parental perspective. With prevalence now 1 in 44, it is a significant public health and advocacy issue and PAS/SPR has not done enough to tackle it. Great conference but really disappointed in this area
- I really liked the workshops. Works well with my learning style
- I am grateful that this was in person, and a great job was done in making our safety a priority.
- Networking
- went well
- Poster session did not seem to have assigned moderators - there were several posters that no discussant came to at all. Also the meeting app was really challenging to use and wasn't working most of the time on phones.
- After 2 years of pandemic, it was great to see old friends.
- There is so much content in the same area delivered at one time that it is impossible to go to everything. It would help decide which sessions to attend if it were more clear which are recorded for later viewing v those only available in person.
- The schedule and format for this year's conference was much better than previous years. It was much more manageable and allowed for more time to network.

- In person meeting is essential. Drop the on demand in future PLEASE
- So happy to be in person again!
- Very few sessions that are useful for pediatric critical care
- meeting was excellent- so great to be back together in person.
- Great to learn and network in-person!
- I think having meals provided would facilitate more networking --- when you have to plan your own meals, you end up going out to lunch with people you already know. If there are boxed lunches to grab and tables, you may sit down with people that you don't know and network more.
- Overall a great educational experience. Enjoyed the in person format and meeting with colleagues after 2 + years
- Great selection of sessions
- xx
- wish some of the rooms were bigger and did not "reach capacity"-- such a bummer to arrive on time but not be able to partake because of being situated in a smaller room
- Need more attendees next year!
- bvfd
- it felt so great to see people in person again and make meaningful connections!
- Great to be back, hope to see more next year!
- Fantastic to have an in-person meeting. The informal networking is where powerful collaborations and insights occur!
- I do wish we had more endocrinology here but with the PES meeting this week it is hard to get a lot of attendance to both meetings.
- The PAS app was very glitchy and did not support my goals.
- networking opportunities were very good but could still be expanded.
- Phenomenal meeting - was so good to be back in person. Had forgotten the delight of bumping into colleagues and mentees (current and former) while strolling around the conference!

- Missed too many sessions in condensed conference time! Please go back to more days! Given the time/effort of travel, prefer longer meeting.
- Happy that the conference was in-person, and not fully virtual
- Would love more learning content like mini-plenary and topic updates. The 90 min workshop slots are often too long or conflict with other abstract presentations or things of interest. Many key things I wanted to attend were in the same Saturday morning a lot
- Excellent venue and overall conference after being virtual for the past 2 years. Strong work to the committee. I really like the Friday through Monday instead of Sat to Tues timing.
- Just really wonderful to be able to be with others in-person rather than on zoom!
- Would have preferred to have more spaced out sessions, including Tuesday morning sessions.
- Excellent opportunity to network with colleagues whom we haven't seen in-person for over 2 years.
- I would enjoy more sessions about neonatal procedures and new technologies; more sessions about vascular access
-
- It seems the amount of clinical research in neonatology presented as declined over the years (especially in the presentation format versus poster).
- The tech/IT system was not awesome. Also, the app was lousy - get the one used by ComicCon in years past (it is awesome). The venue kept us very spread out - COVID safer, but very challenging for anyone who has physical disabilities.
- The daily Guide on the App could have been better - there was no option to flag a whole session (only individual presentations), also app was not easy to follow
- It was so nice to attend PAS in person. While I was "out of practice" and found it a bit overwhelming at times, it was excellent to reconnect with colleagues.
- NA
- It was great to be in-person again!

- Excellent adjustments made to conference
- Wish I had more time.
- The EM platform session I attended was world class. The workshops on transgender athletes and microaggressions were similarly excellent. I would have said excellent but the meeting app took way too much time to set up my itinerary and could not be used properly during the meeting. Please use a different vendor who does a better job with testing next time.
- It was nice to be back in person. Of course, the meeting was definitely impacted by COVID. Harder to recognize people with folks in masks and the workshop times were generally too short.
- Sad to see the disappearance of medical genetics from SPR/APA presentations and attendees--however, many other subspecialties are very sophisticated in genomics
- I was especially grateful that PAS required masks. This helped make all sessions safer and avoided emblems of political solidarity.
- There are too many concurrent sessions - I felt overwhelmed.
- I was disappointed in the lack of faculty development sessions / clinical hot topics. Too many sessions overlapped so you couldn't attend enough. Days ended early. I don't enjoy the on demand format so I would prefer longer days with less overlapping sessions.

1551 empty responses

Overall Activity


Question 13:

Did you EXPERIENCE the PAS 2022 Meeting as a SAFE ENVIRONMENT that welcomes respectful expression of diverse opinions and perspectives and is open to collegial, energetic debate and dialogue?

Total Responses: 1692

Overall Average: 1.02

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Yes	1676	99.1%
No	6	0.4%
Unsure	10	0.6%




Question 14:

What would improve your PAS Meeting experience?

Total Responses: 19

Overall Average: 3.05

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Environment	2	10.5%
Diversity	2	10.5%
Content and Dialogue	8	42.1%
Other suggestions to improve your PAS Meeting experience	7	36.8%



Question 15:

Environment: (Choose all that apply; provide actionable suggestions)

- Augment accessibility for differently-abled participants by _____
 - Expand attendee orientation by _____
 - Different city such as _____
 - Other _____
-

Total Responses: 2

**Overall
Average:**
n/a

Answer

- I did not like Denver There were many homeless people which detracted from the experience around the convention center
- First 3

1691 empty responses

Question 16:

Diversity (Choose all that apply; provide actionable suggestions)

- Increase diversity of attendees by _____
 - Increase diversity of awardees by _____
 - Increased diversity of speakers by _____
 - Increase networking opportunities/affinity groups by _____
 - Other _____
-

Total Responses: 2

**Overall
Average:**
n/a

Answer

- All of the above
- speakers diversity: do not keep good people like john lantos from the sessions that he was supposed to contribute to that too is part of diversity

1691 empty responses

Question 17:

Content and Dialogue (Choose all that apply; provide actionable suggestions)

- More sessions in my specialty/area of research, specifically _____
- Inclusion of missing/under-represented academic pediatric specialties/sub-specialties, specifically _____
- Other _____

Total Responses: 8

**Overall
Average:**
n/a

Answer

- | | | |
|---|---|---|
| • Improve scientific quality of the sessions | • | • |
| • There was very limited content on obesity despite it being incredibly common and the fact that obesity rates have risen during the pandemic. | • | • |
| • More debate-style presentations and discussion | • | • |
| • The NEC and GI sessions and everything related to NEC were overshadowed by disruptors, for lack of better words. It is disappointing that such people continue to be given platforms and time where they continue to discourage young faculty and researchers from doing NEC work. I wonder if the younger generation would even want to work in this field when they see such negative comments and disruptions. | • | • |
| • What happened to Damon A. Williams' keynote at the SPR Presidential Plenary? Given the content and his demographics, I am worried that his participation was thwarted by unintentional bias (best case scenario) or sabotage (worst case scenario). | • | • |
| • More sessions in environmental health that are NOT related to climate change would be enjoyed. | • | • |
| • more sessions in pediatric critical care | • | • |
| • Equity solutions not just documentation Effect of pandemic on burnout and workforce Family centered care, more family speakers, more advocacy for including families as researchers and QI contributors. There are no customer voices at PAS | • | • |
| • better research content. | • | • |

1684 empty responses

Question 18:

Other suggestions to improve your PAS Meeting experience

Total Responses: 7

**Overall
Average:**
n/a

Answer

- reinforce masking. allow for non-mainstream opinions instead of lockstep
- less plastic
- Less woke content please
- Offer higher quality abstracts and workshops
- The MASK requirement is absurd. Stop promoting useless "pseudoscience" at a scientific meeting. We need to see each others faces.
- More in person attendees
- Survey is too long!!!!!!

1686 empty responses

QUALITY


Question 19:

Please rate the OVERALL QUALITY of this year's SCIENTIFIC content.

Total Responses: 1692

Overall Average: 4.25

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Poor	3	0.2%
Fair	23	1.4%
Good	237	14%
Very Good	713	42.1%
Excellent	716	42.3%



Question 20:
COMMENTS

Total Responses: 78

**Overall
Average:**
n/a

Answer

- Scientific content was very good
- The program was excellent (confession I mostly attended the plenaries). However there was a dearth of basic science this year. The plenaries sessions were excellent but the theme were overlapping and sometimes felt redundant.
- As expected
- Lots of good data and ideas and enjoyed the conference and talking about new ways to do things.
- great
- The poster presentations are fantastic and spent a good 3-4 hours total but there is no CME assigned to that effort.
- Less basic science available than in previous years. Hard to find sessions with original research.
- Not enough basic science is represented.
- Many sessions (neonatal clinical trials) competed with my presentations which decreased attendance significantly
- Too much focus on the "theme"
- great mix
- Skip
- Usual focus on proving the obvious. A bit "fad" oriented as usual. The ultimate in needing to appear relevant was a presentation on "the effects of SDOH and climate change on children with hypertension"
- Really appreciated the DEI and mental health sessions and focus
- The meeting has shifted a lot. There is very little in bench or computational research anymore. That is a loss for academic pediatrics.
- It is always disappointing when there is a lack of basic science

- I really enjoyed the diversity of scientific presentations within a given session.
- An excellent variety of high quality content.
- This remains almost not a real scientific meeting, especially outside of NICU, general pediatrics, and health services related fields. Personally, the lack of Endocrine content makes this a difficult meeting to continue to make time to attend.
- the speakers were uniformly excellent. maybe it was just seeing people in person!
- Appreciated an overall focus on health equity.
- I would have loved to see more clinically-focused topic areas within PHM.
- good
- Really liked the space between posters--great new approach
- Too large of a focus on health equity without much emphasis on practical applications-- it felt generic and focused on "raising awareness" but not moving beyond that.
- less on transgender issues than normal, missed that
- Excellent
- yes
- ID content could be improved with more sessions
- Sessions that met all needs
- my first meeting i will return
- Given COVID impact, attendance was low compared to pre-COVID which had an impact on the social networking of the meeting
- NA
- Absolutely exceeded expectations.
- Not unlike prior PAS meetings, many posters should have been platform sessions based on their science and many oral or platform presentations should have been posters or not accepted at all (i.e., questionable methods, presenting findings that were not novel, etc). In other words, I would suggest focusing efforts on improving the PAS peer review process.
- Not quite as good as pre-Covid.

- Please include a whole tract on Autism Spectrum Disorder. The sessions were glaringly lacking and did not include parental perspective. With prevalence now 1 in 44, it is a significant public health and advocacy issue and PAS/SPR has not done enough to tackle it. Great conference but really disappointed in this area
- It could be more disability friendly. More tall stools available to present if you cannot stand at the podium.
- More novel applications outside
- overload of posters and not easily navigable with app
- I loved the in-person aspect
- went well
- Quality was good. QUANTITY was entirely too little. Where is the science? SO MANY non-research sessions have taken over this meeting.
- Way too much political content on critical race theory and climate change. How bout we have a scientific conference, not a political/virtual signaling meeting?
- QI oral presentations consistently misapplied SPC rules/were not of standard to which APA QI Pre-meeting submissions were judged. Would recommend providing specific guidance to QI reviewers
- It would be helpful not to schedule multiple topics within the same specialty at the same time so that there is more opportunity to attend multiple sessions of interest within a specific specialty
- Love the mental health paradigms - please continue to integrate themes of trauma-informed-informed care and support of the infant-mother dyad (family unit care) in future conferences. Trauma integration and mitigation of long-term adverse childhood experiences (emotional, socio-behavioral and neurodevelopmental) are critical to the healthy development of children. And this wildly impacts the health of the family unit as a whole. One of the most vulnerable populations is the high risk infant. As a neonatologist, I believe to my core that our work starts on day 0 of admission to the NICU, and, with continued attention to this critical truth, we can impact the young children who survive the journey and trauma of their life-saving NICU course.

- The Autophagy session and the PreVent sessions (Control of Breathing) were the two best non-abstract based sessions.
- Particularly impressive posters/platforms from trainees and new faculty
- Lacking in meaningful basic science
- Too many neonatal topics at the same time.
- xx
- More next year!
- strs
- poor food facility
- The quality of abstracts selected for presentation was highly variable!
- Thank you for your recognition of the advancing field of Neonatal Nephrology. All sessions were FILLED and it was wonderful.
- Selecting important themes is very good, however other topics appeared underrepresented.
- There were alot of technical issues with presentations not accessible. The presenters were excellent. More workshops in the future.
- NA
- There should be less posters. Some were not high quality.
- I thought that the workshops and SIGS were a little short (1 hr 30 min instead of 2 hrs)
- Great research and presenters
- Not enough state of the art, cutting edge content.
- Attendance was fair. Most exhibitions now by hospital systems less about new technologies (which I would find more interesting)
-
- Would have liked more tox/substance use work
- NA
- There was not the breadth of content that I would have liked. Too many overlapping offerings in the areas of DEI and education.
- scientific content seemed similar to previous although basic sciences less prominent

- Fewer attendees made hotel rooms available, and crowds manageable.
- good

1621 empty responses


Question 21:

Rate the QUALITY of this year's scientific content RELATIVE to the PAS 2021 Meeting.

Total Responses: 880

Overall Average: 3.62

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Decreased Greatly	7	0.8%
Decreased Slightly	43	4.9%
Stayed about the Same	398	45.2%
Increased Slightly	260	29.5%
Increased Greatly	172	19.5%



Question 22:
COMMENTS

Total Responses: 110

**Overall
Average:**
n/a

Answer

- I "attended" PAS 2021, but was on clinical service throughout, so my experience was quite limited.
- Virtual very challenging—in person much better
- I say increased because last year's was fully virtual and in person always beats virtual, even if only subjectively
- Less content than 2021 but same quality
- There was some excellent scientific content but not enough investigator initiated science presented. The sponsoring organizations had multiple sessions that covered much of the day every day of the conference and while I loved the focus on DEI and mental health, there was not enough balance in the overall program.
- The fact that it was in person made a huge difference
- as expected
- Nothing can compare to an in-person experience. I would not even compare it to 2021...
- was nice to learn in person!
- Nicer to be in person!
- much better in person vs. 2021 meeting which was virtual
- in person is just so much better for this type of sharing and discussion.
- Much more meaningful in person, however, with the three themes there was an excessive amount of repetition and less diversity in topics
- much better in person
- it was good that masks were required and ability for social distance was there.
- Hard to compare the 2021 meeting which was fully virtual with this year's hybrid meeting as they are 2 separate approaches. Would urge the PAS planning committee to continue to offer this hybrid type of meeting.

- REALLY APPRECIATED the honest discussions about DEI and historical racism. also appreciated the importance given to trauma-informed care. this is needed!! the discussions that start at PAS spur change. please continue this next year!
- First time attending
- In person made the meeting much more enjoyable
- Content quality was similar but I attended the 2021 meeting virtually and gain much more by attending in person.
- so much better in person
- Being in person made a huge difference
- In-person is so important.
- Did not attend pas 2021, so can't really comment
- Hard to say since I found the exclusive virtual format hard to use due to personal time constraints
- much better in person
- Harder to compare because PAS 2021 was virtual!
- The in person format is much better.
- Much better to be in person; great topics addressed and easier to access.
- The app was terrible/unusable and made following the schedule nearly impossible
- in person is better
- So glad it was in person
- was glad to see a lot more focus on mental health
- virtual program last year dragged on so long, and it was a lot of work for moderators, SIG co chairs. I also think the 90 min meetings for SIGs was the perfect amount of time.
- meeting in person made the sessions significantly better, but the scientific content was similar.
- I did not attend virtual2021 meeting
- Increased because it was in person!
- Much better since it was in person!
- good
- Was just so nice to be in person again.
- it was in person! much better!
- hard to compare virtual and in-person

- More policy relevant topics
- Mainly because the fully remote meeting was tough to engage in and connect to.
- difficult to assess since 2021 was virtual
- Didn't attend 2021, but it is making me reply
- so good to be in-person
- The ability for inperson conference this year was so much better than the online portion. It was just very difficult to schedule online time in 2021 meeting.
- the posters sessions in person were great !
- I found it easier to block time to take advantage of the PAS meeting over a short period of time vs. 2021 when the virtual content was spread over a prolonged period of time
- loved the adolescent medicine networking Saturday afternoon
- May just be that I was excited about seeing colleagues in person!
- There was clearly a strong emphasis on equity and how clinical pediatrics and research affect equity. This was a welcome change and will only continue to help children and families. It is essential that clinicians and researchers consider implications of equity in their work and this PAS meeting was an important step in the right direction.
- NA
- likely due in part to being able to be present in person
- Appreciate a variety of topics and not just "COVID"
- Did not attend 2021 due to pandemic
- Please include a whole tract on Autism Spectrum Disorder. The sessions were glaringly lacking and did not include parental perspective. With prevalence now 1 in 44, it is a significant public health and advocacy issue and PAS/SPR has not done enough to tackle it. Great conference but really disappointed in this area
- Always great
- did not attend 2021
- 2021 did well, all things considered.
- my first attendance of PAS
- went well

- While a large and diffuse conference, I was able to network and meet my goals. Next time, might prepare in different ways to find more community.
- It's not easy to compare the two. PAS 2021 was online . Although this one was in person, it was somewhat low key, with a smaller number of participants. Several sessions were devoted to Diversity, equity and racism, and to education. At least in neonatology, there were few new major developments.
- so much better in person
- There were far less number of available sessions this year as compared to the last in-person meeting.
- Hard to compare since in-person had added benefit.
- So much better in person!
- xx
- Good to be there in person!
- In person
- Nothing beats an in-person meeting- so much additional discussion occurs between sessions
- bgrs
- all of the sessions I attended were high quality
- can't compare as attended virtual previous one
- Seemed scattered in organization and content
- Comparison is not apples to apples as 2021 was virtual but I think the 2022 content was outstanding and, therefore, rated more highly
- Somewhat less emphasis on themes would be desirable.
- In person is MUCH MUCH BETTER
- na
- Tough comparison as so much better to be back in-person. There is no doubt that an in-person experience is superior (although opportunities for hybrid is some sessions would be ideal).
- much prefer in person format
- nice to have in person!
- I understand that we are emerging from a pandemic, and as such, I think this was a wonderful meeting with (relatively) good science.

- Given that the recorded sessions would be available later, I gravitated towards the more interactive sessions.
- Always excellent, live sessions are a definite bonus. Postgraduate courses and networking sessions are excellent addition. Would have helped to have the poster facilitators as with previous years.
- Better in person
- The areas around the convention center was a bit "dead". It would be nice if PAS could be held at convention centers with a bit more lively surrounding areas
-
- it wasn't virtual :)
- The ability to discuss the science face to face made all the difference.
- Not able to attend 2021
- I know that there were people missing due to the results of the pandemic.
- Translational and clinical elements highlighted which provides ideas for practice adjustments
- The amount of rigor regarding research on topics of diversity and equity is not maintained as compared to other sub-disciplines. Loose methods, shoddy conclusions, and lots of hand-waving are accepted for these categories and not for others.
- I am simply more readily engaged in content presented in person than via any virtual platform.
- This was much better than last year.
- Can't compare to 2021 as drug out. Disappointed in PAS 2022. Felt like trying to end days early to increase poster attendance which led to way too many overlapping sessions. Learning on demand isn't enjoyable due to the heavy screen time in our professions. Abstract session for newborn medicine was omitted. Not enough hospitalist abstract sessions.
- in-person is a better way to learn, although PAS did a terrific job last year of "pivoting" to virtual conference
- good

1592 empty responses


Please rate the **AMOUNT** of the following:

Question 23:
General Opening Session (Saturday)

Total Responses: 1692

Overall Average: 2.57

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Too Little	17	1%
About Right	1167	69%
Too Much	39	2.3%
Unsure	469	27.7%




Question 24:
Award Symposia/Luncheons

Total Responses: 1692

Overall Average: 2.8


Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Too Little	34	2%
About Right	933	55.1%
Too Much	57	3.4%
Unsure	668	39.5%



Question 25:
Clubs

Total Responses: 1692Overall Average: 2.77


Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Too Little	53	3.1%
About Right	927	54.8%
Too Much	65	3.8%
Unsure	647	38.2%



Question 26:
Exhibits

Total Responses: 1692Overall Average: 2.31

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Too Little	86	5.1%
About Right	1247	73.7%
Too Much	108	6.4%
Unsure	251	14.8%




Question 27:
ISS/Non-CME Symposia

Total Responses: 1692

Overall Average: 3.19

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Too Little	8	0.5%
About Right	656	38.8%
Too Much	35	2.1%
Unsure	993	58.7%




Question 28:
Scholarly Sessions

Total Responses: 1692

Overall Average: 2.12

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Too Little	104	6.1%
About Right	1423	84.1%
Too Much	31	1.8%
Unsure	134	7.9%




Question 29:
Science / Platforms

Total Responses: 1692

Overall Average: 2.03

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Too Little	172	10.2%
About Right	1394	82.4%
Too Much	22	1.3%
Unsure	104	6.1%




Question 30:
Science / Poster Symposia

Total Responses: 1692

Overall Average: 2.19

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Too Little	72	4.3%
About Right	1394	82.4%
Too Much	65	3.8%
Unsure	161	9.5%




Question 31:
Posters

Total Responses: 1692

Overall Average: 2.2

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Too Little	45	2.7%
About Right	1357	80.2%
Too Much	204	12.1%
Unsure	86	5.1%




Question 32:
Presidential Plenaries

Total Responses: 1692

Overall Average: 2.52

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Too Little	22	1.3%
About Right	1174	69.4%
Too Much	83	4.9%
Unsure	413	24.4%




Question 33:
Special Interest Groups

Total Responses: 1692

Overall Average: 2.53

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Too Little	62	3.7%
About Right	1122	66.3%
Too Much	62	3.7%
Unsure	446	26.4%




Question 34:
Workshops

Total Responses: 1692

Overall Average: 2.27

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Too Little	151	8.9%
About Right	1205	71.2%
Too Much	68	4%
Unsure	268	15.8%



Question 35:
Additional Comments

Total Responses: 142

**Overall
Average:**
n/a

Answer

- Why so few workshops? And why did they necessarily conflict with the Platform sessions?
- Workshops and SIGs have too little time - need at least 2-2 1/2 hours
- I wish the workshop/educational sessions had been spread out through the day a little more. There was a lull in afternoon 2:30-3:30
- More interactive workshops, platforms, lecture, symposia would be beneficial for our learning. Posters are not as useful for learning or for networking
- Missed the March of Dimes award lecture. The one MOD talk was not well advertised and sadly poorly attended
- Try not to overlap wellness sigs if possible
- enjoyed all activities and glad I attended
- There were more opportunities for attending workshops, platforms, sessions in the past when they were staggered times. Sessions ending at 2:30 in the afternoon left a lot of time where they could have been content offered.
- 90 minutes is a bit too much
- Love workshops, but you're kind of locked in for the entire session- oral abstract sessions allow you to enter and exit to hear platform presentations of a variety of sessions
- The app was challenging to navigate. Very difficult to search for sessions. I couldn't find a poster presentation I was looking for, and there were mistakes in which sessions were included under highlighted themes, and which were missed.
- nice balance
- I was not able to participate in the workshops I wanted due to space limitation, room already closed as full by the time I got to the door. perhaps use larger rooms for some workshops

- The challenge of specialty-specific competing clubs and other related sessions remains an issue
- I found it very difficult to attend both specialty sessions as well as workshops as they overlapped.
- This evaluation form is way too long. Also, I inadvertently left some questions blank. It did not tell me which ones. Had to scroll all the way through to try to find them. The program also erased all my "N/A" responses so I had to re-enter them repeatedly.
- overall too much content, clumped too close together. several things I wanted to attend were offered at the same time as others (more than once) so I found myself having to choose (and therefore miss something) too often
- did not attend workshops
- why did most of the content on Sunday end by 2:30pm?
- all AAP SOEM were at the same time, that was very problematic and decreased networking/ability to get involved.
- 90 min platforms are better than 120 min platforms, though it means fewer opportunities for speakers.
- It is great that the sessions don't all overlap like they have done in years past, however there was so much good stuff at the same time, especially the speakers, it is always hard to choose where to go.
- Poster hall sessions would be much more accessible and productive as a virtual experience. The amount of time and other resources spent by presenters for a relatively small amount of exposure/interaction is discouraging. I have heard multiple faculty say that they no longer recommend submitting abstracts that might be accepted only as posters due to the lack of "bang for your buck" time-wise. Better to get your work out through social media and other high-traffic virtual places where exposure and interaction can be very high for much less expense and effort. I realize that vendor booth revenues are important for the meeting and that these could be reduced if poster hall went away. Nonetheless, it's time to move into the next chapter of academic interaction - virtual. :)
- Workshops are incredibly useful, especially to fellows and early career physicians

- Too many concurrent sessions - really need to space things out so folks can attend multiple activities. Also, convention center MUCH too large.
- unsure- because I did not attend
- Workshops should go back to 2 hrs.
- powerpoints from the preconference II should be provided
- unfortunately overlapping sessions of interest to me
- Please offer more hands-on sessions that involve point of care ultrasound.
- SIGs and Workshops - in order to really get into the work these really need to be longer.
- Please don't combine Hemodynamics and Lung club - each have their own magic and deserve individual sessions!
- I did not attend workshops or interest groups
- Always have such great scientific speakers
- I thought the length of workshops at 90 minutes fit the content well. I thought the SIG could be 120 minutes.
- Poster Symposium needs signs to demarcate field of interest (neonatology, emergency medicine). It was unorganized compared to previous years.
- more active learning
- I think the poster sessions are a bit too long. Could also consider interspersing when people need to be at their poster throughout the day
- I said "too much" for science/platforms because there was too much happening simultaneously not because it felt like too many topics
- I would rather have 4 days of content and a later afternoon session (4-6). There were too many overlapping similar sessions that were hard to get to.
- In the program it would have been much better to know what would and would not be available as a recording. With the hybridizing between in person and virtual I made choices that I thought would allow me to make the most of the in person conferences and catch up on lectures later, and it was not clear what I would miss.
- The time allotment for workshops & special interest groups sessions were WAY TOO SHORT this year!!!
- Seemed too short to be only 90 minutes

- Too many overlapping sessions of interest.
- I wish that the Climate change talks could have been coordinated a bit better so that there were not conflicts
- The NICU end of life pro-con debate was really valuable and the pro-con debate format could be useful elsewhere as well
- There were some sessions that were very duplicative--for example two sessions on Clinical event debriefing. Would be great to streamline some of the topics presented
- Overall a bit overwhelming as a first time attendee to pick between so many options!
- It was difficult to identify which programs were workshops from browsing the schedule. These should be identified more clearly (e.g. title Workshop: How to approach...) for those of us that either wish to attend or not these sessions.
- I would have preferred the meeting was a day longer and the workshops were not all bunched together so tightly
- good
- Too many sessions during the same time slots with other time slots empty. Would prefer shorter individual sessions instead of panels.
- well balanced meeting - still a lot of overlapping sessions, but I think unavoidable
- 1.5 hours is too short for the SIGs. But I appreciate the workshops being limited to 1.5 hrs.
- very well done conference
- There were several workshops with similar themes that overlapped, likely decreasing attendance at each session.
- I am not sure
- There were just too many things I wanted to attend that were all running at the same time in terms of workshops!
- would be nice to have posters available for viewing all day - between sessions, etc so that we can have an afternoon break if needed., love the workshops, but would like more plenary type sessions concurrently as an option as attending workshops all day can be taxing.
- yes
- great job
- Folks, perhaps you could put in "did not attend".

- it would be helpful to know which sessions are geared towards
- Schedule is VERY overwhelming
- the posters were all excellent but there were so many it was hard to see them all, and there it was hard to see the organizational approach-- it would be helpful to have it posted how they were organized to make it easier to find
- There were so many amazing neonatal topics at 8am both Saturday and Sunday. It was so hard to choose!
- Having a poster session as the last session on Monday was not a good idea - lots of presenters standing alone by their posters with no one discussing their posters with them. Although it is a little forced, I liked when the program identified people to go to each poster, particularly posters of trainees, who put a lot of work into posters for little return.
- the conference is very large and can feel overwhelming
- Consider shorter workshops (maybe offering 45 min and 90 min formats?)
- NA
- Shortening time seems to have shifted some presentations that might have been platforms in the past to posters, limiting the audience.
- Tough to have a poster session on the Monday afternoon -- lots of people had already left.
- Loved the shorter workshops.
- Bring back the biostats workshop!
- Greatly appreciated the enhanced focused on health equity, would try to ensure to the degree possible limiting the overlapping for similar content as the audiences may be the same
- seems so many were at the same time that I wanted to attend. Many were not recorded, so I couldn't go back to them virtually.
- Try not to put sessions focused on the same topic at the same time.
- Please find a way to keep the poster session open for longer hours. It seems to be tied to when the exhibits are open, but other meetings have all posters up at one time

and keep them up for the whole meeting. Clubs should be 90 min as they typically overlap a meal time.

- Would love to see a diversity SIG that is not attached to LGBTQAI
- All about right
- The location and organization of poster sessions was not optimized to helping folks attend them. Also unsure if there were any assigned poster discussants.
- Because of shortened format of PAS was hard to fit in all the sessions I wanted to attend
- As usual, there were many overlaps between sessions, so it was hard to attend all one would have liked to attend.
- I wish there were more workshops spread into earlier parts or some more pre-conference ones that did not quite make the cut for the conference days
- Many sessions with highly overlapping content scheduled at the same time.
- There were times with many, many overlapping sessions, and other times with very little going on. I wish the content could have been more spread out so there weren't large gaps.
- I am sad that the science sessions have been crowded out by so many other non-research sessions. Not a very scientific meeting any more.
- Would recommend going back to 2 hour sessions. Too rushed at 90 minutes with little time for discussion.
- Workshop lengths were much better this year.
- Many interesting sessions/workshops were very limited by space and had the "at capacity" sign--need to dedicate more space to important topics, specifically ones around race which should not be relegated to tiny rooms.
- I think the biggest problem with this meeting was the timing/format of the sessions. There was Way too much downtime. By the schedule, there was something from 8-9:30, a full 30 minutes of nothing, then 10-11:30, and unless you're in a special club, there was nothing until another 1 1/2 hour session (1-2:30-- enough time for a long sit down lunch, which we don't need; then the worst of all, last session over at 2:30, and posters don't even open until 3:30, and even then no authors until around 4 or after.' Secondly, every morning session had a competing AAP or

APA plenary with it. I went to a couple of abstract sessions and there were maybe 10 people in some, and then I went to the concurrent plenary to find hundreds of people. So the plenary sessions pulled away from the individual science presentations. thirdly, the App was truly atrocious. it would spin and spin, take too long to load, and when I would bookmark something, half the time it would disappear. All and all, in 25 years of PAS meetings this was truly among the worst I have attended. You've got to move the plenary sessions back as stand alone, (there may be some half hour or so overlap, but it would still be better than this. There should also not be this much downtime. I was very disappointed, and I truly hope this improves.

- xx
- the large poster sessions, while there seemed to be a bit more conversation going on than usual (post-COVID reconnection), they just aren't a great venue for actually talking about the science and getting a broad idea of all the work being done-- many people simply visit posters of those they are working with already or at their own institution. Poster symposia sessions are much more effective and these should be emphasis on increasing the number of these..
- Not enough space in high demand workshops
- Thank you so much for putting the EM platforms on two days this year, and ending the meeting on Monday! This was a great improvement in the schedule
- larger rooms ; see comment above
- srntrnw
- would like to see more hands on workshops and sessions regarding use of point of care ultrasound (POCUS) in the NICU! Also more hands on procedural sessions for LISA/MIST simulation.
- Keep the shorter time of workshops. It allowed those of us that presented workshops to attend more sessions. AND, it kept the workshop presenters focused!
- In general, I felt overwhelmed by the menu of options at PAS. There were so many sessions each hour that I wanted to attend but couldn't. The format of each session (large and small group learning in the session) precluded me from toggling between 2 rooms. I was disappointed that

I'll only be able to see the slides from the sessions I wasn't able to attend - I think the discussion with the presenters is the most valuable component that I'll miss by reading slides online post-PAS.

- the app and website were difficult to use. a coding error was associated with my account and I could not get CME to work until someone from the conference fixed it. It was very hard to find sessions in the program guide. much worse than prior years in terms of IT support and planning and ease of finding info about sessions online.
- Too little obesity presentations and Obesity II was during the Obesity Sig
- So many great sessions! Unable to attend everything I wanted. Was helpful to have multiple options, though
- 90 minutes was good most of time unless the speakers planned to use it all and discussion times were truncated,; while DEI theme. was great there seemed such high variability in offerings both in quality and timing offered; faculty development and mentoring sessions were by the same recurring faculty with not much new delivered
- But would love to see more
- Challenging that there were so many sessions I was interested in happening at the same time. I liked the standardized timing and 30 minute breaks between sessions.
- There was a lot of conflicting scheduling in the timing for diversity, equity, and inclusion workshops and sessions.
- Too many excellent sessions with overlap made it hard to choose something outside my specialty but that is reflective of a successful meeting so while there may be ways to learn, I know this is not unavoidable.
- I appreciated the sessions being 90 minutes instead of 120, having time to travel between sessions or get coffee etc. I think there were fewer sessions in my field but still sufficient, since there are only so many new ideas, talks and presentations each year
- Presidential plenaries should not be scheduled when many other important sessions are scheduled.
- NA
- Need much more time for SIGs and workshops! This is where meaningful in-depth interaction happens

- Please space out related topics (i.e. don't have many neonatal sessions at the same time)
- Most of the workshops are not great or unclear what the content is and not what you think by the title. Would go towards more learning opportunities by scientific and clinical updates. Workshops too long and can't stay 90 minutes or miss so many things
- I think certain categories, such as Health Services Research, was missing. The posters, as usual, were very chaotic - I wish there were better signage to figure out where to go - lots of walking back and forth.
- Glad we have the option to go back and see things asynchronously. Lots of co-inciding topics of interest.
- The poster session on Monday night was challenging-- it seemed difficult at the end of the conference to end on an event when many people had to leave to catch flights.
- I wish some of the workshops were scheduled in different times. I had pick one from 3 great workshops several times due to scheduling conflicts
- Would like to see less overlapping of similar topics (for example- so many great Medical Education workshops, all scheduled at exactly the same time!)
- Too many sessions that I was interested in overlapped. It was hard to attend everything I wanted to.
- There are always many posters that are deserving of presentations. Sometimes it seems that always the same gets presented. In neonatology lots of neurodevelopment, trials, resuscitation and respiratory. Obviously important but sessions with interesting cases, papers about procedures, genetics, syndromes etc are rarely included in platforms.
-
- Always would love more workshops scheldued throughout so it is easy to attend
- Workshops are great but only with a limited amount of peppel.
- Could be time for some longer workshops. Some are challenging to fit into 90 min
- NA
- timing of posters was terrible. nobody really went because it was at dinnertime.

- Please rotate EM platforms into some Saturday sessions next time
- Please restore the option for 2 and 3-hour workshops.
- Why does poster presentation not qualify for CME?
- We needed more time for the SIGs, I appreciated having more space for the Poster sessions. It made circulating and seeing everything so much easier!
- soo much overlap! 90 minutes seems too short for workshops. liked the fact that posters were spread out... hope that we can continue that!
- Provide more sessions for neonatal resuscitation
- Concurrence of so many sessions within same time block within a specialty was frustrating- wanted to attend 2-3 sessions within same time block.
- good

1558 empty responses

Please rate the quality of the following content:


Question 36:

General Opening Session (Saturday)

Total Responses: 1032

Overall Average: 4.2

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Low	5	0.5%
2	8	0.8%
3	189	18.3%
4	406	39.3%
High	424	41.1%




Question 37:
Award Symposia/Luncheons

Total Responses: 816

Overall Average: 4


Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Low	9	1.1%
2	14	1.7%
3	203	24.9%
4	329	40.3%
High	261	32%



Question 38:
Clubs

Total Responses: 806Overall Average: 4.04


Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Low	10	1.2%
2	20	2.5%
3	185	23%
4	303	37.6%
High	288	35.7%



Question 39:
Exhibits

Total Responses: 1296Overall Average: 3.82

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Low	13	1%
2	51	3.9%
3	405	31.2%
4	518	40%
High	309	23.8%




Question 40:
Scholarly Sessions

Total Responses: 1517

Overall Average: 4.26

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Low	0	0%
2	7	0.5%
3	209	13.8%
4	685	45.2%
High	616	40.6%




Question 41:
Science / Platforms

Total Responses: 1539

Overall Average: 4.27

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Low	0	0%
2	11	0.7%
3	222	14.4%
4	647	42%
High	659	42.8%




Question 42:
Science / Poster Symposia

Total Responses: 1398

Overall Average: 4.17


Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Low	3	0.2%
2	19	1.4%
3	225	16.1%
4	639	45.7%
High	512	36.6%



Question 43:
Posters

Total Responses: 1570Overall Average: 4.11

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Low	7	0.4%
2	28	1.8%
3	292	18.6%
4	701	44.6%
High	542	34.5%




Question 44:
Presidential Plenaries

Total Responses: 1126

Overall Average: 4.13

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Low	4	0.4%
2	15	1.3%
3	229	20.3%
4	456	40.5%
High	422	37.5%




Question 45:
Special Interest Groups

Total Responses: 1067

Overall Average: 4.13


Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Low	7	0.7%
2	20	1.9%
3	207	19.4%
4	428	40.1%
High	405	38%



Question 46:
Workshops

Total Responses: 1291Overall Average: 4.24

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Low	4	0.3%
2	10	0.8%
3	198	15.3%
4	534	41.4%
High	545	42.2%



Question 47:

Comments

Total Responses: 63

**Overall
Average:**
n/a

Answer

- Na
- More interactive workshops desired!!
- Excellent workshops this year! There were so many I wanted to attend but could only choose 1 per time slot.
- posters seemed to be more of afterthought, would prefer it to be more intertwined within the schedule, sessions
- cool
- It felt as though there were fewer hot topic symposia than when PAS was held in-person in 2018 and 2019. This is one of my favorite formats. I also love the workshops, and it seemed that there were fewer faculty development focused workshops.
- The Neonatal QI Networking Breakout session was excellent, great leadership.
- I wish I could have attended more of the sessions and hope to be able to view on demand if they were recorded
- This survey is too long
- The posters spread apart was a nice touch!
- If there was a possibility I would consider having recording live instead of in advance since the talks are more engaging in person than to a comp screen.
- The evaluation processes are overly onerous and highly redundant. It is not helpful to have to go through so many screens and exercises to claim CME.
- see above re length of WS and SIGs - need longer time.
- it would be helpful to spread out workshops and other items of similar nature (for example there were 3 workshops on mental health Monday AM) so that people can attend more things
- Really enjoyed the suicide prevention i generation session
- General opening session was on Friday (not Saturday)

- Loved the "socially distanced" posters! It was much easier to navigate and have real conversations around the posters. Should keep that for future meetings even once COVID is not a concern.
- Workshops should be 2 hours long.
- I felt like this year's PAS included really interesting topics. I love that there was a focus on climate change, but maybe having 3 main areas was a bit much. 1-2 might be more feasible. I feel like everything was well organized and the Denver center had excellent signage and it was very easy to find everything. This is not true at other places. This was the best venue for PAS in years.
- The one disappointment is twice ASPN sessions were scheduled at the same time. Both were valuable but could attend only 1.
- Appreciated the variety of presentation modalities at PAS.
- For the scientific (abstract) and scholarly sessions I attended, the quality was high. But, I did not have much interest in many of the scholarly sessions.
- Fantastic!
- There was a lot of content this year regarding diversity, equity, inclusion, justice for our patients and colleagues and trainees which I thought was excellent.
- good
- This survey is far too long and too hard to find the mixed in required questions
- ASPN Award Luncheon had no vegetarian option. Shortened presentations during scientific talks resulted in most sessions running late.
- There were just too many things I wanted to attend that were all running at the same time in terms of workshops!
- yes
- The content of the scientific presentations and workshops was exceptional. I did not attend, but heard from several trainees that the SIG Networking events were great for them.
- NA
- Felt there could have been more variety of sessions related to PEM and QI. The plenaries were good, but more

educational sessions and workshops. Also was VERY hard to find them within the app and even printed book.

- the women's workshop was SUPERB
- I didn't like that the presidential plenaries were only themed.
- good variety
- the conference was so heavily focused on DEI and COVID it was a bit overwhelming and numbing
- went well
- appreciated that DEI was emphasized throughout
- some workshops could use 2 hours
- Well, the Env Health SIG was canceled at the last minute, no information as to the agenda, and nothing to explain why the cancellation. Again, as I mentioned, the extreme level of overlap pulled people from the individual research abstract sessions if one wanted to attend the plenary session. which is a real shame for all of the individual research presenters. Two abstract sessions I went to (for 1 presentation) had about 10-15 people in there at most, while the plenary was filled.
- The pre-conference Preterm Neurology section was incredible!!!
- not sure everything fits as well into three days.
- DO NOT have posters Monday evening.
- xx
- swrnymwen
- More oral abstracts and poster symposia would be desirable.
- NA
- The need to prioritize safety made it difficult to achieve the same types of interactions as previous meetings
- Need few posters and more platform presentations
- Not enough time at our SIG. Didn't get to the main business portion I was hoping to get to (in order to learn about new educational opportunities).
- High: those that where actual workshops with little peppel was interessting.
- NA

- Attended different mix this year and found it helpful.
- I'm not sure which sessions were which type.

1638 empty responses

Please rate your satisfaction with the following:


Question 48:

ease of scientific session submission process

Total Responses: 1315

Overall Average: 4.14

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Very Dissatisfied	8	0.6%
Dissatisfied	50	3.8%
Unsure	67	5.1%
Satisfied	809	61.5%
Very Satisfied	381	29%




Question 49:
ease of scientific session review process

Total Responses: 1245

Overall Average: 4.18

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Very Dissatisfied	8	0.6%
Dissatisfied	21	1.7%
Unsure	93	7.5%
Satisfied	737	59.2%
Very Satisfied	386	31%




Question 50:
quality of science presented

Total Responses: 1611

Overall Average: 4.29

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Very Dissatisfied	5	0.3%
Dissatisfied	26	1.6%
Unsure	61	3.8%
Satisfied	916	56.9%
Very Satisfied	603	37.4%




Question 51:
opportunities to network

Total Responses: 1619

Overall Average: 4.3

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Very Dissatisfied	1	0.1%
Dissatisfied	28	1.7%
Unsure	95	5.9%
Satisfied	852	52.6%
Very Satisfied	643	39.7%




Question 52:
ease of navigating the Meeting

Total Responses: 1636

Overall Average: 3.79

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Very Dissatisfied	56	3.4%
Dissatisfied	191	11.7%
Unsure	209	12.8%
Satisfied	769	47%
Very Satisfied	411	25.1%




Question 53:

ease of using the Online Searchable, Printable Program Guide

Total Responses: 1594

Overall Average: 3.43

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Very Dissatisfied	150	9.4%
Dissatisfied	244	15.3%
Unsure	287	18%
Satisfied	595	37.3%
Very Satisfied	318	19.9%




Question 54:

ease of using the PAS Program Guide app

Total Responses: 1603

Overall Average: 2.66

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Very Dissatisfied	507	31.6%
Dissatisfied	330	20.6%
Unsure	194	12.1%
Satisfied	352	22%
Very Satisfied	220	13.7%




Question 55:
Host city (Denver)

Total Responses: 1635

Overall Average: 4.09

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Very Dissatisfied	20	1.2%
Dissatisfied	97	5.9%
Unsure	222	13.6%
Satisfied	680	41.6%
Very Satisfied	616	37.7%




Question 56:

Hotels available near the Convention Center

Total Responses: 1574

Overall Average: 4.35

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Very Dissatisfied	5	0.3%
Dissatisfied	30	1.9%
Unsure	115	7.3%
Satisfied	686	43.6%
Very Satisfied	738	46.9%




Question 57:

Clarity of communications from PAS

Total Responses: 1639

Overall Average: 4.15

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Very Dissatisfied	11	0.7%
Dissatisfied	48	2.9%
Unsure	195	11.9%
Satisfied	820	50%
Very Satisfied	565	34.5%




Question 58:

Timeliness of communications from PAS

Total Responses: 1636

Overall Average: 4.17

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Very Dissatisfied	14	0.9%
Dissatisfied	47	2.9%
Unsure	172	10.5%
Satisfied	823	50.3%
Very Satisfied	580	35.5%



Question 59:

Meeting Satisfaction: Additional Comments

Total Responses: 358

**Overall
Average:**
n/a

Answer

- The app was HORRIBLE. It took minutes to upload my schedule. Tech support was even worse. They just kept telling me to be patient and reload the app, which still failed.
- Your app is horrifically bad and embarrassment. It's just as bad, if not worse, than the last in-person meeting. Please improve the performance of this app before the next meeting. It truly should be a very high priority.
- I enjoyed my time there
- It would be nice to have coffee served in the morning rather than the afternoon. The lines in the morning for coffee at the hotels and coffee shops are long and many people are late for the first sessions due to this.
- App was not a good platform, very slow and would just shut down, and not searchable. Everything else was great! Good to be back in person
- Meeting app horrendous crashed a lot!! Hyatt Regency convention center: no restaurant are you kidding? No concierge? Windows unwashed? Please!!!
- The app had a LOT of bugs. SShould consider not using EventBright for next year... that app was awful.
- the app was slow and finicky
- Hard to find how to do CME
- Denver downtown felt unsafe! Hotels were expensive. Worst of all the app was terrible, constantly buffering, not loading, crashing. Not easy to use. Enjoyed networking in person and listening to colleagues from across the country present their great work that is being done
- the app was beyond horrible. It was slow to load and so ineffective that myself and many other reverted to paper and writing things down which as very disappointing

- Meeting app did not work at all on my phone and I heard this was true for multiple other attendees. Otherwise, meeting was very organized and easy to navigate.
- Overall a great meeting. The daily emails from the APS were terrific and very helpful. The app was a royal pain. Very slow and often crashed. If it worked better it would have been great.
- The app was TERRIBLE and slow, not useful
- well done
- The app did not work well at all. It took so long to load my schedule that it was not useful in real time to see where I was going next. It also did not save posters to your schedule in the app, which made it more difficult to support trainees and colleagues
- There is SO much information to process due to the size of the conference. Allowing to choose by specialty is helpful. It would also be helpful to label which activities require input, as some of us are introverts.
- My low scores about "navigation" reflect the fact that the APP was TERRIBLE! I could never get it to load anything, eventually deleting it. Most colleagues said the same. PAS should get a REFUND for whatever \$ you paid for that terrible product! It wasn't just connectivity issues, because it didn't work with cellular, in hotel WiFi, Convention center Wifi, etc. It just didn't work, period! IN previous years, I found the APP helpful to store favorite sessions, quickly look up room locations, message, network, etc. This year's product was the disaster, not the concept.
- the APP was horrendous- it broke often, didn't keep my saved sessions consistently and was difficult to navigate
- app was very slow to load
- details around oral poster symposium were unclear, even with emails back and forth with PAS these were still unclear
- App was disappointing and hard to use. Could have used more forewarning about need to upload presentation recordings
- always difficult to navigate Denver. Downtown is really depressed, not lively and fewer choices for restaurants.
- Things went smoothly

- The app was slow and buggy, but when it did work it was great. Denver is a great conference location. Fantastic communication from PAS
- App kept crashing =(
- Overall, well run and useful meeting with good content. The app didn't work well, it was slow and froze a lot.
- As above, the app was really glitchy and the search function didn't work well. Would have appreciated more notice about deadlines to upload slides and record. The recording technology was incredibly clunky and difficult to use (recording slide by slide instead of straight through). Ideal would be to record the live sessions.
- I would never buy on demand again, if I cannot attend in person. I had thought it was live via internet, like other conferences recently
- The app was very slow and hard to navigate.
- fun meeting
- The app was slow and crashed often on my iPhone. I ended up taking screen shots of the sessions I was hosting because I did not trust the app to work when I needed it. The submission site was ridiculously slow; my co-presenter could never register and we did not submit our workshop together because it took >12 hours for her to get her log-on information from this "automated" system.
- I felt lost all the time. The App was awful, and I felt like I rarely knew who was doing what when.
- The APP was a problem
- Convention center was great, Denver a great choice for hosting city; increased spacing for the posters was a welcome improvement that should have happened before COVID!!!
- I mostly appreciate the pocket guide in paper format and the note book where I could scribe and not have to use a laptop computer or my cell phone when the battery ran out. Please provide the paper booklet at the next conference for those of us who rely on paper and pencil notes and less technology savvy when it comes to look up which session to attend and where it is located. 3pl
- The app did not work the best. Not intuitive or often did not connect

- app was terrible
- The app did not work super well during conference; unsure if poor connection speed or app itself. Wasn't too big of a deal - I just logged into website on a browser.
- the program app was TERRIBLE. it required way to much space on my iphone and ipad, it was too slow, painful to use. The on-line access seems better than the app.
- this survey is too long
- The PAS needs some work. It was very slow with glitches
- The app was terrible. It crashed frequently and was too slow to load. This was the first year this has happened. It was not effective & it was the first year I was wishing I had picked up a paper guide (however, my desire to not pollute the environment won)
- The app was terrible. Please to contract the same people who created it next year. It was useless. I ended up checking the website itself.
- The program was glitchy and I had difficulty finding and returning to sessions I was interested in attending.
- App remains horrible. kept forgetting my schedule. hard to use. crashed a lot.
- PAS appt did not work for me. Please have better App next year. Online Program Guide was difficult to search and cumbersome to use.
- the app was very difficult to use, often froze, and search feature was not reliable.
- The app continually crashed. The app is a perennial issue with PAS and needs to be addressed. I also think that there should be more options to submit abstracts to multiple topic areas during the submissions process, analagous to how journals require a primary and secondary subject area. This will allow abstracts to have "two shots" at being selected.
- One problem was that the Obesity Sig and the Obesity II (3595) sessions were co-scheduled and forced interested parties to miss one or the other sessions.
- The app was a mess. It never saved and spend so much time syncing I could not actually use it.
- See above comments: Overall, the App was a tremendous problem, the abstract review process needs to better

expertise of reviewers with the abstracts, more cutting edge science, and optimize inclusion.

- The phone app was particularly poor this year. Crashed frequently, did not cross over stored sessions from web platform. did not make it easy to plan a schedule or complete evals real time. Please switch companies for next year.
- I always love all the support for mothers- diaper pails in bathrooms- pumping space- I love it all!
- Very difficult to get food during the meeting. Long lines and overwhelmed restaurants meant going without meals for long stretches of time.
- The app was truly horrible and essentially inaccessible at the meeting, and the online guide wasn't much better with the poor internet connectivity
- I'm afraid the app did not work very well - very slow to load and navigate. Ended up just using the online version on my phone, which was much better. I understood the reason behind requiring all authors' disclosures prior to submission but given the possibility of not being accepted, it seemed like extra effort that could have been completed after the abstract was submitted.
- It would be helpful to presenters to provide a tentative deadline when online materials (posters, audio recordings, slides) need to be submitted. Providing this date 2 weeks before the deadline caused a last minute scramble, which made the process stressful.
- The internet was very, very unreliable and it made the app difficult to depend on
- The app was horrible and froze often.
- The App was AWFUL. Please do not use again next year
- Denver was pretty dingy. At times did not feel safe walking around the convention area in the evenings. the App was not useful (again), except that we could download the sessions to our schedules. Hard to navigate.
- Reminders about deadlines would have been more beneficial on a different timeline -- for instance, not sending a reminder email about need to upload and record several weeks and then 2 days before due. A reminder the week before would have been more timely.

- I did not feel safe walking from the convention center in Denver to my hotel
- The app was very glitchy and not dependable. I had favorited the sessions I wanted to attend and when I could not consistently access the app, ended up switching to the booklet. The powerpoint slides were frequently not within the app so the take notes feature was obsolete
- The app was horrible! It frequently froze up or crashed. I had to re-install it on my phone several times during the meeting.
- The App did not work.
- App non functional for the most part. Everything else was great
- very satisfied
- New app was awful. So slow. Not easy to navigate. Old one was better
- The app was difficult to use and did not work well at the convention center site (not enough bandwidth for all of the users?)
- Location was quite upsetting. I saw an attendee in a wheelchair be spit on by a homeless man. I felt rather unsafe as a female attending an otherwise excellent meeting.
- App was slow and kept freezing or shutting off. In the rare event that I could get it to work, I would take screenshots of my schedule because I couldn't reliably access it again (also ended up adding sessions via the website instead of the app). Would have liked for "my schedule" to default to the current day, not the first day of the conference (scrolling was slow and would freeze the app). It was hard to look through the sessions and know what I'd already favorited, since some of the "stars" were right there on the list but other "stars" were buried beneath a drop-down menu. Would have liked more description of sessions in the app, more slides.
- have submitted survey many times, answered all questions repeatedly and continue to receive the message that not all questions have been answered.
- The app was slow and not convenient. I used the printed out manual instead. Please allow the app feature to add

posters to the favorites because I use favorites as my list for places to go and I go to the poster sessions.

- No feedback on why my abstract was rejected - defeats purpose of meeting. Phone app very slow and hard to use, nonfunctional
- definitely have print available next year or have online available 2 weeks earlier and make sure it doesn't crash every time.
- The app was a nightmare. Ended up deleting it
- The app did not work at all and was impossible to use.
- The APP was awful. Slow. Not friendly.
- It's difficult for meetings to span two working weeks (Friday to Monday) and would prefer the conference was within one week for ease of accommodating time off to attend.
- The app basically didn't work at all
- There was not much food available nearby but this was understandable due to the pandemic
- Denver was a great city. I enjoyed having a choice of many hotels within walking distance. The area had a lot of eateries and places to get together. The app really needs work. It was slow. It did not seem to sync well with the website. I could not figure out how to view session descriptions in the app. The search and filtering functions were difficult to use.
- App was incredibly slow to load and use.
- App was challenging to use - did not work well to search topics or presenters
- The low quality app tremendously diminished what i got out of the meeting. In two sessions I attended the slides of one of the presenters were unavailable.
- The PAS app was absolutely terrible. I would get a new vendor for future conferences.
- The app was very glitchy this year. Did not work consistently.
- The App was slow and often annoying
- To accommodate the virtual audience, presenters had to record and upload their presentation 2 weeks ahead of time. This was not planned for my work schedule which made me meeting the deadline on time impossible. Fortunately, I was able to be granted an extension but if

this is the plan for future meetings, we should be notified of this possibility at abstract submission so work schedule can be planned better. Many institutions have work schedules made a year in advance so trying to make a talk, rehearse, and upload during clinical time was a stretch!

- So many emails - not once did they suggest taking the train from airport to Union station - practical/useful info. App didn't work well - it froze a lot, and not organized that well
- The App this year was very difficult to navigate and slow to load making it difficult to see what was on at a certain time and where it was on. The poster sessions weren't included in the contents of the day, rather they were in a separate section of the app making it difficult to see what time they were on each day.
- I'm sure you heard many, many times: the app was too slow to load. It was annoying.
- The App was slow and kept freezing and needing to be restarted
- The app really did not work well at all
- Online app frequently hung/delayed response/had to wait for app or search
- The deadline to submit digital scientific content was too early in relation to when we were notified that it would be required. This was a huge stress, particularly for those with multiple trainees/presentations.
- superb hotel and convention center access - very easy to get around. The app was beyond awful but other online access to the meeting content was good.
- It was wonderful to come back in person after no in-person conferences in past 2 years. The content of the meeting and opportunities to network were fantastic. I was quite disappointed in the app and the site website. The app is one of the worst apps I have ever used. It froze constantly and did not allow to look up sessions in real time, whether on Thursday or Friday before the main conference started and there were fewer users or during the main conference, so it did not seem dependent on user traffic. The app and website made it difficult to search presenters and sessions by presenter name and institution. Ability to search for posters were not integrated well into the app and website. More in depth user testing should be performed next year. During the conference as a SIG presenter, we had several

issues with the technology in our room. Tech support had to come by 5 times. We had initially loaded our session in the speaker ready room, but when we looked for our slides in the room we were presenting in, the slides were not there; then we were able to find the slides, but it was an earlier version. Whenever we pressed the escape key during the presentation, we were not able to get back to the slides, requiring IT to have to restart the computer. We had difficulty accessing the internet. An easier way to toggle between the internet and the slides is essential. The IT group seemed to want to help us but kept having to check in with "speaker ready" to see what they were allowed to do to help. The system seems antiquated and gives limited functionality for presenters. In addition, there were issues with the audio in several rooms; staff representatives in the rooms did not seem to make much of an effort to help with this.

- The PAS app was terrible- incredibly slow, delayed in its ability to open slides, awkward to use. Denver is a great city, and enjoyed visiting again. The PAS communications overall were great, although there was a delay in information on the app release.
- challenging to obtain good food while at meeting
- The app didn't work
- no guidance from organizers for my talk, only knew about need for recording from PAS automated email
- The app kept crashing on me, or it would be very slow to load or not work at all.
- The app is bad - we probably save money by using the EventScribe platform, but we get a crappy product.
- Please fix the PAS App. Other conferences can get this right. AAMC 10 years ago had a better app than we do now. It took forever to load and then would randomly freeze. It was very frustrating and made navigation of the conference much more difficult than it needed to be.
- the app was horribly slow, to the point that it was not useful during the meeting. This clearly needs to be improved for next year.
- Overall online portal difficult to navigate. I was surprised there was no COVID testing opportunities given all the in-person eating going on.

- The online tool was also terrible -even worse than previous years. I kept getting kicked out of it so it was virtually impossible to create an agenda and follow it from the app. I was supposed to get calendar invites and didn't, it was overall very unsatisfactory.
- App was horrible, did not work majority of the time on my phone and would freeze
- The on-line system was not good - the schedules printed either without conference room numbers and without presenter names. Not very helpful. The conference itself was great!
- the app was horrible, crashed all the time and was very slow
- directions for poster symposia was a bit confusing with online content
- Interacting with the online site and app were extremely difficult. Additionally, the closure of evaluations immediately after the live session was over is unrealistic - anyone registered and checked in should be able to complete evals for live sessions after the fact.
- Too many high individuals (sometimes borderline hostile) around the area.
- The website was quite good. The app was a mess. It crashed my phone and froze frequently. I gave up on using it and just used a combination of the website and printed guide instead.
- the app was essentially unusable - would crash constantly and it was very difficult to figure out the title/presenter for talks within a session. It was even more useless for posters - it was essentially impossible to figure out who had posters in different sessions. The printed program guide was almost as useless - there was so little information in there it really didn't help at all. To be honest the whole thing made me long for the old days of a fat printed program book.
- The app sometimes shut down and deleted the favorites saved.
- Lots of concurrent sessions likely to draw the same audience. Program app was not user-friendly. Red/yellow/green stickers were a good idea but were not respected by audience. In the end I felt I was not COVID-safe at the meeting,

- The app is unusable.
- App should have been available sooner for me to plan meeting agenda
- App very slow and non-functional at times; convention center incredibly well labeled! Great space! Coffee sessions and snacks much appreciated.
- The app was incredibly slow and buggy - nearly unusable unless you wanted to carefully study the UCSF ad
- the app is a great idea- did not work, and was very frustrating
- The PAS app was very slow & inefficient to use. We could search for posters by author name, but we could not search for presentations by author name.
- Difficult to find posters we wished to visit, APP did not work well
- As you probably know already, the app was absolutely awful to navigate! Kept freezing, running the phone battery down quickly and was super slow
- The app was useless and buggy. The last minute extension to upload virtual presentation was appreciated but communicated late. The overall organization was slightly overwhelming at first but eventually made sense. Denver is fine.
- Please see my comments about CME credits
- The app and poor maps made it confusing and time consuming to find the right sessions. Also, sessions did not have enough of a description to help us decide if it's applicable. Lastly, it would help if there were more tracks (for newborn (non-NICU) care, etc).
- The PAS committee was very late in telling people when their posters/presentations were being presented (ex Monday night) and so I had to miss my poster presentations (x2) and the SIG lunch because I had to submit schedule requests months ahead of time and could not get this time off
- The app was very bad.
- I sometimes had trouble logging into the system, and then it was not immediately obvious where to find things online. The app and the program were very straightforward, and I did like having a small printed book with the schedule.

- I did not download the app in the end. Many of my colleagues said it was difficult to manage.
- The app was MISERABLY BAD
- Would be nice to have more food options available at the conference centre
- the app crashed frequently and did not save favorited sessions
- The app was really frustrating. Loved Denver as a host city and the proximity of hotels to convention center.
- The app was very clunky in terms of building a schedule. I've had much better experiences with other conference apps
- App was a little glitchy, but I think this was less of an issue during the actual event (was troubleshooted?)
- the app was difficult to navigate
- The app was terrible as it froze repeatedly. It was much easier to access the program and "favorite" sessions via the computer, but since I wished to use the app on site to help me navigate the meeting, the ease in using the computer-based program was negated. I appreciate the condensed paper guide as I could then readily identify the room I needed to get to for a particular session. Also, the paper guide helped with identifying topic areas of interest during poster sessions.
- I was a co-author on a paper and it was very difficult to complete the conflict of interest form. It kept getting lost or blocked through my institution's email. Also, the app was ok but not the best. There needed to be more info about workshops as in the past so we could determine if we wanted to attend or not.
- The APP was very slow to download the My Schedule options and it wasn't easy to organize your schedule via the App.
- I really appreciated that masks were required throughout the event - this made me comfortable being there given I have children who are not eligible for vaccination.
- app kept crashing, made it difficult to figure out where to go next; loved the extra time in between sessions
- The app was essentially useless this year. It froze every time I tried to use it.

- Other than the app, it was an incredible positive meeting with strong science, strong appropriate focus on DEI work, inclusive of medical education too, and wonderful to be in person, still felt safe, thanks!!!
- The app and meeting support were horrible - much improvement needed
- good
- website and app was a nightmare. i missed sessions because of it
- I was on a panel presentation. Submitting the virtual content was a nightmare. Way too cumbersome and complicated. Asking presenters to record and splice all of the presentations together was ridiculous. Having us present via recording and again in person was overly burdensome. Very poor planning. Further, the app was a disaster. The online selections did not all transfer to the app. The app would stall frequently. The app was incredibly difficult to use and significantly diminished by ability to enjoy the meeting.
- I struggled with the online app and depended on colleagues to find sessions.
- This may have been a problem with my internet/service, but the app was very slow, which was a major barrier to its use
- Poor sound quality at several sessions, especially floor microphones
- The app was the worst ever.
- There did not seem to be a platform session for Bioethics, and that is something I would like to see come back in the future.
- Audio-visual quality was sub-optimal
- We should NOT be required to BOTH record our presentation and present in person. Took way too much time in already an overstressed year. And the meeting app worked very poorly.
- The phone app was way more glitchy then past applications. Was hard to navigate to where I was going.
- The App was terrible at managing my chosen sessions and finding them

- This survey is far too long and too hard to find the mixed in required questions
- The networking session were great - would make them longer and have more!
- Lots of homeless people and lots of concerns for safety around the convention center and hotels at night. The app seemed to work sometimes and at other times seemed to have issues. The app was organized and I did like the layout, but its reliability was in question.
- The app froze a lot and was difficult to use. I found it easier to access the online program guide on my browser on my phone
- The App this year was basically unusable. After three or four tries and the App consistently freezing I gave up and used the booklet.
- app could use some work, was hard to search / difficult to sort by type/date
- Timelines for submission of the videos could have been communicated earlier. The platform for recording one slide at a time takes too much time.
- The online platform was clunky, crashed a lot. Was not nearly as useful/functional as platforms I have used at other meetings.
- Few to-go food options near conference center. Schedule app was literally unusable. Paper and online program would benefit from sorting by society rather than alphabetical lists. Errors in transferring booking from ASPN site to hotels almost resulted in disaster.
- App did not work well. Although I could save posters I wanted to visit, these were arranged alphabetically by title, not day / abstract number, which made finding posters impossible. Online sessions much better - added to my calendar.
- App was really poor and difficult to work with. I usually exited out of the app at least 4 times an hour because it froze.
- The app was not good. Slow, seemed to freeze alot
- The app was terrible, glitchy, kept getting kicked off. I submitted a workshop proposal and never heard anything back.

- poor communication regarding need to pre-record a debate session and PAS app was very glitchy, I stopped using it
- The app was pretty terrible. Can we also make the deadline for submissions be the week after the new year?
- App needs an overhaul. It should leave me logged in and recognize WiFi networks. It did not load information in a format that is usable on a phone screen and was very clunky. Somewhat better on a laptop/web version but still clunky. Neither allowed me to sort sessions easily or find room numbers quickly when needed.
- yes
- The convention center was a little challenging to navigate and the surrounding area of the convention center didn't feel as safe as i would have liked it to. Food was expensive and hard to find inexpensive options nearby.
- the app kept freezing and not working
- Would have been helpful to have APP ready earlier
- The app was really slow and it was often difficult to pull up my schedule even though I had taken so much time to select talks.
- it would be helpful to know who can go to what, ie are some of the sections "closed" or can anyone join the SIG?
- the app would often freeze
- Would be helpful if the app worked and also if there were a way to sync btw the app for the sessions we attended and the evals / CME.
- audio services were probelematic in many rooms
- Sessions were a little overlapping/disorganized compared to prior years. The APP was terrible and clearly did not go through user acceptance testing for functionality and ease of use.
- Would have been nice for the computers in the rooms for workshops to have wifi; also, the app was so slow
- App was challening and frequently crashed/stalled. Difficult to search and search results were not often helpful to determine when a particular person was presenting at a session.
- The website, app, and information regarding submission were clunky, unintuitive, or terrible. The website was

sparse and seemed to give no information whatsoever about the conference; it linked only to past conferences.

- It was very difficult to get short notice to record sessions in advance. The app was terrible. It continually lost my schedule, picture, and crashed. I had to use the paper schedule as I couldn't count on the app. It would be nice to have a pocket sized schedule.
- The information regarding the sessions and the session setup was a challenge, especially for those presenting.
- The app did not work properly and would take several minutes to find the sessions. Not user friendly
- Denver is a great venue - Convention Center is well set up and easy to navigate, hotels are close by, and good places to eat are in walking distance. Communication from PAS was great, app was terrible - clunky, froze often, hard to see favorites
- Phone app (android) did not allow me to see my favorites/schedule. There were many times where it did not load properly.
- the app did not work well on an android. Ease of submitting work but having to pay for abstracts that were rejected is not equitable and is not what most conferences do.
- Would have been nice to know ahead of time about the mask requirement, if this was presented via email I missed it. The PAS app often froze/crashed making it cumbersome to use. Details of presentations were only in the app and not the booklet so had to go back and forth between the two.
- I found that I missed very valuable sessions because I could not get the app to work. I had to finally just print out a list from my home institution and from a list a colleague had made that was relevant to our shared interests. This meant that i missed sessions that were outside of my home institution and area of main interest. The online version worked well but it is too cumbersome to search on the go. The app also drained my phone battery, I had to keep a battery back-up with me. The app has worked in the past so I am not sure what the difference was this year.
- Mobile app never worked during my time in Denver, which made it very challenging to navigate the meeting

- The app crashed frequently or froze. I ended up deleting it and using my phone browser to access the mobile program site more easily.
- The app was so difficult to use, it often wouldn't load anything
- The hotel booking information implied that the Hyatt was physically connected to the Convention Center, and it WAS NOT
- The app was really difficult to use and loaded for prolonged periods of time. Abstract reviewers were told they would not need to review more than 20 or 30 abstracts and many individuals, including myself, were asked to review 60, which was challenging.
- The app was very clumsy -- didn't work well
- The app was really disappointing. It was glitchy and slow. I would like to search for a senior author (who is unlikely to be speaker or first author) and see ALL the work they are involved in. I would also like the posters that I star to be automatically added to my schedule. The absence of these 2 features, along with the slowness, was deeply offputting
- The APP can gain more functionality for next year
- There were sessions on similar topics scheduled at the same time. This was not ideal.
- NA
- Denver is probably not a city worth revisiting. Sadly seemed to have a remarkably high challenge of homelessness layered with substance use disorder, creating a difficult environment for walking around securely.
- the app had some functional; issues-- kept freezing shutting down or moving slowly
- too many simultaneous sessions in the same subspecialty/topic- missed out on attending several good scholarly sessions due to this scheduling conflict. Other times were devoid of relevant content in that specialty
- Absolutely exceeded expectations.
- PAS app was bad. Froze all the time . not easy to use.
- The app did not work and would freeze. Very difficult to see what was included in each Session. The app from Toronto was much more user friendly

- The app is terrible. I ended up leaving a browser tab open on my phone and accessed the program that way. Half the time the app didn't work properly and when it did it was too slow to be useful. Also the app needs to get to attendees more than 4 days before the meeting starts.
- App was useless
- HORRIBLE APP!
- PAS app crashed or locked up frequently
- The wifi was a major disappointment.
- instructions came too late; app was terrible - could not use to search by subject areas
- Online app had very poor performance
- Very good.
- The app did not work most of the time. Too slow. Poster sessions on NEC/GI seems to be on the last day at every meeting I have attended. Most people are gone by then. Can this be moved around from year to year?
- The app stayed on the welcoming screen too long and it took a while to load personalized schedules
- The APP was very slow and difficult to navigate.
- App often froze/crashed iPhone- ended up using paper guide more often
- App had issues, I couldn't sort through the schedule, asynchronous online content made it hard to know what to do as it wasn't clear what was going to be available later or not.
- The app was not good. It froze, did not reload selected content and was too slow to be useful. It really detracted from the meeting experience. The small paper booklet saved the day. I was not able to browse posters though since the app was so poor.
- I found the website for submitting work to be confusing.
- It was frustrating to have to upload talks SO soon before PAS. Hard to get everything ready in time. The app was terrible. BRING BACK THE BOOKS!!!
- The app this year was awful -- I could not get it to go further than the initial login screen/questions prior to the app freezing. As such, I never used it...

- The app froze A LOT, wouldn't show the correct day in My Schedule (favorites) (or maybe so slow to transition to the day that it never seemed to happen).
- Overall very pleased
- too many emails that did not distinguished themselves...all similar and hard to tell what was the highlight or point. The app was not good especially filtering capabilities, slow and crashed a lot.
- App was clunky, bugg/froze; also not easy to navigate
- app was hard to use
- Terrible app- glitchy and kept quitting
- App was very unreliable and slow
- I just think with the pandemic this should have been virtual. I felt uncomfortable traveling, especially since we take care of kids who are not vaccinated.
- Meeting App was a joke and a nightmare to use, did not work and used lots of battery and mobile phone storage. Do not pay the company.
- Although it was good to have the sessions available online after the meeting, not all the sessions are available online. The quality of some of the online recordings was poor. The cost of attending only the the online sessions was too high.
- The app was HORRIBLE. Completely unreliable - it froze and/or kicked me out repeatedly.
- Very enjoyable meeting.
- I was thrilled to come to DEnver convention center to do this in person, but I feel that it would have been nice to spread some more activities over THursday and Friday as pre-conference.
- The app frequently crashed so I ended up using the printed guide the most
- The submission process and the app are unsatisfactory. We need to be able to label our work in multiple dimensions at the submission stage (e.g., QI and rheumatology). The APP was really unusable. Had to go back to using the online version, would not recommend spending money on it again. Finally, these evaluations are unfortunate -- we are asked only to evaluate the chair and none of the individual speakers -- this is a missed

opportunity to support people's evaluations for future promotion.

- The website was good, but the app was terrible.
- Wow. That PAS app....
- The app was a major issue. took very long to load, unweildy. need also to communicate better with speakers that uploaded talks will be used as virtual access; should tell them when invited that it is part of the process
- The topics seem to be led by interests of the presenters rather than vision of the conference
- App was unusable. Really poor design. Super slow and often froze. Couldn't see full session speakers when you looked them up one way (by track) but could if you looked up another way (by day) Drained my phone battery when it was on.
- Mask requirement is absurd- follow the real science
- The search function within the app and online platform was very limited; senior or mid authors were not able to be searched so it made it difficult to network with colleagues' trainee. I couldn't even find my own fellow's poster until the day of within the search function. The app needs a serious overall/update
- The App needs to be scrapped and start all over. Slow, doesn't save, clunky to search. Overall just awful. You know, it's takes up space, costs money, but the paper program would at least let us see the titles of the talks in the sessions. This app is a classic example of a change that is NOT an improvement
- The App was TERRIBLE. Crashed all the time. Almost useless.
- App was terrible -- unable to locate presentations/presenters I KNOW were accepted, had colleagues complain that there were unable to locate my team's presentations. Review process abusive of reviewer time -- I received >60 abstracts "due to insufficient volunteers" -- based on variable quality of accepted presentations, I suspect I was not alone in being overburdened/unable to do detailed review due to sheer volume. If not enough volunteers, RECRUIT MORE! (we do this for other meetings) ... I will be hesitant to volunteer in future given this year's experience

- The Lactation room was poorly set up and I heard several complaints from colleagues who were disappointed by the unclear planning and execution
- Too many abstracts assigned to review -- much more than prior years. The app did not work -- froze a lot and could not read any details about the sessions.
- Get a different app vendor
- App was very intermittent in usability. Even when functioning there were many many problems. The ATS app two weeks later was far superior.
- Denver is a boring city!
- Online recording of sessions for platform presentation a major chore, and time consuming. Would suggest in the future that it be optional to record slides, after depositing slides
- It would be nice if we could again have social events such as visits to museums, the symphony of the city performing for our group. Perhaps PAS can get a waiver from the FDA so that these activities can be sponsored. Best if all presenters were live and present and not on video etc.
- The app was very slow.
- Sound system in most of the conference halls was bad. The technicians were not much interested to fix the problems.
- The app was so clunky - more often than not, it froze and did not work when I tried to use it
- Not enough good science
- Program app was unreliable, not able to use consistently in real time. Website program navigation was great.
- xx
- The app was terrible and kept crashing. Otherwise, great meeting. I would recommend using a different app platform for next year.
- The app was so hard to use! Please put poster numbers for individual sections in the printed handbook to make it easier to navigate posters without the app. Please make each poster subgroup have 30 minutes of author time and then rotate to a different poster subgroup, so presenters can see multiple posters, and you know when to go to a section if you want to see the presenters.

- PAS app was very slow to load making it challenging to review in real time
- rwnmynmrw
- The coffee breaks were a really great addition. Stimulated conversation and a nice caffeine boost.
- PAS app did not function well
- App was terrible--so much loading lag.
- The app was a little glitchy at times. I did like that there were multiple ways to search for things. I did like that when I marked favorites on my computer, it synced with the app on my mobile device. I liked that I could preview slides; this was especially helpful as the moderator for one of the oral abstract sessions. I did not like that I could not search for posters by a person's name (or if I could, it was not easy to figure out how to do that).
- APP IS HORRIBLE TO USE! IT FREEZES, DOES NOT TRACK FAVORITED TALKS WELL, DOES NOT CREATE INDIVIDUAL FAVORITES SCHEDULE. ALSO HORRIBLE EXPRIENCE WITH DOING BOTH A VIDEO RECORDING AND PRESENTING BOTH. THIS IS NOT GOING TO ATTRACT PEOPLE IF THEY KEEP HAVING TO DO BOTH. SIMPLY RECORD THE LIVE TALK AND POST IT ON DEMAND
- Downtown Denver was not safe due to the homeless population
- The app was TERRIBLE and really diminished the experience of the conference. It kept crashing and was really hard to work with.
- the App froze every few seconds
- The App was glitchy. Often froze, worked slowly, and was hard to navigate. (A downgrade in quality from prior years)
- the app and website were difficult to use. a "coding error" was associated with my account and I could not get CME to work until someone from the conference fixed it. It was very hard to find sessions in the program guide. much worse than prior years in terms of IT support and planning and ease of finding info about sessions online.
- I don't think I will be coming back in the future. My group submitted what I think were very high quality abstracts and were not accepted. I've gone to PAS over twenty times and no longer feel it is venue for Obesity work.

- the iphone app was poor. on another note, perhaps too much emphasis on equity research. • •
- TERRIBLE APP • •
- The app was disappointing and often crashed or froze. Why only a week to load our schedules into app,? And so many presenters did not add slides. Why was taking pictures of talks and slides prohibited ? • •
- PAS application did not work well at all, hard to find where to go etc • •
- The meeting app this year was HORRIBLE! The platform used was extremely slow and clunky. Due to the difficulties in using the app I had to write out the sessions I wanted to attend. This also made it difficult to find other sessions to attend if the session I was attending was not what I thought it was. Whatever the previous apps were that worked in the past I strongly encourage that we go back to those platforms. I would also recommend if not already happening to have thorough testing of the app prior to the conference. I think it also should be clear in the communications which app is the general APA app and which app is for the conference. • •
- App was very glitchy and unreliable, making it difficult to rely on. With regard to review of scientific sessions, the timeline for abstract reviews was very tight and expectations for number of reviews too high (and inadequately communicated ahead of time). • •
- excellent meeting. so nice to be back in person! I appreciate the meeting planning committee and PAS program staff for making this a successful PAS meeting in the midst of an ongoing pandemic. Excellent scientific sessions and opportunities to network! • •
- The PAS app was not useful at all, and did more harm than good - many attendees spent significant time making their individual conference schedule in the app, only to then be unable to access the schedule because the app would not function. It froze constantly and was extremely slow. This was a huge detriment to easily navigating the meeting. This sentiment was shared among many participants I interacted with. • •
- Recording the talks with the software that required that we record each slide separately was a nightmare. It took several hours to record a 20-minute talk for logistical • •

reasons initially and because the software was so cumbersome, buggy and slow. Please do not use this software again. For other meetings I have recorded my talk on zoom, for example, which takes about as long as it takes to give the talk, e.g., 20 minutes

- The program app was dysfunctional and the search function gave inaccurate results. • •
- The convention center had a lot of technical issues. • •
- The app is super clunky, stops working frequently. • •
- The PAS app was a big let down, too much memory /power dependent • •
- NA • •
- The venue was difficult to navigate. The good part was that there was substantial room to socially distance. However, walking an average of 3+ miles a day was a big waste of time. The airport is far from the convention center and messy to navigate (plus filled up with unmasked people). Not a lot of interesting affordable restaurants nearby. Hotels expensive. Would suggest dropping Denver from the list! • •
- Extremely satisfied - a sincere thanks to all the organizers. • •
- The app was awful. It was slow, continuously froze and had to be restarted and was redundant to navigate. It was impossible to pick specific presentations in specific sessions to go to. • •
- The app was abysmal. Having to see the same add for 5-10 seconds every time I needed to access it was intrusive. It would often glitch/stop working even with excellent internet signal. The most difficult part was trying to find posters. While it is useful to search by favorites, there should be a sub-search feature to search by day/sort by poster number. It is otherwise impossible to navigate posters because they are in alphabetical not numerical order. It would also be great to be able to access workshop slides as there are so many simultaneous sessions. In addition, every day there was a lull between 2:30 and the start of the poster session author attendance. Please offer workshops or alternative programming during that time to avoid "dead" time and maximize attendee opportunities to participate in activities. Lastly, my hotel (Sheraton) was a 15 minute walk away. This is the first year there were no buses, which is ok, but there was no guidance about • •

transportation advice or routes. I felt very unsafe the first day I walked to the convention center (14th street) and would have liked to have had readily available advice for taking the free mall shuttle/appropriate walking route. The hotel was not helpful in this regard either.

- The app was horrible and kept freezing • •
- The APP frequently would freeze and was difficult to utilize. Had to move to the printable program. • •
- It may have been that I have not been at an in-person conference in awhile, but the navigation of both the convention center and the app seemed non-intuitive. • •
- The app was terrible-- very slow and wouldn't load a lot of times, please use a different app next year! • •
- Program App did not list all the posters. Masking requirements could have been communicated earlier and more prominently. • •
- I thought the previous app was bad, and this was no better. Very disappointing app interface. • •
- The app was the worst app i have ever tried to use. • •
- The app never worked properly. • •
- app was terrible. Good hotel selection, was disappointed in the Sheraton personally but not the fault of PAS. Denver is expensive city for food, etc but maybe everywhere is like that post-COVID. I do wish all conferences would get rid of submission fee for abstracts, etc as the reviewers don't see that at all and unclear where those funds are going. • •
- Jaclyn Huff was particularly helpful!! • •
- The website and app were difficult to navigate. • •
- I must be old, I liked the more detailed printed program guide. The app was not easy to navigate. Difficult to find out what sessions were actually about. • •
- • •
- The app was kind of difficult to navigate. Confused how to access on demand resources • •
- Please please please invest in an app developer that can build a useful app...this was just...disappointing • •
- Denver was great host city. Ample healthy food option nearby the convention center(Baltimore was abysmal) Hyatt across the street had great food options in the cafe or buffet with manageable lines (Baltimore did not). • •

Convention center also had ample seating. Coffee hour was well timed and well placed.

- The App this year was not user friendly as compared with years past • •
- The app was terrible. • •
- Overall great. The app itself would freeze/close randomly when in use or take a long-time to load. But appreciate the opportunity to see attendees and sort by day/event, etc. • •
- The area around the convention center was very unsafe and concerning. I would not return to Denver for a meeting. • •
- The communication regarding the need to both record content and create slides for the live portion of the meeting could have been better. It was stressful trying to get all of that done. The platform to submit the videos also was very glitchy. Finally the app was not great - i had significant challenges using it during the meeting. • •
- Had some problems with communication with PAS re an IPHA sponsored session • •
- PAS app would have been great, but didn't work. Just one in a group of 3 got it functionable a couple days. The other two didn't, although trying endless amount of time. • •
- App was not continuous in its functioning • •
- The PAS app didn't work well at times during the meeting, especially right before or right after sessions. Maybe too many people trying to access same time? • •
- The app was terrible this year • •
- The app was improved from years prior but slow • •
- The app was horrible!!!! Really below expectations for this meeting. Almost useless. • •
- having more detail about the descriptions/ objectives of each session in advance would be helpful in determining which to attend • •
- communication between PAS and hotel was incorrect. PAS website had stated 2 beds but hotel only had 1 bed and 1 pullout bed. • •
- NA • •
- App was very poor - clunky, slow, glitchy. Markedly worse than at previous virtual conferences for PAS and others that I have been to • •

- The app did not work well on my phone - it often froze and I was essentially unable to use it while there, which is unfortunate since I took the time to save the ones I wanted to go to. At other conferences I have had technology that allowed the saved sessions to make a calendar so you could see what sessions you hoped to make overlapped and could plan MUCH easier than just staring with a favorites list. • •
- Chairs were very uncomfortable, there were many episodes of technical difficulties • •
- app was just terrible! • •
- The meeting was outstanding; the app was terrible. I have experienced both virtual and live meetings this year and love a good meeting app; I prefer apps to printed programs. The PAS app was terrible-- it did not open all the sessions; it would not return me to the previous menu after clicking on many items; it was not clear how to navigate it. Please use an entirely different app platform in the future. PAS-- collaborate with the AAMC to see what app they use. It is great. • •
- Greatly enjoyed attending this year in person. • •
- You already know this.... but the app had tons of problems - not easily searchable, didn't save your sessions in calendar, froze alot • •
- The meeting was well conducted and the onsite support people were wonderful as always. The app as you probably know by now was terrible. Some specifics, I used the favorites for poster sessions and they could not be accessed later (Samsung Android). This also occurred with my wife's iphone (11). It was very difficult to set up an itinerary in the app (program guide was somewhat better). I ended up using pen and paper. When I was able to view abstracts and posters, it was slick. • •
- I wish there had been food available at the conference and more opportunities for meal breaks. • •
- App was TERRIBLE. slow to load, failed/froze often, difficult to search, after taking time to favorite sessions I could almost NEVER make my own schedule load without crashing the app. It was near useless • •
- PAS has become a large and remarkably well-organized meeting in the 43 years I have attended intermittently. I am sad to see the seeming divorce of pediatrics and formal • •

medical genetics although many subspecialties doing very well in molecular genetics

- The app was awful, it took forever to load. Very hard to find content on it. You should be able to search by any author on a submitted manuscript, not just the first author. • •
- I was required to have Jaclyn Huff repair my CME access. She was responsive. I was frustrated that I wasted 2 hours being unable to access. GLITCH. • •
- App was way too slow. Also wifi password printed on back of badges was incorrect. • •
- the altitude at Denver made me feel a little ill. The app never worked for me. • •
- nice that Hyatt and other hotels very close to CC. altitude a slight problem for me personally. app very clunky; did not work at all. some aspects of online program difficult... e.g. have to search specifically for posters. 90 minutes seems too short for some workshops • •
- PAS App had multiple glitches and did not consistently work, relied on the website or the printed program guide • •
- I am disappointed that virtual presentation/participation was not an option, in light of increasing COVID cases and unavailability of vaccines for children under 5. This represents an equity issue, as colleagues with disabilities or those caring for vulnerable others were forced to make unnecessary tradeoffs in order to participate in the conference or protect their health. • •
- Organization of meeting and facility was outstanding- loved the meditation/wellness incorporation but would have appreciated if in a more private area; greatly appreciated multiple avenues for refreshments throughout the day within the convention center- morning and afternoon coffee/snack breaks sponsored by hospitals- great idea! Liked networking opps, lunches/SIG. • •
- the app was very clunky, froze a lot, not easy to navigate • •
- good • •
- **1338 empty responses**

Overall Activity


Question 60:

How much TIME did you spend VISITING EXHIBITOR BOOTHS?

Total Responses: 1692

Overall Average: 2.35

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
None	338	20%
30 minutes or less	634	37.5%
30 – 60 minutes	514	30.4%
More than 60 minutes	206	12.2%



**PAS 2022 included new elements piloted as “PAS Labs”.
Please rate your SATISFACTION with the following:**


Question 61:

Expanded pre-conference programming & events (Thursday-Friday)

Total Responses: 558

Overall Average: 4

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Very Dissatisfied	3	0.5%
Dissatisfied	10	1.8%
Unsure	130	23.3%
Satisfied	255	45.7%
Very Satisfied	160	28.7%




Question 62:
Highlighted themes of broad interest

Total Responses: 948

Overall Average: 4.01

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Very Dissatisfied	5	0.5%
Dissatisfied	16	1.7%
Unsure	162	17.1%
Satisfied	544	57.4%
Very Satisfied	221	23.3%




Question 63:
90-minute session length

Total Responses: 1112

Overall Average: 4.08

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Very Dissatisfied	11	1%
Dissatisfied	42	3.8%
Unsure	128	11.5%
Satisfied	597	53.7%
Very Satisfied	334	30%




Question 64:

Option to listen to pre-recorded PAS session presentations On Demand, after the PAS 2022 Meeting

Total Responses: 1039

Overall Average: 4.19

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Very Dissatisfied	18	1.7%
Dissatisfied	21	2%
Unsure	135	13%
Satisfied	439	42.3%
Very Satisfied	426	41%



Overall Activity


Question 65:

How did you learn about the PAS Meeting?

Total Responses: 2467

Overall Average: 2.82

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
PAS Meeting Email	779	31.6%
PAS Meeting Website	506	20.5%
Society Communication	310	12.6%
Departmental Communication	340	13.8%
Colleague	410	16.6%
Social Media	37	1.5%
Other	85	3.4%



Question 66:
Please specify

Total Responses: 85

**Overall
Average:**
n/a

Answer

- SPONSOR MEAD JOHNSON
- I always attend
- Prior attendance
- Self
- Ive known about PAS for 20 years
- I have been attending PAS for more than 25 years
- previous attendee
- i've been going for some time
- Have been previously
- have attended since starting fellowship in 1991
- I have just always attended for years
- Attending
- organizational communications
- I attend annually
- prvious
- I go every year.
- Have been going for years, so I have no memory of how I learned about it over 15 years ago. :) Maybe add an option here such as: "Through past PAS meeting participation."."
- Regular attendee
- prior attendance
- have attended in past
- known entity
- 25 years of attendance
- regular attendee
- Special invitation as a member of AACAP
- have attended for many years
- AAP Board of Directors
- it happens every year

- Have come for 25 years
- I already knew about this, presented many years ago
- just know about it...
- previously attended
- previous experience
- Looking for it online
- Long standing attendee
- attend every year
- I have attended PAS meetings previously
- Looked it up on my own
- I have attended PAS for about 30 years. It's in my DNA! :)
- Mandatory attendance by APEX
- APA qi conference, APA qsis program/graduation
- Prior attendee. PAS website.
- A colleague wanted me to participate on a panel.
- Previous attendance
- I go every year
- have been before
- I go every year and have it on my calendar multiple years in advance
- I've been attending since member of APPD almost 20yrs ago
- participant in the ESP
- Come every year
- Known conference that I attend regularly
- Division meetings, emails, mentor, fellowship, etc.
- Long-term PAS participant
- how would you not know about the PAS meeting?
- i look for it every year
- I always go so I look at the website to get the info each year.
- Already participated many times before
- My son was the presenter for one of critical care presenter talk , he invited and I joined
- Colleagues and professors

- I had to search for it on the web. I would have appreciated e-mails about it but didn't get any despite having attended PAS 2021 virtually.
- yearly attendee
- previous attendance
- I have been going for more than 20 years!
- APeX requirement
- Most of the above (question does not allow multiple answer selection!)
- I go every year
- Searched on line
- I've attended for years.
- Previous knowledge
- previous attendee
- Usually visit PAS
- i already knew about it from training
- Attended past PAS meetings
- have been attending since I was a resident
- happens every year
- I have attended every year for more than a decade. I look for information online to submit and register
- Attended many previous PAS meetings.
- More workshops and special interest groups
- Attend it yearly
- I attend every year
- I always attend the PAS
- Have attended for over two decades
- rarely miss a meeting since 1982
- My director
- Have attended in past

1609 empty responses

Question 67:

Please provide any ADDITIONAL FEEDBACK or ACTIONABLE SUGGESTIONS for PAS

Total Responses: 1362

**Overall
Average:**
n/a

Answer

- We need well organized and contemporary presenters.
- Well balanced conference! Great scientific content this year.
- virtual option, more pre-recorded sessions
- I liked the time out for networking sessions and the wellness activities (although I didn't make time for them). I liked the Friday evening start and Monday finish.
- Excellent meeting. Overlapping workshops made it tough to figure out what was best to go to.
- Ran into issues with the phone application (slow/crashed) which made navigating the conference more difficult in real time
- Although PAS organizers stated the meeting was moving from 4 days (Sat/Sun/Mon/Tue) to 3 days (Sat/Sun/Mon), that wasn't in fact true. There were still many sessions on Fri.
- Maybe sessions could be a bit shorter and not so many overlapping session so that attendees have the opportunity to attend more then 2-3 sessions a day.
- The research this year was less varied. As a latina I already know racism exists. I was disappointed to see so much research on the obvious fact but nothing on what works to improve the lives of those we serve concretely.
- ME PARECE QUE SERIA BUENTO TENER TRADUCTORES YA QUE ACUDIMOS MEDICOS DE DIFERENTES PARTES DEL MUNDO
- The app was a struggle and was often slow or crashing. I wish there were an easier way to parse out the workshops (hard to enter/exit once started) from the other session types (often which DID have opportunities to join in segments. This was additionally important because only

some of the content (e.g. oral abstracts) was available after the conference.

-]:/ '
- Good conference, no other feedback.
- confirmation receipt after registration
- Appreciate lack of overload but would have liked to see ID better represented.
- The online platform and app definitely needs some work. It can be slow and requires you to start over several time before you get to where you would like. I found I had to rely on the print version heavily.
- App was pretty glitchy and slow. Can be improved I hope
- I really enjoyed it this year and looking forward for next year
- Less packed sessions
- Great conference. May need more clinical topics.
- For future workshops that involve live demonstration, please ensure that the space provided is large enough to prevent overcrowding.
- Improve the App. It often crashed or froze and did not have enough information. I missed several talks that I wanted to see due to the app. I prefer the option of a paper copy. As I mentioned above, coffee in the morning would be a nice addition
- It would be helpful to know which talks are going to be or were recorded prior to the conference, to better plan our schedules
- Improved app for the program - it was not use friendly
- Fix the app Lengthen time for workshops and SIGs 90 minutes is laughable for most Offer some hotel options that are somewhat nicer than generic Mass-level options grr
- The app was very challenging to use
- Improvement on the app would be welcome!
- Website for the conference - sessions, schedule, CME, evals - not easy to navigate, would consider different platform in the future. did not find app user friendly either.
- Overlap of content, especially SIGs was difficult

- Overall great. The hemodynamics club would benefit from bringing controversies and debate - example: Pro-Con debate on PDA treatment.
- Not enough water.
- Great meeting, Keep it in person, keep the program changes and continue innovating.
- Balancing option to upload presentation to on-site application and backup use of personal USB and/or laptop if system is malfunctioning. Improvement of phone application functionality or testing with high use capacity.
- Great conference!
- Enjoyed the session but the app did not work very well this year. Also the poster sessions were frustrating to find the topic areas of my interest
- Audio-visual glitches were present at some sessions. There need to be more AV people
- I have been observing that over the years, there has been gradual decline in overall attendance of poster presentation session. Furthermore, there are no CME credits given for attending or presenting Posters. This may negatively influence the overall attendance of poster sessions which are usually closer to the end of the day. There should not be any concurrent sessions to improve the attendance at poster presentations. Most trainees start with poster presentations early in academic career. They need to be encouraged and stimulated. I hope the PAS committee would take actions to improve attendance.
- The website and the app were both not very stylistically appealing.
- General pediatric topics were few
- APEX program participant--APEX is excellent!
- Very good content, very easy to navigate, very good host city.
- App was very poor/underperforming
- Glad the meeting was in person
- na
- Improve the app!! That is key for communication, organizing session attendance, keeping track of which sessions attended or interested in attending. 90 min for workshops is too little. 2 hours would be better. Esp for

SIGS since we have business and networking to complete at PAS

- Always permit passing time between sessions--one session ended on Monday at 1:00 when the next session began.
- Please ensure the PAS app selected for the next PAS works well Please continue to encourage greater collaboration between SIGs and other sections to help decrease the myriad quantity of similar content
- A more stable meeting app would enhance next year's meeting. There was some redundancy in the sessions (I attended two sessions on suicide screening that were nearly identical in terms of speakers/content), so avoiding that duplication would be worthwhile in the future.
- No additional feedback.
- I had a very good time learning about research being done in pediatrics.
- Denver as a city was a great venue. Lots of close hotel options. Great food options close by. The on-demand option is great and i hope to listen to some talks i missed because of conflicts. The App did not work as well as I had hoped. The convention center is huge and made it hard for some to get around. Liked the 90 min sessions with 30 min break. Need to balance the program a bit better as noted above.
- The app could be a little more friendly and there were too many posters to navigate through. Also If posters are arranged based on subspeciality, it would help to navigate through. The PAS pocket program guide was really hard to navigate through. It would have been better if it was arranged based on subspeciality
- THE app was bad the number of emails you sent was too much! have some grace. maybe one a month...leading up and one a week the month leadign up? every day- many emails. not helpful - made me start to cringe.
- na
- I appreciated the in-person content however it was high burden to have to record things beforehand for on demand
- App kept crashing. Felt like many fewer workshops this year. 90 min felt short for SIGs.

- Very enjoyable experience, Denver was a good location and good hotels/food close to the venue
- App froze constantly
- Thank you for a great in-person experience
- Please fix the online ap
- Great meeting!! This eval is way too long to be useful- consider good survey practices in developing. Look into better app for meeting.
- The online meeting site was reliable & helpful, but the app was less reliable - a better and more reliable app would be helpful for future meetings.
- Encourage future cities to have more historical or tourist activities
- I loved the meeting. I think podcasts would be a nice addition. Maybe post certain platforms with discussants
- Most of the workshops I attended needed 2 hours. It was hard to fit the content and discussion/interactivity into 1.5 hours.
- Wish workshops were more plentiful and longer. Ideally try not to overlap things with similar topics/interest.
- it was not clear that there was to be events on Friday. It is always confusing as to when the actual meeting starts as opposed to workshops and pre meeting events.
- Excellent sessions. Maybe too many sessions at once- we had very low attendance at our workshop- disappointing.
- The app was a little disorganized and I always had difficulties loading
- Concentrate more on research and science and less on politics
- Monday sessions very poorly attended
- Paid sessions on Friday was a bad idea!!!
- Great meeting! Many sessions were spread out- in the convention center and some hotels. This made is really hard to navigate and was not convenient.
- the above question does not allow for "choose all that apply" it only allows for one choice
- Submission website should have ability to tag abstracts with sub themes that then make it possible to see abstracts

in clinical areas as well as methodological tracks (e.g., health services research).

- 90 minute sessions are too short to have 4 lectures
- Great conference. So good to be in person again.
- Question about how I heard about meeting said "mark all that apply" but only allowed one choice
- The option to filter sessions based on specialty would be very helpful!
- The balance was right....no actionable suggestions
- Get a new APP for future years and NEVER use the vendor from this year! Adding pre-conference activities is great, but it means more people leave on Sunday or Monday. I worry that it "cannibalizes" the other parts of the meeting. When the SIGs are always combining their annual meetings, it makes me wonder - do we have too many SIGS? (I am an APA member).
- noone
- The 90 minute limit on sessions seemed to be a challenge for many people. Consider going back to 2 hrs for the topic symposia, or impress upon planners that they only have 90 minutes.
- Overall. this was great considering we are all out of practice 2/2 covid. thank you for requiring masks! I think continued expansion of interactive conferences/work shops would be great. Studies show that adult learners don't learn as well from powerpoint
- Sessions were excellent Denver convention center area is not nice. Not walkable. Did not feel safe.
- NA
- Overall great but really bummed to have missed some of the workshops especially the women in medicine related ones since they overlapped with my own talks to other sessions, almost would like them to be held around the same time all workshops and you can go so no one is forced to missed them since those don't get recorded. Would like to continue hybrid platform but would be nice to have live streaming if possible
- Prefer more workshops- geared towards hospital medicine. Would like a focus on management, structure, and evidence based medicine workshops. General pediatrics review session would be helpful as well.

- Easier to navigate the schedule this year than in previous years. Really appreciate the opportunity to listen to sessions afterward as one cannot possibly attend all of them.
- We had an abstract submission that was rejected which is very surprising given the overall quality of some of the related research that was presented. Perhaps an additional review of rejected abstracts is warranted. Otherwise, it was a great meeting with excellent workshops!
- Not sure about the presentation recording/virtual option
Better app!
- No suggestions
- More diversity in topics. There was a lot of repetition.
- Great job!
- App and program guide did not seem to index the posters which made it hard to access this info and plan which posters to attend
- In the online schedule organizer, it would be nice to be able to favorite an individual item from a science presentation session (rather than just the whole session overall) from the preview screen without having to open each abstract to add it to your favorites.
- Na
- NA
- Prefer a warmer city with more activities for spouse/family and one that is easier to get to.
- 1. Please improve function of app to increase ability to search for presenters, topics, etc. 2. May not be as actionable, but the neighborhood near the convention center did not feel entirely safe and walkable after dark.
- This was a great meeting opportunities for networking were a real strength
- I wouldn't change much. The proportion of plenary sessions, platforms, posters and workshops was just about right. There were overlapping sessions with very similar content, so it was often hard to decide which to attend. Otherwise, very good experience.
- Really enjoyed the entire meeting.
- Topic of research seems dispositive over the quality of the research

- Cut back the low-quality content / submissions substantially.
- No actionable suggestions
- No comments.
- Increase the number and variety of post graduate courses on Friday.
- The app was not useful at all and downloading all of the slides/posters made for slow bandwidth. Also this survey is exceedingly long to complete.
- More emergency medicine research topics
- No suggestions
- Focus on good science and workshops
- IT support for presenter was very helpful. Sineage was great around the convention center. Consider offering opportunities for institutions to rent meeting rooms for alumni gatherings.
- Improve app please!
- The PAS program app was at times slow to load or crashed unexpectedly
- Regret the slow but inexorable loss of intensive subspecialty participation with weight of meeting slowly morphing into a neonatology/ambulatory practice meeting
- Excellent conference! Workshops all had clear takeaways that could be implemented.
- Quite a few same subspecialty sessions (neonatology) at the same time and could not attend adequately.
- No comments
- Kep location of meeting with easy access to hotels and restaurants
- Would appreciate a later deadline for pre recorded sessions or just recording live sessions.
- The session might have felt a bit too compressed that 1 day was taken out
- Expanded times for workshops so not all within three time blocks
- Improve navigation of app
- An improved App and maybe next year, a few more scientific plenary sessions.

- There were not as many sessions devoted to the themes as I would have expected (particularly climate change). One idea would be to encourage proposals to reference or mention the highlighted theme, even if the theme is not the topline topic of the session.
- i like the schedule.. would consider having a 3-430 afternoon session as well (or maybe a 60 minute from 3-4)
- the APP was terrible and very difficult to navigate as well as very slow to respond and would freeze frequently
- Opportunities for networking
- The phone app still needs improvements
- The focus on DEI, anti-racism, and health equity was outstanding. The app did not work well which meant changing strategy for knowing what sessions to attend next and where.
- Technical support was not helpful.
- NA
- No feedback
- None! As a fellow, I wish I knew the clubs were open to all interested attendees. I thought they were invitation only and exclusive!
- Excellent meeting!
- No feedback at this time
- Great meeting.
- I would strongly advise the meeting leadership to not have presenters also need to record talks prior to meeting. I understand why this was in the meeting's interest but this is a lot to ask for a group of generally junior faculty and trainees.
- did not like the ap
- Outstanding meeting. No additional suggestions.
- Make the evaluation shorter I skipped this section initially, then when I had to come back to fill it out, the online survey had deleted all of the areas where I checked "N/A". Unfortunately, this level of technical glitches feels right in line with the PAS meeting online and mobile app resources.
- Happy to come and reconnect with colleagues- that was the most important part of the meeting

- PAS is a great conference but it is very difficult to navigate. The app didn't work well this year which made it more difficult. Perhaps instead of just listing things in order it would be helpful in indicate what type of session it is. For example, it would be nice to scan the schedule and see right away which workshops are starting soon as opposed to oral abstract presentations. Also, I made the mistake of trying to attend sessions on Friday without realizing that they required additional payment. Perhaps it would be helpful to indicate those on the schedule with a \$
- Try not to overlap/repeat sessions
- great conference
- No
- It was a wonderful meeting despite the difficulty navigating meeting itself. Denver airport was far from meeting site, there seemed to be few places to eat nearby, and the weather was not very good.
- Improve the App
- Please don't put the obesity SIG at the same time as obesity platform sessions (there were only 2 the whole weekend!)
- NA
- Need to be explicit about when scientific sessions will start (not just the meeting dates) as it was not clear until February that Science was starting during the day Friday rather than Saturday as in previous years.
- Consider 2-hour long workshops
- More Neonatal Quality Improvement Provide an opportunity for Nursing Subspecialty Networking Breakout Session The Neonatal Justice Collaborative meeting was excellent.
- Recording of all sessions so that those of us who are interested in multiple sessions with timing conflict could listen to the ones we missed. The pocket program guide was very helpful and the cleveland clinic PAS 2020 paper notebook to take notes the old way with pencil and paper. Would you know, we pack a lot of stuff when going to meetings but often forget a small notebook to take notes when listening to the talk. I appreciate these small features at the conference. I attended a couple of the wellness/ chair yoga/breathing sessions and really liked them. The coffee breaks with brownies, fresh fruit, veggie cups and

flavored water were nice to have as there was not enough food concessions at the Denver convention center.

- some workshops need to be by nomination among societies so it would avoid those who look good on paper but not that well executed
- It seems like the meeting APPs always have problems. This has happened at other meetings as well. Makes for much frustration.
- make things more clear who is invited to SIG and other events - not clear if you have to be a pre-existing member or if events are open to everyone
- The sessions that I was interested really seemed to occur at the same time. A suggestion would be to better look to spread out areas of interest.
- It was very disappointing that my trainee's abstract (which would have met standards for acceptance in the past) got rejected and had NO associated comments. This is not constructive or helpful especially for students and trainees. I have reviewed abstracts for this conference for several years and feel that it should be known to the reviewers whether the author is a trainee AND reviewers should be required to give comments especially if they are giving a low/do not accept score. Also, the app was basically unusable - froze constantly, inconsistent with searches, difficult to use for searches. I have been to other large meetings that used apps and they were MUCH better. Overall though, a great conference, really enjoyed being back in person.
- recording + live is annoying for presenters (especially for posters).
- Better APP for use, hard to navigate and failed often. Providing one more week between the acceptance and online deadline.
- better app
- I like the hybrid model for catching sessions that are presented at the same time, but it was cumbersome to submit a poster on line then have to print and carry a poster to the meeting.
- In spring, a warmer weather destination/more consistent weather destination is desirable.
- different APP platform

- This survey is too long
- not many people attended
- The app is a wonderful idea, just needs some refinement. Also, completing cme requirements immediately after the event on the app would be wonderful. recall can be challenging.
- My first time at PAS. Awesome experience.
- Possibly make the LABS on the last instead of the first day,
- This was a great meeting - even more time for sessions in which there is time for discussion of topics would be good.
- The meeting was well organized and valuable. I have no specific actionable suggestions.
- Live streaming option
- Very successful meeting. Glad to be in person, though 2021 was exceptionally well-designed and a good options
- Improve app stability and response time
- Appreciated the 90 minute session length. The theme of equity was phenomenal and long over due.
- GPS enabled attendance log for CME credit
- Excellent meeting, great timing.
- Excellent organization, all sessions begin and end it on time. Great speakers.
- A new app would have made everything easier. It was so great in Toronto, but did not work at all this time.
- I liked the lab sessions that occurred around women in medicine but location was not ideal as there was not enough places to sit. Would like more specific programmatic content around community based research as well as new techniques like use of social media during COVID, ways that researchers used new platforms, e-consents, zoom for focus groups etc, as I think many of these things will be used more in the future. Continued sessions on DEI and not just allow it to be a one year thing due to what's going on in the world.
- Keep the on-demand option Choose a functioning app
- the online app was difficult to use
- Pre-recording demanded too much time. This should happen during the meeting.

- I'm not sure how it can be accomplished, but it was not possible to attend both specialty meetings (in my case Emergency Medicine) as well as workshops as they overlapped.
- Open up the session moderation for younger faculty. The same faculty moderated multiple sessions.
- Format was great. Sessions well spaced. App can use some improvement-it lagged a lot when trying to access one's schedule as well as navigating the different sessions.
- This evaluation form is horrible. See above comments.
- wonderful job
- Would recommend clearer identification of workshops and small group sessions; many times I wanted to split time between sessions only to find that one of the sessions was a workshop/small group session that would be terribly awkward to come in and out.
- It will be beneficial if there is no crash of scientific program running at the same time
- Offering flexibility is important Labs are a great idea
- As noted in the other section the App was immensely problematic. If it wasn't for the paper copy provided upon registration it would have been hard to find the right sessions. Topics were buried inside of other topic listings. At least on my device trying to save some sessions resulted in the app crashing. Even when saving sessions, it would "forget" resulting in having to search for the same posters or sessions multiple times.
- I appreciate trying to condense into fewer days but then that led to so many overlapping sessions that I could not attend everything I wanted
- New app.
- The 90-minute length was challenging for many scientific sessions in Nephrology and most sessions ran late. I felt that the exhibitor hall should have been open longer or at least open only when there weren't other events scheduled as it was difficult to enjoy when balancing attending sessions.
- Posters closer together (so not so spread out) - combine presenter specialities together

- Very well organized meeting overall, lots of us were very happy to just be in an in person meeting. The scientific content is of very good quality and presentations were excellent. The website needs to be more user friendly to find sessions. The app was again quite disappointing, it was very slow and used up phone battery much more than expected.
- too much involvement of AAP, a private, paid subscription only organization with their own agenda. they have their own meeting as well. I was surprised at how much PAS meeting time they were given
- Better app for next year.
- Would like to see More sub specialty representation other than neonatology
- I'm disappointed that the "on-demand" content did not come from recordings at the live conference. It was also difficult for me to know what had "on-demand" content as there was no ability to search through content that way.
- think about more ways to help network easier
- great to return in person. Liked recordings available after meeting
- Nope
- three sessions a day isn't very many
- well done; more ICU would be great
- no additional feedback
- Please stop accepting industry money and branded our hotel key cards with advertisements for Synagis. It creates a real conflict of interest and appearance of a conflict of interest. It is extremely ironic that speakers are required to disclose industry ties yet PAS freely accepts money from formula companies and drug companies that are engaging in unethical practices that undermine access to care among children.
- please do not schedule all AAP SOEM session at the same time. place networking session on Friday and Saturday so you can meet medical students, residents, fellows, junior faculty and you can inform them about all the opportunities and make them feel more comfortable.
- Thank you for all of your hard work Seemed to be too much over too little time

- App was slow
- nA
- This year I feel sessions were more concentrated on two-three days instead of 3-4 days in the past which felt not as rushed.
- Location and venue was very good. Denver a good choice.
- Longer timeframe for questions during sessions. Food available at site
- Get a new app developer.
- I really enjoy the Hot Topics and Symposia
- The evaluation form is too long.
- would suggest not requiring the upload and recording of sessions so far in advance of the meeting.
- Outstanding
- Please use bigger font on name tags.
- There was no place to find the abstracts for the workshop sessions like you could in the old school paper program. This left us guessing as to what the workshop was about unless you downloaded the slide set. Since the abstracts are submitted would be nice to be able to read time.
- App could be better and easier to use.
- more clustering of content areas on days (e.g. newborn medicine, adolescent), different app, too many overlapping sessions of interest and then no sessions in the afternoons
- app was not great
- better signage for finding sessions please!
- No additional feedback
- More workshops
- The 30 minute dead sessions were too long - would have been great to have been able to look at posters or something during that time. Probably two 20 minute networking sessions are sufficient per day. But the workshops being only 90 minutes actually seemed to work well. That is the right amount of time to pay attention to something.
- Would like to discuss a strategy for focused seminars included in a satellite meetings.
- After a pandemic, this was a good meeting!

- change to a different brand for the phone app make planing a schedule easier with the digital tools make completing evals real time easier.
- Fix app
- Networking session was very valuable and could have been longer. 90 mins felt a little long for platform sessions, but doable.
- No actions
- As I mentioned elsewhere, the poster hall sessions are very low-yield for presenters relative to the amount of exposure/interaction. Other conferences have gone to all-virtual abstracts, which provides better opportunity for people to find the abstracts and then reach out to presenters. With on-demand for PAS, that is now possible. It may be time to just retire the poster hall sessions. I recognize that there is a revenue motivation to doing them - vendor booth income and in-person registrations would likely fall, but I believe that the current format results in enormous wasted resources and time.
- NA
- Many people got up to leave workshops because of overlapping schedule conflicts. This is disruptive. While some sessions are ok to attend only for a short while, workshops are designed to be interactive so require participants to attend the entire time. Please adjust schedule so that workshops are not overlapping with other opportunities.
- More diversity in terms of moderators and speakers. Seems like i kept seeing the same faces at multiple sessions. There also seems to be a paucity of senior-level academicians that I used to relish at the PAS. Also, a couple talks presented little, if any, evidence-base, but mostly single-person opinion. For areas where there is a paucity of evidence, panel presentations would be preferable, for balance.
- Was there an option to have posters printed/shipped to PAS?
- na
- It would be helpful to presenters to provide a tentative deadline when online materials (posters, audio recordings, slides) need to be submitted at the time when their

abstracts are accepted for presentation. Providing this date 2 weeks before the deadline caused a last minute scramble, which made the process stressful for me, because I had several presentations to finalize with my research team.

- Appreciate the masks
- I can't find or quickly skip to which questions are required. I missed this one. Then searched. Still didn't see that it was required.
- The internet provide for the conference was awful.
- Shorter sessions. App often wouldn't load or would take a long time to load. Enjoyed the coffee and snack breaks. Lots of great content, just difficult to see everything I wanted with long sessions and topics that I was interested in presented at the same time.
- Very good meeting
- Include more activities on the first day, so that they are not bunched together on the other days.
- Na
- The PAS app lagged constantly and crashed often, despite using it on a new iphone. The poster sessions were overwhelming and not organized by topic/area which made it difficult to navigate. There are too many clubs/workshops and pre-conference sessions.
- overall satisfied with sessions i went to
- Inclusion of a debate or more interactive panel discussions for cardiology would be appreciated.
- Spread out the sessions There were time blocks where there were 3 sessions (out of many sessions) I wanted to attend at the same time There were other time blocks where there was only one session (invited or very specific) available.
- Encourage broader pediatric subspecialty representation.
- I thought this year went very well. 90 min for everything allowed adequate time to schedule a day and meet colleagues. Overall Denver was a great host city.
- Quality of AV support was not that good. Lack of sufficient shops for beverages
- Denver smelled like marijuana the whole time.

- Having the same broad topic sessions at the same time was hard to be able to attend all of these sessions
- Having 4 speakers for 90 minute symposia was really tight . A lot of the speakers went over time and the moderators did not seem to realize the timing. Almost ALL the sessions went over time and even then Q and As were often cut short . It is also cumbersome to have the speakers leave and then all come back to the podium at the end. Tends to take extra time and often the audience may have forgotten what they wanted to ask the first or second speaker. Much prefer the American soc of nephrology format (our other big nephrology mtg) where each speaker has a defined time to speak and address Q and A. Otherwise might need to decrease to 3 speakers but that would mean decreased content
- The app this year was very poor and not user friendly
- More food options
- Improved app
- The app never worked, the online scheduler was glitchy. Some of the scientific presentations were quite basic, others were very good. All 3 Workshops I attended were terrific. Accessing handouts and slides online often failed.
- The short sessions in the Trainee Zone were often scheduled too tight to other sessions to attend them. Would recommend including a lunch and learn session with a pre-registration component as a lot of trainees walked off site for lunch (if funding would support this of course).
- NA
- The app was slow and difficult to use.
- Not applicable
- Well organized.
- It was easy to navigate through program. Very useful content and really like the option of watching certain content later on demand, so I was able to go to many workshops that I couldn't take advantage of later.
- Continue hybrid attendance.
- Useful to have interdisciplinary meeting
- Meeting well organized.
- It was a great meeting overall in a long time.

- Really need to figure out how to have sessions that apply to a participant be scheduled to allow for attendance - too many simultaneous sessions that prevent attendance. Convention center way too large for timely attendance throughout the week/weekend
- Group subspecialties sessions in close location
- Difficult as speaker as uploaded slides then needed to reload on arrival. And slides not available on app despite loading. App was variably accessible. Area around convention center felt unsafe to walk alone at night.
- Great conference. Denver Colorado....downtown did not feel safe to walk around.
- Excellent conference
- More workshops and opportunities to network. It would also be nice to have daily breakfast/ lunch and learn sessions rather than attendees having to feed themselves or offer hotel options with free breakfast.
- As a non-physician, I would like to see an advanced practice provider club/lunch for networking. The conference is very heavy (100%) physician only language
- So good to have an in-person meeting!!
- App was terrible. Could not even get it to load on my phone.
- The phone App was the most frustrating part of the conference. The app took a great deal of time to load my schedule. If I went to answer an email, the app reset to the home page and I had to start all over. Overall, the conference was well planned and in a great city. As a pediatric cardiologist, there were inadequate sessions for my specialty. Very few ped cardiologists were there.
- Eliminate the scheduled networking sessions and offer scientific sessions during those times.
- No suggestions. It was all very informative. Thank you.
- Would have been nice to have posters/exhibitors located closer to meeting rooms. I think many people left for the day before the poster sessions started.
- Easier to navigate app
- More science
- The online app was difficult to navigate and intermittently lost saved sessions

- Excellent meeting, would be good to advertise more broadly to other specialties that interface with children including psychiatry and psychology and social work
- terrible phone app, nearly unusable, detracted from my ability to attend sessions of interest
- The charting pediatrics podcast was great. It would have been nice to have some of the morning sessions repeat in the PM.
- Workshops in evening or during poster sessions
- This survey is too long, and towards the end, I didn't really care about the answers.
- Consider including more APPs at the meeting.
- The app was not reliable
- No other suggestions
- Compared to SAEM conference this year, was very impressed with the compliance with mask wearing.
- No need to change Denver is an excellent site
- Make this CME survey shorter!
- less politics, more science
- I thought the meeting was great and it was fantastic to meet in person. I didn't realize the importance of the meeting until it was gone.
- The app was not easy to use and seemed glitchy
- Often, my sessions of interest were scheduled at the same time. I am pleased to be able to participate in them on demand. Topics selections were very good - more next year: equity, mental health integration in pediatric subspecialty care.
- The app has worked better in previous years - this one was very glitchy, slow, and would crash
- Host city was wonderful. Great to be back in person. Clearer signs to identify poster "theme" areas would be helpful in the auditorium
- could the app suggest content based on user subspecialty/interests? difficult to navigate the choices
- There was some confusion about IT support for the ASPN awards luncheon - presentations were loaded in the room rather than in the Speaker Ready Room. The latter is much

preferred given the work-arounds that were required to make the former work.

- App was very difficult to use, limited ability to enjoy the meeting.
- SIGs and platform sessions within the same content area (e.g. Obesity) should not overlap in future.
- It was nice to be back in person. There were several times that sessions I wanted to attend overlapped with each other.
- no specifics
- great meeting
- app did not work well
- 2 hr sessions
- Good meeting . Maybe better than the last one. Great to be in person. Denver too cold and windy
- The PAS app was challenging to search and often did not work, please consider a new vendor.
- more cutting edge science
- The app is a great idea. It was very cumbersome and not at all intuitive. Many of my colleagues (and I) could not use it.
- I had a great time... this was my first meeting
- Would also appreciate having PES at the site time.
- Great meeting
- The application could use a lot of work, it often crashed and I was logged into another individuals account with a simple mistype of my registration ID
- It is time for the PAS to allow hybrid meetings. The amount of carbon dioxide emitted by nearly 6000 participants' travel is huge. Please allow a hybrid option in 2023.
- The meeting was excellent. A joy to be back in person after so long.
- See above.
- NA
- Good meeting! The app was problematic
- Overall the quality of the conference was good. Mandatory masking was excellent.
- Double blind the abstract review process.

- More social activities for networking
- Pre-recording our session with short notice was difficult. I would prefer to either not have to do that, or be given ample time to complete this.
- If at all possible--more food available, breakfast was particularly hard to get. Really liked the location and the proximity to the convention center
- Improved app
- I appreciated the service of coffee midmorning and afternoon!
- having all sessions start and end simultaneously was good.
- Shorter CME eval form, feedback on rejected abstracts on why they were rejected, functioning mobile app
- More workshops
- I was disappointed to have to submit our slides and video recording weeks ahead of the conference; this did a disservice to the people viewing on demand given our recorded talks were not as complete or polished as the live presentations. Clear dates ahead of time RE: when these were due would have helped greatly.
- this very survey has multiple errors, mostly below this point. e.g. "maybe indicated" instead of "may be indicated", or keeping a scale that is not relevant to the question. If we proofread this way we wouldn't get our abstracts accepted :)
- Suggest a menu of sessions that pertain to a certain interest or focus. Simplify this feedback form!
- - Separate Lung and Hemodynamics club - More workshops on medical education & feedback practices for generational changes - More on DEI, inclusion
- some of the pre-meeting conferences should have been in the main meeting
- SIG and workshops seem to be where much of the value is now located. Posters and symposia and platforms enable people to present and thus attend, but the value to the audience / other attendees is greater where there is much more dialogue and interaction.
- The app was very slow and sometimes did not work.
- I am concerned about that the amount of cutting edge science being presented. Seemed to be a lot less. Would

like to see more symposiums with invited speakers on some cutting edge science.

- It was very special to be at the meeting in-person after a break. The best part of the meeting is talking to colleagues and in-person was critical for that.
- While I was pleased with the intent to blind abstract reviewers, it appeared this year that fewer of the platforms were given by trainees (at least in the sessions I attended, mostly neonatal-related). This might have had unintended consequences of decreased attendance, as trainees/students typically attend only if they have an abstract accepted, while more faculty may attend regardless of abstract acceptance. I know as an abstract reviewer, I would've reviewed trainee-level research differently from faculty-level research. Perhaps next year, reviewers could remain blinded with the exception of the training/experience level of the lead author. Also, DEI was certainly the theme of the conference, but I did not hear of many actionable items that PAS intends to take to promote DEI. Other national conferences (e.g. AHA) are including criteria for session submissions regarding speakers to increase the diversity of their session panels (out of 4 speakers, 2 must be female, and 1 international). I'm not suggesting we need the same speaker criteria, but something related to that would certainly signal real intent by PAS to change the make-up of our research community.
- The submission process could be easier, and I don't think you should have to pay to submit anything. Also, the app was unusable.
- Excellent speakers. Continue with workshops. Get a different APP
- More workshops and labs. I thought those were great
- See above - change timing of conference to encompass one week (not cross over two)
- The app did not work well at all. The 90 minute sessions are a good length but many seemed to run over, so presenters just need to be aware of their limit.
- Great conference!
- Bigger room for Sepsis Club please! More clarity upfront on deadlines.
- Improve the app

- work on the app, minimize prerecording burden on presenters
- More on site quick food options would be good. Thank you!
- The 30 minute session length was challenging
- 1. Improve usability of PAS app and online program (both had frequent freezing, slow loading, etc.) 2. Provide more free coffee and snack options on breaks to enable mingling
- Please increase the quality of the app
- Extraordinary experience
- More relevant exhibitors
- Selecting cities in warmer climates for spring travel
- DON't like having to record my session 2 weeks ahead of time
- Excellent well organized conference!
- This was a very interesting conference. No additional feedback.
- Can partner with different company to make the app more useful for users.
- As with the App, this evaluation process was cumbersome and difficult to navigate.
- please see above.
- App was horrible. Need to record oral sessions and posters in advance was not appropriate.
- The App should be ditched. Poor quality, constant crashing, unable to do what it claimed.
- Too many posters and no way to search the topics easily.
- Consider reaching out to experts in the fields you choose to highlight as your themes. The APA Environmental Health, Climate Change and Sustainability SIG was a bit offended you didn't reach out to us so that we could contribute to planning the events and subject matter we are passionate about elevating.
- would be helpful to prevent overlap as much as possible of things that are on the same "track"
- Might be nice to consider areas more amenable for networking.
- na
- great meeting

- Improve the meeting APP functionality and more important stability.
- App is still challenging to use Suggest carefully evaluating use of pre-recorded material - this is many hours of additional work for presenters
- The website and app seem cluttered and hard to navigate this year.
- The non-user friendly of the app made it frustrating to navigate the meeting. This is especially true when i was trying to use the app to moderate a session and it kept freezing or crashing making introductions very awkward for me.
- more cutting edge science
- Nothing to add.
- Love actionable programming focus
- No suggestions.
- This survey was frustrating to complete - very long and didn't retain answers when it made me go back an fill in missing values
- Prerecorded content should be available at least on the same day the meeting opens. This is very frustrating. IMPORTANT!!!!!!!! PAS is becoming more and more expensive. Registration + travels = \$\$\$\$\$ Members from our group who would have liked to attend could not afford to. Some of these were trainees. More and more meetings for less \$\$\$ are now available. The PAS meeting and its content are good, but meeting organizers are pricing themselves out of the market. We now have fellows graduating who have never attended PAS, and I'm not sure they will.
- It was a good meeting!
- The above question does not allow multiple answers despite 'all that apply'
- More time to attend the sessions.
- NA
- continue hybrid model brainstorm for better workshops
- Improved App for next year
- Help navigating the online offerings would be appreciated.
- Very interesting

- Fix App!
- NA
- The app was very challenging. It often did not work and it did not allow you to search for other attendees, only those that were first author.
- This part of the questionnaire was not set up right. You listed as "Choose all that apply," but one could only hit a single button. I would add PAS Meeting Website to my choices.
- No additional feedback
- None... well-planned meeting
- a usable app would be great but is not necessary I suppose
- Online app frequently hung/delayed response/had to wait for app or search. When working great resource
- I think you've gotten this feedback but the app was pretty terrible this year. the evaluation form is way too long
- As above, more notice for digital content if it is going to be required.
- timing was much better with fewer conflicts. some workshop rooms needed to be larger
- Many of the workshops were difficult as they contained less information and expected to discuss our own projects which was less than useful
- Love the coffee breaks - great networking here! Love the 90-minute session length (even though I didn't think I would!) This is the best convention center and hotel arrangement for a PAS meeting yet. Great meeting content, and really appreciate the pre-recorded sessions!
- There were often weird downtimes, for example on Saturday from 11:30-1 there were no sessions to go to and I just sat around awkwardly. Often at different conferences I might have used that time to wander around looking at posters but they weren't available yet. I also presented my abstract in an oral presentation on Monday afternoon, and there were very few people left at the conference and the session was not well attended which was disappointing because I was excited to get feedback on our work. Anyone with a poster on Monday had very little traffic, and many vendor booths had already closed.

- none.
- It was a pleasure to attend! Thank you!
- Good meeting
- fix that app pre-recording process very challenging - would be much better if speakers could upload mp4 recorded any way they like this survey is way too long
- Great meeting. SO glad to be able to once again meet in-person. So important, so necessary.
- See above
- Thank you for a wonderful conference.
- SIG's should be longer.
- the A-V support could be better, presenters could not pull up presentations, sudden and random audio interruptions from other live sessions were disruptive.
- Some sessions were almost duplicate
- Please use another mobile app for the Washington meeting; eScribe was terrible.
- Check in was very easy, The yoga/meditation station was nice. I did not see a meaningful map in the APP, but maybe I missed it. The food selections were not good.
- App did not work
- New app interface - it was difficult to use the app, it often froze and I had to work around it to find sessions
- Once again, the app was terrible. Even a small card to assist with time and location of sessions would be better.
- Wouldlike to be able to download the posters. Needs a better way to access the posters on the app/online program
- It was great being back. Well organized.
- Nothing in particular. Overall very good system / process / conference.
- Overall, the feel of being back in person and networking with colleagues was fantastic and PAS was outstanding this year! Unfortunately in several sessions, Dr. Josef Neu, a thought leader in the field of necrotizing enterocolitis, was discouraging to young trainees and junior faculty telling them not to study this disease as it's too complex and hard to model in the laboratory. This type of unprofessional, negative and discouraging behavior should not be allowed at PAS and I hope that in future meetings, Dr. Neu's

platform in which he is moderating and/or given a leadership role/voice is minimized.

- I was disappointed this year by the amount of overlapping general pediatrics content - there were multiple times during which there were overlapping sessions that I wanted to attend (and then other times with very little that I wanted to attend). This happened with timing of sessions on other topics of interest like electronic health records & informatics, and on chronic disease/obesity. I'm sure that scheduling is super difficult - but will appreciate future efforts to spread out sessions of mutual interest.
- thank you for requiring masks, Liked 90 minute sessions, wish it was more inclusive to those that could not attend in person (due to covid19 or disabilities or health issues). Ensuring a live zoom/virtual option for presenters or speakers would enrich accessibility and inclusivity and allow presenters and speakers to engage with the community at PAS
- Nothing specific
- None specific
- The app not working was one of the more frustrating aspects of the meeting. I also wish there wasn't nearly 40 simultaneous sessions, it made it impossible to choose!
- Better app.
- Limit simultaneous sessions and may repeat sessions
- better hospitality services such as lunch, snacks or breakfasts
- Need more food options on-site at the conference center
- I think that at future PAS meetings that our badges should include our pronouns. This could be asked for at registration and then included on the badges. There is plenty of information on the badges. I think that would be a good way to show inclusivity.
- nothing
- The App was very poor this year and made it hard to find things of interest.
- this is my favorite conference
- Improve mobile app
- I like that there's a remote option & would love for PAS to continue to explore / refine hybrid in person / remote

conferencing and networking both to support work-life balance and given the high carbon footprint of air travel. I loved the networking sessions in special topic areas this year.

- Excellent return to in-person
- it was a great meeting
- Overall very satisfied but would have appreciated more clarity about schedule ahead of time (start and end day/times) for main program - to facilitate optimal travel plans.
- The quality of poster sessions can be improved in terms of acceptance and presentations of good quality research
- very nice platform, happy to network
- Make app more useable during the meeting.
- Great conference
- Workshops of 2 hours or 3 hours length were valuable in the past; they allowed a deeper-dive into topics that are not really available elsewhere. I would favor bringing those back. Friday evening poster session is tough! Maybe try to avoid that; many folks had dinners and similar activities planned.
- Please fix the online tools and make clear what will be available virtually in terms of live content that will actually be streamed - do this in advance so that people can make informed decisions about what to attend and what to wait on because there are many opportunities and we do not want to miss them.
- App needs to work better
- nothing to add
- Again, extend the length of the workshops and special interest groups back to the longer sessions that they have been in the past.
- No other feedback
- Improve the app
- Too many similar topic sessions held simultaneously
- This was great! Look forward to going back.
- Please include medical education as a career and topic area.

- There were too many posters for such a short time-period. Scientific topics felt very repetitive (themes). Otherwise, was a very enjoyable conference.
- There is a room for improvement in the meeting mobile app
- More communication about offerings.
- The app is awful. Maybe the worst app I have used in the last 5 years.
- better app
- The app was not reliable.
- I have no additional feedback
- None at this time
- I realize that as a Family Physician, I am not your target audience - but more sessions on general topics (I was excited about some, but the subtopics were so narrow and esoteric that they were not useful for me) would be appreciated.
- A better on-line system and improved app would be great!
- The app this year was very difficult to use--it took forever to bring up the schedule, often duplicated sessions I had favorited online, and crashed frequently.
- No addl feedback
- This survey form is the worst I have ever seen. If you miss one question you must go back and check again and again rather than highlighting the missing answer. If I didn't want the CME credits I would have given up a long time ago.
- no additional comments
- Thanks. Please improve the app application
- The app wasn't working Allowing more midcareer and early career to present and moderate, it seems mostly senior people were presenting. maybe pairing with senior presenters and moderators to allow opportunity to others Network sessions were great, what will the follow up for those Workshops are good but we need more hands on workshops and more space to allow more participation
- When breakouts are being held, have them in separate rooms to decrease noise / distractions.
- I'm having trouble with accessing the evaluations for the sessions that I attended to obtain CME credit which is

frustrating. Overall, I loved attending the conference and was able to do lots of networking.

- Need better app
- it was difficult to find the area to put the posters up. More clear directions would be helpful
- App was bad again!!! It is now a trope. It kept crashing and would not open submenus. The schedule always went to the top and not the current day and no way to sort favorite posters by number so allow easier navigation. Called IT and they basically said use the website and it worked without crashing but had functionality issues as well. It looked nice but was not very functional.
- I really didn't like the 90 minute workshop format but realize it allows more of them
- A more functional app and guide with rooms/times for each presentation is very much needed.
- As a first time attendee, I enjoyed myself.
- lots of stacked content from 8-930 and then very little in the afternoon
- NA
- fix the app.
- get a new vendor for the app so that people can actually figure out where to go (or bring back old printed books)
Make sessions a bit longer or decrease # of speakers per session so that there is actually enough time for Q&A
- Great job!
- Being back inperson was fantastic!
- no other suggestions, it was well run
- The app did not work very well and was difficult to navigate. There are other platforms that other conferences use that seem to work better for planning your day.
- Different app, very difficult to navigate Many very popular sessions at the same time on Saturday and Sunday, much open schedule on Monday
- I am very satisfied about the whole PASS experience
- A very nice conference. I missed sessions on motor development and ophthalmology.
- Need a transport medicine workshop and research section.

- As a poster presenter, recording the audio for the poster felt unnecessary. It was difficult to do without the ability to pause or record over an error, as with any mistake you had to start over. And I don't know of anybody who would actually listen to a recording when they can read over the poster and contact the presenter with additional questions.
- Seemed optimal as was
- Need app improvement
- Excellent
- well run and look forward to next year
- Downtown Denver was not an inviting place for a meeting with significant blight and concerns for pedestrian safety
- Workshops and SIGs requesting to be 30 minutes longer, should be given the opportunity. Having coffee and snacks was a welcomed addition.
- Improving the app would be helpful
- Please allow more time for presenters for historical to speak
- no further comment
- There was so much PAS email it was easy to miss important presenter communications. Less spam please. Thank you for requiring masks in the convention center.
- If we are going to have sessions on climate change we should take action as a group to also combat climate change. Handing out cheap bags, cups, and trinkets that end up in a landfill two weeks later is not helping. I would suggest doing away with these items and reducing the registration fee by \$20.
- IN person is better
- Na
- this was a very expensive meeting
- Overall incredible conference. Loved the opportunities to network within my specific niche of medicine (newborn SIG) and coaching community. I found my pediatric professional home!
- Another great meeting. Hopefully more people will come once the pandemic gets better
- Workshops are great, networking opportunities are good, science is not as compelling outside of certain specialties.

- More foods options in the convention center.
- so nice to be back in person!
- Well put together in the midst of the pandemic. I felt safe attending.
- Loved 90 minutes for all sessions. The app was hard to navigate. Later in this survey please change "patient compliance" to "Patient adherence" - Compliance is inherently negative
- App didn't work well Could the conference NOT coincide with spring break? Could the submission deadline be moved to before or 2 weeks after the holidays? Not family friendly as last minutes abstracts often get done over the holidays..
- The app was really terrible. Perhaps would be helpful to reach out to AAP and SHM as their meetings have more functional apps, in general. It was slow, missing content, glitchy. I was very grateful for the paper booklet and ended up using it as far more reliable.
- Please ask authors to select or add keywords for their sessions to make them more easily searchable. Sometimes the title will not have an exact word match (e.g. the title may include the word "depression" instead of "mental health") so I will miss it if I just search for the broader concept.
- I was disappointed to see so many concurrent sessions that precluded attending more live CME sessions.
- Need different App.
- na
- Great first conference experience. Found the app to be a bit slow/glitchy.
- Great conference, perhaps more sessions in the evening would had made it easier to attend more sessions. The exhibit hall also could had been open longer to see more exhibits
- I think we should have been masks optional
- The description of the workshops need to be on the PAS app.
- Better choice of host city

- Really appreciated the covid precautions and that everyone had to be masked - thank you for making that a safe place to attend a meeting
- Great meeting - the CME form was very challenging and reset all N/A responses if you missed an answer which could be improved.
- 90 minutes was a bit too short for many of the SIG meetings - consider option for 120 min!
- My first time, it was a good conference
- n.a
- oiugh;ou
- It would be great if workshops do not overlap with sessions.
- The App for the meeting was AWFUL
- Good to be back in person ..
- Nothing to add
- The mobile app requires SIGNIFICANT attention and improvement
- You need to improve the app!!! Consider a smaller venues
- The PAS Meeting was great. Most studies that were presented were either on animals or retrospective studies. It would be great to hear current changes in practice that originated from research and is successfully implemented.
- I am very disappointed that I will not obtain credit for my live sessions I attended. Although they were phenomenal, receiving credit for my time is also valuable.
- The meeting provided a great avenue for learning, networking, and professional development.
- Application was freezing during use.
- improve the app, dont charge for abstracts (or return the fee if accepted)!
- NA
- this survey tool is too long and too many required fields; won't let me submit multiple times, and I can't figure out what field is missing!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
- NA
- Great meeting benefited from collaborations would love to find ways to better understand how the different societies engage with one another.

- It was a good conference
- Great in person meeting
- Make the CME claim form easier! I was instructed that I did not complete all the required fields and had to scroll through individually to find what I missed. Frustrating and a waste of time. Please set up the survey to take one back to the missing elements.
- Difficult to choose between sessions especially when two sessions addressing similar topics were offered at the same time.
- I think that APA leadership was so receptive to feedback. Thank you to Teri Turner and Barry Solomon, and Ruth Etzel. I think if there is going to be a theme, or 3 themes, it is good to announce that in advance, and then make sure that the respective SIGs are included in the planning, so that there is ability to raise visibility of the SIGs. As co-chair of the Environmental Health, Climate Change, and Sustainability SIG, I was disappointed that I was not included in the decision/planning to make climate change one of the key themes. So when the announcement came out 2 weeks prior about the relevant meetings/sessions, and we were not included, and our session was in conflict with another highlighted Climate Change Symposium, we were disappointed. We so appreciate that the PAS planning committee was able to move our session to Saturday (from Monday morning, when it was in direct conflict w/a CC symposium). Thank you for your flexibility and willingness to adjust. I think the SIGs, as Teri Turner says, and the Regional co-chairs, are the "lifeblood" of the APA. But maybe we can give the SIGs and Regions ways to join forces, or to be more prominent. There are so many wonderful opportunities to collaborate at PAS. Thank you for being flexible and creating ways that we can continue to move our collective work forward. The people, and their energy, make this all happen. I left PAS 2022 feeling very inspired, and not so worn out. I think that the meeting was exceptionally well organized this year. Much better than any other PAS I have attended. (and I have attended most years for the past 20 years!)
- The app was very difficult to navigate. The sessions did not load onto my phone.

- on demand after the in-person meeting helps us recap important sessions and access ones that we cant attend if we are double booked.
- Get a better online app
- The poster session is a little chaotic since too many posters in one room without categorizing. As a future fellowship applicant, I would expect to have some networking time with current fellows and mentors but it was not for residents and hard to mingle in.
- Given the ability to have access to sessions after the fact, liked the shortened time frame of the meeting.
- It is a huge conference - I look forward to being able to watch some of the sessions I couldn't go to online. That is a nice perk, though extra work for the presenters. It continues to be a contentious issue that the people who present at workshops do not have the registration covered even though they put in a lot of time (the same as a symposium really) into their talks and sessions.
- Have sessions on model minority along with DEI/racism
- Too much on race and Equity content
- Meeting was as excellent as always. Very big convention center made navigation somewhat tricky.
- The app was a little slow at times but overall pretty good!
- I thought this year's return to an in-person conference was very successful.
- Na
- Its a huge conference, easy to lose track of timeline of various sessions
- Get a Better meeting app!! No excuse in this day and age for the balky, crappy app we had to use
- Snacks and more readily available coffee would be great!
- Excellent meeting. Good to be back in person.
- Easy way to check-in. Wish there was some guidance on the high yield sessions based on your interests.
- it was a good meeting
- Having poster sessions rated by some faculty.
- The app froze and did not work and was not searchable effectively by topic area

- More gender equity and diversity workshops; more trainee workshops
- Program details booklet is messy, can be a bit more clear
- hotel arrangements could improve. Printed abstracts are always welcome especially for older people. The registration fee was very high
- Good meeting
- Live plenary sessions should be made available to those who cannot attend in person
- Really liked the 90 minute session times--it felt like there was more time for networking because of that.
- Continue to work on spreading similar sessions out to not conflict. Suggest for workshops that the faculty indicate what level of learner/faculty is most appropriate.
- None other than the app
- NA
- Website was very slow and difficult to navigate.
- Avoid too many early morning sessions
- Hard to get to everything, may benefit from spreading topics out so there are fewer times when the same topic overlaps
- 1. Avoid overlap 2. Continue hybrid format with recorded sessions 3. Collaborate with other associations to promote PAS
- felt that the app i had used pre-pandemic was much easier to navigate than the current
- App was not usable. It constantly froze and was difficult to navigate particularly for finding posters.
- This was my first time attending and I was thoroughly impressed by the overall organization of such a large event.
- Website was freindly
- See above comment re scheduling.
- Continue to do subspecialty networking, with dedicated time, at PAS. This was excellent and permitted trainees to meet with faculty and leaders in their field, which otherwise may not have happened.
- less overlap of neonatal topics

- I had a really hard time getting the conference app to work. It wouldn't load easily and then frequently froze. The search function was also very wonky.
- Excellent organization this year
- improve the ease of navigation of the app. for example, can search by subspecialty for events/content
- Workshops should be longer.
- Rooms were often not appropriately sized for the anticipated audience. For instance, Neonatal Clinical Trials is always one of the best attended sessions, yet there were an inadequate number of seats and a very crowded room (during a pandemic!). In contrast, the best attended Neonatal Neurology sessions were in rooms that were 1/4-1/3 full.
- It was hard to get around the hotels and convention center. In past, the app or program guide had a map of the various venues. If the app had this, I could not find it. We needed more information about sessions. The app did not have the brief workshop descriptions that were published in the past, so it was hard to determine what I wanted to attend. For some reason, my institution's email blocked a lot of the emails that came early on - such as the conflict of interest statement. Any way to fix this? Perhaps it has to come from our side.
- Really great conference
- Continue to allow opportunities for multidisciplinary contributions to the meeting (e.g., psychologists)
- Excellent meeting
- appreciated the greater space in rooms for sessions. Did not feel shut out of things as in previous meetings
- Loved the meeting and seeing people and learning in-person
- Great communication and host city. Well organized. Good content. Selecting sessions/navigating App was difficult given download delays and inability to prioritize schedule. Additionally I felt like sessions could have started earlier (7a) for some topics. I love having the On-Demand option to follow as many of my desired sessions were running concurrently. Many of the slides were not available to view in time for the session.
- Less early morning sessions

- Meeting app did not work well
- I really appreciated that masks were required throughout the event - this made me comfortable being there given I have children who are not eligible for vaccination.
- The app was horrible. So many amazing workshops were at conflicting times. The PAS help desk and the personnel at the booth were amazing and wonderfully helpful
- Greater variety of lectures within all subspecialties.
- Every presentation should be accessible on demand session.
- Too many sessions I wanted to attend simultaneously
- Wish all programs had slides or handouts to assist with deciding which sessions are most applicable for me. Difficult to decide between so many sessions based on title alone.
- I liked the more compact schedule this year. Was less overwhelming. The app needs work. Why did we have to log in with our registration ID every time the app was opened???
- We need an app that works to help navigate the content of the conference.
- Would recommend more interdisciplinary networking.
- Great to have the APA qsis graduation reception close to other receptions to maximize networking!
- Other than the app, it was an incredible positive meeting with strong science, strong appropriate focus on DEI work, inclusive of medical education too, and wonderful to be in person, still felt safe, thanks!!!
- Would love to see more visible organization of poster sessions (i.e. headers/signage for focus areas in the room). The navigation of the app was also quite challenging given the number of sessions occurring at PAS. The large posters at the session were great & seemed as if they could be in a tri-fold or slightly larger handout.
- TY for your work
- app was not as user friendly as in the past.
- It was a very neonatology focused convention. Involvement of other fields would enhance learning and networking experience

- Improve the app and online submission program. No need to record
- If the app could be improved for next year, that would be great!
- good
- the app was horrible. was unusable
- Clarify the expectation of attendance sooner.
- Too much political topics, too little science
- Great positive experience, application and organization could have improved
- I am a pediatric intensivist and bioethicist. This is the fourth PAS meeting I've attended since completing training in 2000. Every time I have attended I was presenting at the meeting, and every time I swear I will never come back because there is so little PICU and ethics content that the meeting is useless for me. Again, this was true. It is a shame because there is so much that neonatologists and intensivists could learn from one another if there were sessions that discussed topics that overlapped. Instead, there was many sessions on preterm infants (which we don't see in the PICU), and virtually none on full-term infants with heart or lung disease, which we see a great deal in the PICU. I have always felt that PAS is not "my" meeting.
- --Like space between posters so that rows do not get too full and discourage one from reviewing a line of posters --
On-line app is a good idea though this tool was not intuitive and challenging to use; appreciate having booklet to find sessions and navigate meeting --Would like QR code displayed at end of each session so that you can enter feedback immediately
- Great meeting
- It was very difficult to navigate the schedule easily. Perhaps it's because I like the old school printed program. Some of us old folks just find it easier to circle the sessions we'd like to attend! The app doesn't allow me to see the big picture of how I want to spend my time.
- Improve sound quality
- The smart phone app was a little glitchy and slow in its responsiveness

- Need a functional app
- better coordination of how to find sessions - the app was terrible. more on twitter.
- I found out later that the clubs were not only for special members, next time that should be stated somewhere that anyone can attend.
- App not working well
- More Hot topics
- Great meeting! Would have liked more scientific lectures on pediatric obesity medicine and treating comorbidities
- Again, the loss of the bioethics platform session was problematic, as this is the session I am most eager to attend. Also, a platform session featuring qualitative research, with abstracts reviewed by qualitative research experts, would be a great addition.
- 90 minute format is too short
- very good overall.
- attract high quality research
- More hem/onc focus. Less overlap of sessions, particularly with similar focus or priority or subspecialty
- do not have this meeting in Baltimore, please. It's unsafe for attendees
- Neonatal health services research interest group!
- Like the space in between posters
- Nice meeting except for the 2 dissatisfaction items above.
- Make access to the on-demand content easier. It is not user friendly to find it.
- Overall this PAS was great and it was wonderful to be able to have an in person meeting. However, there were more technical difficulties and it seemed that overall the science was not as innovative
- I enjoyed the new schedule this year
- The app was very slow and failed to load on numerous occasions.
- Get a new app
- please improve the internet access and the app.
- These surveys are too long.

- Research topics were not very impressive. More session on research that effect clinical change and practice would be helpful. The app function was not very good and not helpful in finding sessions - specifically, it should remember where in the list you were scrolling when you back out, able to search for posters by day, poster area map, ability to save slides if presenters consent.
- None noted
- This survey is far too long and too hard to find the mixed in required questions
- Forging Ahead: Identifying the Future Job Roles of Pediatric Physician-Scientists for 2032 was outstanding
- More networking sessions
- This survey is too long and cumbersome!
- It sometimes seemed that sessions in the same field were all scheduled at the same time. For example, Monday morning at 8am had multiple mental health workshops/sessions at 8am and I was only able to attend one, but then there were other times that there were no mental health sessions. It would have been nice if similar content was spread out as the same group of people probably wants to attend all these sessions.
- Continue hybrid option
- Friday seemed like a "wasted" day with little programming targeted at the general audience. The conference is already very expensive and additional costs for these sessions seemed too excessive. Saturday was packed with neonatal topics that interested me, but I had to decide on which sessions to attend. Going back and listening to pre-recorded sessions will be difficult due to time restraints. I would hope that the next PAS has sessions spread out over the entire conference that does not cost extra.
- It is a lot of work to both record ahead of time and prepare in person, it would be great if the actual presentations could be recorded on site so we don't have to do that.
THank you
- The App this year was appallingly bad, especially when compared to previous years.
- I enjoyed PAS thoroughly. The atmosphere was exciting and inspiring. I loved the many formats for learning from posters to workshops to oral presentations. I definitely want

to attend next year and will again submit my own work for presentation. The consistent 90 minute session time helped to make scheduling simple and organized. It's also a very optimal time allowance for workshops especially. The one thing I would suggest as an area for improvement is as follows: There were many workshop options packed into few time slots (8-9:30, 10-11:30 & 1-2:30) If the same amount and variety of workshops could be spread more thinly across more time slots this would allow attendees to take advantage of more opportunities and allow for larger groups of attendees at each workshop. Many seemed to have 10-15 attendees only. Perhaps more of the afternoon or evening could be used. Or shorter break times and people could just decide when to skip out for meals. So, for example spreading workshops out between 8am and 8pm, and having 15 minutes of downtime between workshops etc. Similarly for other sessions such as oral presentations. Even if some are at lunch and dinner times, people could prioritize, perhaps choosing a session that is 11:45-1:15, and having lunch at 11 that day.

- NA
- new app that doesn't freeze
- excellent meeting
- Highlights were the poster sessions
- This survey is a bit long. Kind of gets a bit hard to keep engaged after so many questions. Also the evaluation of sessions - I am not sure it's really necessary - especially the scientific sessions where there are multiple speakers presenting research. I don't see how that would get incorporated into any feedback or actionable items for the planning committee. It then becomes easy to just click through without thinking too much.
- More workshops, improve speed of app
- This survey is too long, and does not indicate which required questions were missing.
- It was good.
- Thank you
- Don't make us sign away our rights to personal information just for this electronic platform.
- the app was very glitchy, wouldn't load and then shut down often

- It was great to be in person
- The submission website for abstracts and uploading of poster had some hick-ups and closed prematurely. Just hope that more people will come in person again next year.
- Find a new app next year! It was impossible to figure out what the sessions actually were on the app, it crashed constantly. I found it really difficult to decide what to go to because of this. Also, it would be really helpful to somehow code or highlight what track or area the different sessions fit. PHM does this really well, if possible consider emulating their structure (may be more difficult because PAS is such a complex meeting) Finally, for poster sessions, have a map or labelling system within the actual exhibit hall to show what posters are in different sections. I'm sure it was somewhere in the app but the app didn't work at all in the exhibit hall!
- Expand CME beyond physicians
- Improve program application - likely with another vendor
- less overlap of sessions within same specialty
- Would prefer a return to 5d format - sessions were too short and days were too long with a 4d conference. Mobile app is UNUSABLE.
- I really would like for the meeting to have more Pharmacology content
- meme
- Please make the app more useable.
- na
- The meeting as a whole was just about right in content of presentations and Sigs
- 1.5 hours is too short for the SIGs. But the limit to the workshop length is great.
- The app was slow and could not see descriptions
- The app never worked for me and I heard was glitchy for others. I think there weren't enough coffee/snack breaks to allow for networking, and not enough seating throughout the convention center to network/catch up with colleagues either. Since most people take time off from their clinical work to attend the conference, yet many topics of interest would occur at the same time, I think it is worth considering making larger events (e.g., plenaries) available for online

viewing as early as possible (instead of waiting for the conference to end). This way, attendees can go to another topic of interest, and view a plenary later while they're off from work and at PAS. It's hard to go back and view missed PAS sessions once we return to our normal work schedule.

- Significantly more sessions relevant to critical care than past meetings I have attended.
- the program apps was awful
- Good try to get back to in-person meeting. Stifled somewhat due to persistent pandemic concerns. But a move in a good direction. Hopefully 2023 will be better still.
- More environmentally conscious programming
- Thank you for additional coffee stations in the halls of convention center.
- Great meeting
- more security checking ID badges
- More open to research outside the USA. Especially in oral presentations.
- Returning to in person conference was wonderful. While on line content can deliver the information, the ability to discuss, hear from others, and most importantly to network with colleagues was amazing.
- NA
- Find a way to increase the attendance of Advanced Practice Providers for networking and presence.
- NOT easy to find On Demand and all sessions not included
- I like the 90 minutes...but the clustered time frame when the sessions were presented did cause more conflicts than usual. Not a fan of any sessions that ended up scheduled outside of that time frame (i.e. the Silverman lecture).
- POTS (postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome)... not a single poster, workshop, academic presentation. I have been seeing a lot of this and it has been a growing concern of many pediatricians, both in complex care and general practice. It is a multisystem condition with real medical basis as well as having huge psychological overlay. Needs some representation in upcoming meetings.
- It was great to have in person meeting!
- why is this required?

- NA
- 7am orientation for new attendees is too early in the day, consider creating a video that folks can watch before attending. It's overwhelming for trainees
- Some meeting rooms are too small because those rooms were full often.
- The app was pretty terrible. Can we also make the deadline for submissions be the week after the new year?
- This was a fantastic event and really enjoyed all the presentations and networking
- I really enjoyed the workshops, clubs, and SIG meetings
- Poster sessions were huge and potentially larger than prior meetings. It made it more difficult to absorb and learn from these works. 90 minute session worked great. There was a large overlap in types of workshops (med-ed specifically) that may have reduced attendance at many of the workshops.
- Good restart to in person meetings. Appreciated mandatory mask requirement. Please make App more user friendly.
- Please make CME form less onerous -- so hard to figure out what questions I forgot to answer
- I liked the 90-minute sessions; however, many were finished early. They should be full 90-minutes not 70-minutes. There was also a lot of down time between sessions and between the platforms/research sessions and the poster sessions.
- Na
- I would like to have seen a 'clinical track ' for general pediatricians
- In the future if it is possible to make it so that we don't need to re-enter our race/ethnicity, gender, etc multiple times it would be appreciated. It seems like I have had to do it 5+ times and I don't understand why the system can't link me to myself across the platform entry points. The app made it really hard to tell what was going on when, it was incredibly slow, ate up battery life.
- This conference has improved its diversity except for those with disabilities- neither the content nor the venue nor the accessibility plan are supportive of those with disabilities.

The app was a nightmare The networking sessions were a FANTASTIC idea!

- 1- quality improvement template should be different than research template for submission of abstracts 2. Need to increase the standards of posters selection
- Keep trying to minimize conflict of topics under same specialty that are at the same time. Having the on-demand recorded option allows to catch up on sessions I missed, but some sessions did not have a recorded option. I have comments on the notes section. I took some notes during the talks on the presentation. Today, weeks later. I cannot find them.
- It was so good to attend PAS in person after 3 years
- There were just too many things I wanted to attend that were all running at the same time in terms of workshops.
- Appreciate all the effort that has been put in to this meeting! App/Web app improvements would be the best bang for buck improvements, in my opinion.
- No additional feedback
- There was less content this year, but I appreciated that and it made the conference more manageable
- I enjoyed the conference
- Excellent job across the board ensuring that sessions with multiple speakers ended with time for meaningful Q&A
- Continue to find ways to balance the co-existing activities that would appeal to the same audience.
- better app
- This survey is way too long. Either too much thought went into it without following with simplification, or not enough thought went into designing well
- I found the 2021 meeting tough, but useful. It will take awhile to figure out the :right mix in a hybrid world. This should very much be worked on, learning for individuals who can NOT be present, should be recreated for online afterward. I hope to do this, despite my limited ability to attend in person
- Really enjoyed the content this year. Had trouble with the app though.
- Excellent first PAS conference!

- Overall, a great conference. I am grateful to all the planning that went into the event. I do think posters on the first day and last day are often unfairly glossed over - perhaps do meetings at the beginning and end of the conference and posters in the middle. It is a great opportunity to network and see similar research.
- The app was horrible. However, the online CME evaluation was excellent. If we had had that link, it would have been so much easier to navigate the session. I unfortunately missed sessions I would have attended because I literally could not see them posted on the app.
- None that I can think of
- Great conference
- see above
- Great meeting overall, lots of time conflicts so I'm glad that I can access some material after the meeting, although I've been disappointed by what's available to access for workshops that I wanted to attend
- Go back to 2 hr sessions
- This form should highlight what you haven't completed in order submit otherwise you have to go through the entire thing again to find what was missed
- Professional Development sessions at PAS are very worthwhile- it would be great to have more of these!
- See above
- too many sessions at the same time with similar interests.
- The Cadium app crashed repeatedly and otherwise was VERY slow.
- There were several sessions I would have liked to attend but they all were happening at the same time slots. I felt it was hard to network with other physicians from my field.
- App wasn't helpful. Hotel was great. Everyone masked which was great. This CME process needs improvement
- I really enjoyed the networking session and would encourage more of those next year divided by specialty/interest group!
- See above
- It was so great to be back in person

- The app was very difficult to use and navigate and was continuously freezing. The audiovisual support was terrible. One presenter's slides would never load for presentation and another presenter had a voice come over their presentation in the middle of the presentation
- Emergency Medicine's primary research conference is PAS and there is continually never enough room to attend in-person without going to overflow rooms.
- see feedback about poster session
- Improve the website and communications. It is terrible. I could not figure out how to submit my abstract and was worried I did it wrong.
- Great meeting for networking.
- It would be great to not have conflicting sessions for topics that are geared towards a very specific, more limited audience.
- Great job
- Earlier published schedule please. I missed a session on Monday I would have been interested in because I booked travel before it was available.
- Great meeting. Would like more information on the sessions ahead of time. The titles of the SIG and the workshops were sometimes confusing or with little information. Was unsure if i needed to register for those sessions ahead of time or pay extra (as they required in the past or at other conferences).
- More integration with other subspecialties
- Continue to do the great work you are doing in accepting novel research ideas and helping us apply them to clinical practice.
- Better catering!
- Great meeting- Would announce the program with enough time to plan for booking travel- The app system could be improved-- Even in hybrid meetings there is no need to double the work as oral presenters needed to record the presentation in advance, but the live presentation could have been recorded and then either could have been seen live or afterwards.
- More prework for attendees for workshops to be more useful.

- Hard to accomplish, but we need to be sure that trainees are getting feedback and encouragement at PAS
- poster sessions were ridiculously short and prevented meaningful viewing of posters and discussion with colleagues. The exhibit hall was mostly closed and prevented me from seeing posters when I had time.
- email was useful for planning
- Do not charge for rejected abstracts (or refund if rejected, or don't charge at all)
- It was a very educative and an awesome program.
- nothing to add
- The app was terrible! Kept freezing on me and in general not helpful at all. I think I could have covered more presentations I was interested in if I had a functioning app.
- Lots of great workshops at the same time; made it hard to attend everything I wanted. I still need to peruse the available content online though to see what I can still get that I missed while in person at PAS
- na
- well done. no suggestions
- overall, good meeting
- The evaluation form at the end is way too long and has too many questions that are unlikely to be used to make change, causing participants to start to lose focus and just click any answer to get to the end. I recommend shortening the form by about 90% so that the feedback received is actually high-value.
- The abstract submission did not disclose the requirement for recording the science submitted and accepted regardless of the type of acceptance. Video recordings requirements are illegal in some states and this proceeding is questionable. Furthermore, for years now PAS has not been able to accommodate Mac users and they represent a significant portion of the scientific community.
- I am not sure if I am out of the swing of conferences but I had significantly difficulty navigating the schedule/convention center and was not able to attend as many presentations as I would have liked. The schedule seemed to impact this.
- The app kept crashing and was difficult to use.

- excellent balance of networking and scientific presentations
- xxxxx
- not sure
- Find a new app or a way to ensure that the internet can support the app.
- Denver wasnt a great location. Actual clinical application of research topics would be helpful
- NO ADDITIONAL RECOMMENDATIONS
- More food options within the conference center
- Great conference! I enjoyed the in-person content. I liked the social media content/interactions as well. My team came away inspired and motivated! I really appreciated the enlightening sessions regarding racism in medicine. This topic is of utmost importance to me and to my team. My only constructive criticism is that the app did not work well. It was not user friendly and had many glitches. We ended up using the paper guide instead.
- Really enjoyed returning to in-person PAS!
- Do not have a poster session in the evening.
- Loved the DEI initiatives and sessions. Keep them going. The science has degraded overall, need more basic science sessions.
- Better PAS App. It kept crashing or freezing up.
- nothing
- Very well organized!
- Na
- This conference evaluation form is very long. Can it be shortened?
- The program is very dense. For the same specialty with the same interest area in the specialty there could be multiple sessions in the same time slot. Fortunately we have the opportunity to go back to the missed ones with the online option.
- more workshops and a better platform to navigate the meeting.
- Please do not have a Poster Sessions on the very last afternoon of the conference! This made travel back home difficult and as a presenter many people had already left the conference meaning not many people came by. One of

the most valuable parts of the poster session is getting feedback on your work and networking with others doing similar work across the country. Most of those who attended the last poster session were other presenters and this did not make the experience very valuable. Having the session during the morning or late morning/lunch time would have been better.

- Such a massive conference and you do a great job every year. Thanks!
- More quality research sessions- definitely seemed like fewer quality sessions as compared to previous years
- It was excellent. Thank you.
- Please send out email about preregistration events and also if one wants to register at the event , make it possible
- Strong meeting.
- Good Meeting and felt safe
- It was a somewhat difficult process applying for trip reimbursement from my school. Any way to facilitate that in the future could be good for people in my situation.
- There were many overlapping topics within the same specialty. It would have been more ideal to have themes spaced out to avoid conflicts
- Nice conference, very heavy neonatal
- There are too many sessions morning to night, leaving little time to network.
- It seemed that many moderators were unaware of the 90 minute session format and ran over time
- Recognizing that on-demand videos are important for people to view who are unable to attend the in-person PAS meeting, it is challenging for presenters and is asking a lot of them to record them prior to the meeting. This issue was further complicated because presenters did not receive communication about needing to record their presentations until ~2 weeks before the deadline. If on-demand content is continued in the future, it would be helpful to communicate this information earlier and to provide more lead-time for presenters to arrange their schedules accordingly.
- It was SO good to be back to in-person meetings. I really enjoyed listening to presentations and seeing friends.

- 1. The app as mentioned above needs to be substantially improved 2. I found the multiple emails with different logins confusing - one for poster, one for speaker etc.
- PAS App was very slow and difficult to navigate. Slide download took too much time and I used it very little. Would be more useful if slide download and app navigation were faster. Schedule was released later than I expected.
- The Friday night poster session had lower attendance. perhaps it is not the best time to have a poster session.
- The eventScribe app did not work well. It was very slow, often frozen and hard to navigate.
- Great meeting overall. More leadership opportunities within PAS and APA should be presented. How can you have a leadership role within a SIG? Is it by internal contacts only?
- more informal gatherings to promote recruitment of faculty
- some topics that attract the same audience were scheduled at the same time limiting ability to participate in both.
- Need to improve the app
- No suggestions! good program!
- Improvement of the program guide such that it lists ALL things occurring on one calendar. Not the mainstream calendar and then ancillary separately, making one unaware of what they're missing, thinking they have a complete list in front of them.
- More content for dietitians
- App does not work well
- the app is slow, missing information, searches poorly
- It would be nice to be able to search posters by topic area AND by day! There are so many posters at PAS so filtering by the ones you are interested in on the day you want to go to the session would be nice.
- Condensed schedule with many interesting sessions occurring simultaneously
- It was excellent. This is my second time attending and I loved it!
- Happy to attend PAS meetings in Denver
- app frequently shut down and was hard to navigate

- Fantastic overall - hoping for just as great of a conference next year!
- A talk from NIH on Funding Mechanisms in Pediatrics- Demystifying the Process- perhaps a panel from NICHD, NHLBI and NIAID if you really believe researchers in pediatrics are an endangered species
- need better website. Quality of research lacking.
- Need a better app that doesn't freeze.
- see above
- Excellent meeting, the meeting app was a bit cumbersome
- I liked that the schedule was streamlined this year. Way less chaotic and confusing to navigate than in years past.
- Return to the longer program as in past in-person years, to allow for more scientific presentations.
- Excellent Meeting!
- Overall good meeting
- great, well-rounded program. Thank you!!
- A very good meeting.
- have app be easily searchable. This year was terrible. Impossible to find presenters or presentations
- Dump EventScribe!
- improve quality of app for next year
- Thank you
- The app could be much improved. Difficult to search for sessions or presenters. Standardize institution format during registration so that all presenters from an institution can be more easily found (e.g. misspellings of cities or variation in abbreviations led to different categorization)
- NA
- Would still like paper "program" Phone app did not work well - took forever to down load More signage about where activities were occurring Great meeting - first time attendee
- Make sure the venue supports the high demand of Wi-Fi users. Inform ahead of time if a session is going to be on limited space base. Inform the attendees if a session requires a PC or a Mac ahead of time (I brought an iPad).
- check all the apply for the how did you learn about PAS meeting did not work.

- The app, as usual for conferences, was not great, very slow and crashed occasionally, maps could be better
- The timing of the conference needs to be adjusted. Many conferences have moved to weekdays- better for families and travelling parents, better for overall wellness not to lose a weekend of not doing clinical work to travelling for work. Ideally the conference should run with pre-conf programming on Monday, with the remainder of the conference Tues-Thurs.
- ...
- Have more subspecialty specific workshops for procedures (i.e PICC line placement for Neonatologists or Echo workshop for Neonatologists, Pericardial tap/emergency procedures, PAL insertion etc.)
- none
- Online app had very poor performance
- Occasionally there were platform sessions that significantly overlapped in topic that occurred at the same time (occasionally even a presenter had to leave to go present at a different session). Given that there were hybrid option, one possibility was to go to one and stream the other on demand but helpful to try to spread similar topics throughout the conference as much as possible.
- great meeting. thank you.
- As stated above, I would love to see more specific information for Sports Medicine providers or simply making it easier to search for those related lectures
- The 90 minutes workshops were amazing. I would love to see those continue. I did not feel that pre-recording posters was helpful.
- The ap was very difficult to use and there wasn't enough detail in the booklet schedule to find everything I needed, so I relied on peers to determine what sessions to attend.
- Great conference! My only complaint was that the app was very slow and crashed frequently; it would be great if it worked well.
- Different mobile app platform
- Love the workshops and 1.5 hour break for lunch. Allows you to get lunch and not miss anything
- None at this time

- many posters came down before poster sessions ended. too much neonatology.
- The PAs is one of the most important research meeting for Pediatrics and specifically for neonatology. The online program eventScribe was well organized application, but has multiple issues that need to be fixed if it will be used again. It was frequently slow, freeze frequently. I believe this was not related to the availability of Wifi because the same problems are present even when using the cell phone data.
- For those of us attending and presenting work, it was a lot to record ahead of time AND attend the meeting. I wonder why some live recordings couldn't have been pursued.
- Consider condensing programming to a Friday morning to Sunday evening timeframe. The Monday sessions aren't always well attended and the extra day can make PAS feel long. Denver as a host city had great hotel options but did not have great food environment/restaurants. At times the smell of THC in the city was overpowering. I would explore other cities as potential destinations as opposed to Denver
- Fix the app for next time please. It was difficult to navigate and slow.
- Plenary should not have completing sessions at the same time
- Ensure workshop set up sufficient for participant numbers
- I would have loved a larger variety and a few more workshops I think
- Excellent conference, quality of sessions was good. App was difficult to use and crashed often.
- very well organized. Thank you!
- The app! There has to be a better option!
- NA
- Thank you for your attention to everyone's safety. Definitely appreciated the dedicated lunch breaks in the schedule. 1) Is there a way to check to see if presenters have conflicting presentation times in different locations? this happened to a few of my colleagues.
- minimal relevance for community pediatrician
- Smaller groups for some workshops would be beneficial

- App was terrible but appreciated the ability to search for key faculty, key terms. So many great workshops and meetings at the same time, it would be good to spread them out to the afternoon sessions too instead of posters.
- NA
- Ensure moderators understand that trainees are at various stages of being physician scientist and be more perceptive of their capabilities prior to asking them questions beyond their capabilities.
- The poster sessions should be either decreased or moved to the middle of the meeting. So many people leave before they happen that it is a little disappointing to see so many people standing at posters and people not visiting them. Perhaps have some specialties at start and some at end will allow people to see more of their interests and network but not be there for so many days. On the CME form, i couldn't even find session i had attending making it very difficult and really underestimating what I attended. Especially since people sometimes attend multiple sessions but see one or two presentations in a session. Somehow making it easier to do this in real time would be great.
- It was fun meeting friends in person.
- 90 min sessions a bit too long. Recommend 60
- Synchrononous virtual content. Clear what will be on later and what won't.
- Great meeting
- I had a great time at PAS and had incredible networking opportunities.
- Many young people speaking too fast during their presentation Might want to remind oral presenters to speak SLOWLY and carefully so audience can follow them. Their research new to audience while they are very familiar with what they did. Improve their communication skills!
- Please include a whole tract on Autism Spectrum Disorder. The sessions were glaringly lacking and did not include parental perspective. With prevalence now 1 in 44, it is a significant public health and advocacy issue and PAS/SPR has not done enough to tackle it. Great conference but really disappointed in this area
- Improving the app

- Space out clinical topics so does not overlap too much but I also understand not everyone's interest is the same.
- At times, some outside events seemed to be of high risk of facilitating spread of COVID-19.
- Like shorter duration and online capacity
- Excellent meeting.
- It would be great to be able to access the online content easily. I have had difficulty finding it
- Please have a networking session for General Pediatricians. There needs to be more onsite food choices for grab and go so that we do not have to go out for food and be late for sessions.
- fewer posters, more symposiums.
- App was slow and crashed often. Annoying to have to wait for an ad every time you opened it. meeting felt very safe with masks required.
- na
- Have higher quality oral poster sessions
- Thanks for pulling off the conference live!
- Good to be back in person
- so many good things going on at the same time
- enjoyed the meeting this year
- Offered so many different sessions on multiple topics. Very well rounded conference.
- The app was very glitchy and rarely worked. I would have just used the online version with my laptop, but none of the slides would pull up when I accessed through the website (said file could not be found every time I tried), so I was forced to use the app.
- Great experience as a trainee
- great to be in person again!
- App was terrible-- slow, glitchy, hard to search
- If there was a way to make suggestions for meeting up with people based on common institutions of training, that would be great. I trained at a small institution, so not the big ones who always host get-togethers, and it's hard to know what alumni might be at the meeting.
- longer labs

- Bring back the books! Don't make the slides due so early for hybrid. Make sure that the meeting is more disability friendly. It was great to have lunch breaks this year. There should be more of that even if the conference is longer.
- more snacks and water accessibility
- Well done
- Submitted for both live and on-demand presentations was very taxing. The slides required before the live session and the recording were extra work and not as high quality as my slides by the time I presented in Denver. It would be BETTER to use the in-person slides as the on-demand material it will be higher quality and less work.
- I would make sure the app is more functional for future conferences
- More food at breaks
- 1 hour sessions could allow people to learn more varied topics. 1.5 hour sessions are good for specialists who are primarily interested in their own niche.
- It would be nice to have a bit more robust description of the breakout sessions. This conference is very physician centric and other disciplines do participate in research.
- Better app for next year
- Because of the number of the concurrent sessions, the conference felt a little siloed. Wondering if there is a way to encourage mixing more.
- More debates
- invest in new app
- Longer hours for posters. Try to have them up for at least two days but ideally whole meeting. You can easily do this by making posters half-size.
- I would love more networking opportunities (Scheduled networking). I loved the scavenger hunt, but it was very particular and very time intensive.
- the app this year really did not work well--it froze a lot
- In person was so much more effective than virtual. The app for the program was buggy, slow, and very difficult to use. It froze constantly.
- More science-based mini-symposia.
- app was hard to use

- 60 hour sessions may be helpful so there is not as much overlap of sessions. However, with the virtual environment this is less of an issue. May also benefit from using a different app as the one used froze often.
- Loved the universal masking. Content was excellent this year.
- None come to mind. It was an excellent meeting
- It was a great meeting focused on emerging evidence that we can use to improve the care, practice, and environment of our pediatric hospital.
- This was a great meeting
- Improve app for navigation. Do not have poster session as final event on last day.
- Poster acceptance/presentation information slightly earlier would be appreciated.
- Great meeting. Thank you.
- Already listed above
- Not quite sure, but again a very large and diffuse conference, and second time attending -- went once before many years ago. Maybe because of COVID more of my department and mentors were not in attendance.
- Eliminate the need for recording sessions; return to in-person only
- I wish we were polled about virtual vs. in person. As a trainee who wanted to present my research it would have liked to do it virtually.
- Overall PAS experience from abstract submission to presentation and responsiveness throughout was great. Cardiology and ICU content was low but improving and it was interesting. Conference app was poorly functional/non-functional. some workshops didn't have enough seating (for eg. CRT workshop).
- Great to get to see many colleagues again. See you next year.
- 90 minutes for SIG meeting felt way too short. Ok for workshops.
- It was nice to have a face to face meeting. The programme variety was reduced possibly because of reduced attendance numbers.

- It would be great with all the focus on health equity and inclusion, we waived the abstract submission fee for those from low and middle income countries so that these researchers could present, and cover their expenses (airfare, hotels, transportation, meals, etc) for those that are presenting their research.
- make sure room size large enough for popular sessions
- The poster sections continue to be too large and the science presented is frequently poor. Instead of including bigger numbers, I'd prefer for it to be more selective with the best research
- It was my first time at PAS and I really enjoyed it! As an adolescent medicine provider I wish we had more opportunities for networking and/or topics geared to our patient group specifically, but overall I had an excellent time@
- Thank you.
- The online app was really slow. It will be nice to have more workshops and more number accommodated in workshops
- More time for q and q would be helpful in all abstract presentations.
- Too many concomitant sessions even in the same specialty forcing one to pick and choose. The availability of online recordings after the conference is a great resource, but some of the recordings were of poor quality (Dr. Satyan's recording of oxygen guidelines in term infants was obviously on a plane!). The cost of attending only the online version was too high. There needs to be more healthy food options available at the venue for lunch. The App could have been better. .
- Taylor room size to type of presentation.....oral abstracts may not need large ballroom but topic symposium need bigger rooms App froze frequently
- ---
- This is my first time attending PAS, and I was very impressed. I was impressed both as a presenter, and received timely and appropriate guidance for my oral presentation. I was also very excited to see the wide range of topics that were presented during this conference.
- It would be great if all sessions could be recorded and made available afterwards, not just the pre-recorded talks.

Sometimes I was interested in two separate sessions that were happening at the same time. I would love to be able to view the talks on line later if I missed a talk.

- I think that we can pre-record more things including parts of workshops. I really felt like a lot of great things were happening all at once.
- It was terrific! I liked the 90 minute sessions. Having an option for lunch on site would be helpful because many local restaurants booked up with very long lines
- If possible, try spacing out similar topics as much as possible so people interested in that area can attend as many of the sessions as possible. Clearly indicate which sessions are recorded for later review v. those only available in person so make selecting sessions easier. Have a functioning app
- make the pocket book actual pocket size
- NA
- - We need to be able to label our work in multiple dimensions at the submission stage (e.g., QI and rheumatology) and have that carry through the program in a searchable way. - The APP was really unusable. Had to go back to using the online version, would not recommend spending money on it again. - These evaluations are unfortunate -- we are asked only to evaluate the chair and none of the individual speakers -- this is a missed opportunity to support people's evaluations for future promotion. - Odd break in the middle of the day on Sunday -- usually able to always find something happening.
- Too difficult to get CMEs
- no more comments
- Very difficult to navigate presentations, timing of sessions with app used for this meeting. Would recommend using a different app if feasible.
- Expand offerings in person. Appreciated the focus on safety this year requiring everyone to mask.
- Great conference! Thanks for all your efforts to bring us back in person.
- 1. Need more original science/research sessions and fewer workshops, career sessions, etc. The spring meeting should be a research meeting. The fall AAP NCE is available for the other material. Please make this a

research meeting. 2. Why do the general sessions for neonatology always end up in rooms with too little space??? This happens every year. Anyone following this? Overflow rooms are not the solution. I hear a lot of complaints about this meeting being too neonatal-centric. But since neonatologists keep coming to the meeting each year, you could at least make appropriate accommodations for those who support the PAS meeting.

- Excellent on-demand availability of sessions.
- The virtual access needs improvement. better communication with speakers to upload content and why. Some workshops could have been uploaded, depending on their format.
- Too many neonatal-perinatal sessions at the same time. Could not attend all of the ones I wanted because were concurrent with another important session. Need workshops to be done twice so more people can attend.
- The topics seem to be led by interests of the presenters rather than vision of the conference.
- More workshops please.
- Need better screening of volunteers to moderate sessions. Make sure that selected moderators are experts in their field or at least board certified in their specialty.
- I will not speak at another meeting that requires the talk to be recorded several weeks ahead of time. That is just not feasible for how an academic talk comes together. If you want to share the content virtually, record the talks as they are being given and share that content.
- I liked the schedule this year and how most of the sessions were done by mid-afternoon. The meeting felt much more manageable this year. And the shorter workshop lengths were also much appreciated.
- In person meeting only NO POLITICS- stop all the critical race theory virtue signaling and climate change hysteria. The conference should be about pediatrics and real science- not politics
- xvvcvxv
- na
- PLEASE dedicate more physical space/ballrooms to DEI related topics/presentations/workshops.
- NA

- Meeting in-person again was greatly needed.
- Improve search function within the app and ability to store sessions that you attended.
- Quality of new research this year not as high as usual in neonatal clinical trials - lots of secondary analyses rather than new work
- There was a lot of content duplication. This would be unnecessary if sessions from the same track weren't scheduled at the same time.
- 90 minute sessions made it impossible to allow for a longer, more confluent plenary session. In doing so, it resulted in numerous interrupted plenary sessions that constantly competed with other scientific sessions. Overall, this meeting was highly disappointing
- Too much communication (i.e. too many e-mails) The app was awful!
- No other comments
- Excellent conference.
- Great Conference
- Na
- Given all the emphasis on diversity, a lack of discussion of the worsening state of physician researchers with disabilities was concerning.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7053734/> It was also interesting this survey did not ask about disability status.
- The app really needs improvement! It was worse than in prior years. It was very difficult to see session speakers, identify rooms, etc. Denver was fine but it is nicer when there is safe, outside space to walk or run. I was advised at my hotel not to run downtown outside solo due to increased violence and crime, etc. The weather was also chilly/windy that week.
- 90 minutes is almost no time for workshops -- as a workshop presenter, felt very rushed trying to shorten materials to fit time frame; Appreciated the networking breaks, but I think the reorganization of the meeting INCREASED competition/scheduling challenges rather than decreased. Submission site with separate logins for each activity type (presentation, scholarly sessions, workshops, posters) confusing, redundant, and error prone

(completed COIs half a dozen times, still had glitches because I couldn't keep track of which was which), as a reviewer, platform was challenging to navigate/would not retain filters or sort preferences making it incredibly difficult to translate between printed versions of abstracts and submission fields.

- There was a lot of focus on diversity and equity. Would have liked more focus on the science.
- More about BPD. fix the app so it doesn't freeze requiring you to restart it which loses the place where you were when it froze (almost every 5 minutes). Be more clear about when the hotels don't have a restaurant in them.
- Wonderful conference
- Would be great to record actual sessions, allow more true hybrid options for those who cannot travel
- app was horrible, locked up all the time. Everything else was good.
- An institution familiar with breastfeeding work should sponsor the lactation room to ensure that all criteria are met. We should offer pumps, pump parts, daytime storage and Fedex service to ship milk home to families.
- Thank you.
- Emphasize science and leave the societal issues to the AAP
- Please do not require recordings of presentations prior to the meeting. Or, if do -- please allow much more notification that it is a requirement.
- na
- I regret that PAS chose to make the 'hybrid' part of the meeting (virtual presentations/viewing in real-time) unavailable. This may be the society's economic decision. Several amenities common in past PAS meetings were limited at PAS 2022: smaller selection of food at poster sessions & no bar; charging for rooms at the convention center for clubs. printed booklet The program/agenda app was inferior & difficult to use (poor capability to call up personal agendas and the printed program booklet contained errors in time & place for several events. This was a difficult year. It is laudable that the meeting came off as well as it did.
- See above comments

- Love the mental health paradigms - please continue to integrate themes of trauma-informed-informed care and support of the infant-mother dyad (family unit care) in future conferences. Trauma integration and mitigation of long-term adverse childhood experiences (emotional, socio-behavioral and neurodevelopmental) are critical to the healthy development of children. And this wildly impacts the health of the family unit as a whole. One of the most vulnerable populations is the high risk infant. As a neonatologist, I believe to my core that our work starts on day 0 of admission to the NICU, and, with continued attention to this critical truth, we can impact the young children who survive the journey and trauma of their life-saving NICU course.
- increased space for child abuse pediatrics
- Too many posters present during each session, a bit overwhelming. The mobile app lags and doesn't work very well.
- I was surprised that I liked the 90 min length. I was more likely to stay in one session for the full 90 minutes. This was also aided by knowing I could see conflicting sessions on demand later.
- Way too many posters Too many conflicting sessions about trafficking, racism, and quality improvement. Could not attend all the sessions I wanted to attend.
- Great job with organisation
- Convention Center was huge and it took a long time to get from one place to another. Too few guides so easy to get lost
- I think 1.5 hour sessions were too long
- Facilitate access to abstracts from previous years
- Denver is a boring city!
- Always an enjoyable and energizing meeting. Especially appreciate the opportunity to watch pre-recorded sessions over the summer as multiple sessions of interest often met at the same time (e.g. clinical content within my subspecialty overlapping with medical education workshops).
- This year's conference was unnecessarily teeming with woke/ liberal issues.

- Kindy make recording for platform sessions optional. Increase topic , original research presentation to 2 hr (8 presenations). This is not long, and allows more presentaitons. Costs for non faculty (lab technicians etc) should be reduced. Becoming prohibitive.
- Better app please
- the APP was horrible!
- Avoid scheduling popular sessions at the same time.
- COULD TRY TO USE QR codes TO SCAN CONTENT BACK TO YOURSELF FOR LATER
- The subspecialty breakouts were a good idea but tended to be overly dominated by those in academics some of whom just wanted to hear themselves talk.
- Recommend new app. App from last PAS I attended in 2018 in Toronto worked much better. Also, platform to obtain CME credit/surveys unwieldy and time consuming, should be better streamlined.
- Provide food and communal tables to encourage more networking and meeting people you don't already know.
- nothing to add
- App was very slow to load and glitchy
- I think that those presenting posters should not have to upload their voice presentation and poster 2 weeks before the conference. I think it should be uploaded by the conference especially since it wasn't available until after the conference. It was a time crunch with trying to get the poster completed to upload and I would have appreciated the extra 2 weeks until the conference.
- Over a great meeting with a wide range of topics. Wonderful networking opportunity with colleagues from own. Lots of new material presented from clinical trials. In person format was great after 2 years! One suggestion - Felt a bit of information overload and tired on the 3rd day. Could be helpful to have some interactive sessions that will make the experience interesting and not monotonous listening to speakers.
- Great meeting, met my goals
- Great conference . The app works good but still some improvement because it is a lot of material so get pretty slow and also need a lot of steps to get what you need

- Sound system in most of the conference halls was bad. The technicians were not much interested to fix the problems.
- Look into better apps - very useful in theory but technology did not work well
- Please employ a better app. The current one is nearly useless and always a source of frustration.
- Sessions with similar interests should not overlap
- The scientific content has to be improved, esp basic science
- Do not schedule all the neonatal topics at the same time. Do not have posters Monday evening-no one was there.
- unfortunately too many interesting things that overlap, but not sure what can be done about that. The ondemand at least allows us to go listen to the things we missed, which is really good. so thank you for that.
- Overall great conference, it was great to be back in person. I don't think o would change anything moving forward
- I liked having the networking groups not competing with other activities.
- very good
- Enjoyed the yoga meditation area
- xx
- na
- Audio could have been better at times
- fewer posters at huge poster sessions... more grouping of posters by categories in smaller rooms.
- Not quite up to the full energy of previous in-person PAS meetings, likely due to lingering pandemic.
- I really appreciated the quality of the mother's nursing lounge. It was very well thought out and convenient.
- It was a great meeting! Good to see everyone in person. Please go back to printing an abstract book (paper copy). The online abstracts are too difficult to navigate.
- Improve the app search and navigation
- Several times there were multiple similar sessions at the same time; I know somewhat unavoidable but could consider having SIG chairs review the schedule before

finalizing to represent their members' interests and identify topics that shouldn't occur simultaneously.

- I like the idea of the app but it was slow and often froze
- Nothing to add or suggest at this time.
- Different mobile app and improved internet connection
- Would be better for presenters and quality of on demand content to video record live lectures.
- NA
- as above, please make sure popular workshops have larger rooms so as to accommodate everyone who wants to be involved
- In person is superior
- A great meeting- always the highlight of academic pediatrics meetings. Would suggest investing in a more robust app- it was sludgy/slow and having it within the week before the meeting doesn't give much time to go through it in advance to be able to schedule with colleagues in advance.
- Having an app that is more functional in real time would be helpful
- nymwmyte
- The poster sessions were too large - too many posters to go through at each session, too many topics covered. Also the last session was very poorly attended since it was the last day of the conference, not many people stopped by my fellow's poster but many did on Sunday. I would consider not having a poster session so late in the day, earlier in the day preferably, or not at all on Monday.
- Theme focussed sessions please. Too much focus on pulmonary (important as it is)
- excellent meeting, no further comments maybe add more sessions 2:20-4pm and have poster session later
- make the meeting more sustainable---reduce waste, e.g. poster and disposable cup waste, figure out a better solution to the name tags (many companies offer biodegradable/recyclable options). Encourage the exhibitors to do the same (instead of stress balls and plastic throwaway pens). We can and should be the leaders in this space

- I only went to the workshop I presented at so the fee was ridiculous.
- Would be helpful to have free parking. Also helpful to have poster areas labeled with theme (e.g. neonatology).
- More workshops
- If possible, would include more of critical care medicine (non cardiac)
- PAS app needs to be greatly improved, very challenging to navigate. I thought the presentations were of very high quality overall
- Fix the app.
- At times it is difficult to tell which sessions are by invitation only or are open to the general attendee. It would be helpful to know if I missed a session that was "not to miss" and relevant to my subspecialty and research.
- App has been much better in previous years; nothing was able to be loaded. Exhibit hours are limiting, poster sessions too late in the day Appreciate the mask mandate but should offer virtual presentation as many weren't able to attend for COVID or given kids
- More translational focus more food/beverage availability
- Allow posters to be searched by presenter name on the app.
- Convention center wifi was not great--in this day and age, seems like it shouldn't be an issue. App froze/shut down multiple times, but when I was on my cellular network it was fine. Hyatt Wifi by comparison was fine.
- great conference
- There were less attendance in the last poster session. It would be beneficial for all presenters to have more audience and feedback
- (1) have some printed materials regarding the poster layout and the exhibit layout to know where to find topics and companies of interest (2) more information about where water and coffee can be obtained.
- Do not have it in Denver
- no further feedback
- Downtown Denver was not easily walkable/navigable, not a favorite for conference location. 90 minute workshop length felt too short.

- The app was very poor quality - with a meeting this large having an easily navigable schedule is critical. I missed out on things I wanted to do because I couldn't find them.
- Many issues with the Denver Convention Center - technical, errors in location of meetings. This form is TERRIBLE. I've had to go through it over and over because I'm told it's incomplete, but it doesn't show me what is incomplete, and most of the questions are not relevant to me so I skipped and had to check many SKIP boxes
- Please improve the quality of abstract review and the app!
- There were lots of excellent sessions happening simultaneously. Perhaps space them out next time.
- Keep on doing the good work.
- Would like to get CME for attending multiple poster sessions
- Always a great meeting! Always so hard to decide where to go with so many great things going on at once!
- i tried to enter N/A for the items I had not interaction with but the survey would not save those answers so after my third try i am now marking low out of frustration
- Need more science, invited talks from experts. Need to have new faces in the program content selection committee
- For anticipated popular sessions, there should be the largest ballrooms reserved. It's disappointing and not as fulfilling to sit in a different room as overflow. It seemed that there were not a lot of sessions on Friday and so it was a waste of time to arrive on Thursday night or Friday morning. And then most people left by Sunday, missing all the Monday sessions. It may be more helpful to frontload the program, with most events happening on Friday afternoon through Sunday morning, when most people attend.
- improve your web based tools please
- App was poorly organized and slow
- No additional feedback
- about right
- Keep us in person. Keep a tight timeline on presenters.
- this CME form is very difficult to complete.

- I'd recommend creating "tracks" within the conference (i.e. bench research track, QI track, practice management track, career management track, etc). This has worked well at the PHM conference in the past.
- better app. the one used this year was terrible. information VERY difficult to find
- More obesity presentations. It is the biggest issue facing pediatrics and only two sessions, very limited posters and the obesity SIG going on the same time as Obesity II. Very poor planning. After attending over 20 PAS meetings, I'm not coming back.
- well organized; staff helpful
- A little bit better directions within the Convention Center
Specific suggestions for restaurants for lunch that were open (lots of the surrounding area was closed on Sunday).
Better food selection at the Convention Center. Figure out a way to not have to pay \$5.50 for a 20 ounce soda!
- Could do with a bit less focus on equity.
- Please a better app for the meeting.
- more scientific sessions are needed
- Nothing
- na
- The app was really very difficult to use and often did not load. I would work on making this easier as it had the right information just kept crashing.
- Good experience.
- It would be nice to have access to all recorded sessions for on-demand
- non comment
- The app needs significant work. Functioned slowly. Did not get favorite posters into My Schedule.
- More intentionality in selecting early and mid career faculty and host city faculty to moderate the core sessions and plenaries- would love to have seen more Colorado faculty on the podiums and as moderators
- The app this year was terrible. I'm an old school hard copy person, but I don't like the small hand books. Would pay for an option with the abstracts and have more options so that I could look them up in advance and read them on the plane and tab what I want to go to and take notes in it.

- The bilirubin club was a disaster this year, the recording did not work not sure if PAS, convention center or leadership problem. Disrespectful to persons we did not get to hear Not being able to have life sessions with presenters from LMICs problematics in both global health SIG and Bilirubin Clue
- Loved the pumping rooms for nursing moms but have MAJOR feedback for future sessions!! Great: Private rooms, fridge available, comfortable chairs/tables, tables, sanitizer Fell short: no ice packs to transport milk back home, no storage area to keep pump there- lugged it all around, no area to wash equipment or dish soap/basin to wash in Critical short comings: There was no communication that there would be hospital grade pumps and there were no kits available, I would have brought my supplies had I known, instead I brought my crappy smaller pump and struggled with clogged ducts all weekend. Perhaps a QR code or more information in the original communication about exactly what amenities would be available and what we needed to bring ourselves. Overall, so grateful to have the room and support to continue to pump, just a couple areas to improve on moving forward.
- This evaluation system is horrible
- Required masking-great Fewer overlapping sessions-great Denver-scary. Was great last time.
- Need to allow live collaboration with virtual attendees. In nearly all sessions, key stakeholders in research from a variety of fields wanted to participate in discussions but weren't able with the format if they didn't attend in-person. I worry that overall attendance of PAS and society membership (specifically APA) will suffer if a true hybrid experience isn't offered. As a general pediatrician, I know that many of my colleagues are forced to decide between PAS and AAP NCE and will state that some drift to NCE due to a collaborative full hybrid model.
- Please improve the PAS app to make it more user friendly and less clunky.
- no additional feedback
- Investigation of new app for this coming year to support the number of users and vast content
- All good!

- thank you to the program planning committee. topic overlap is always a challenge, but perhaps one that can be improved in future years (ex. SIG at same time as same topic scholarly sessions). Have networking sessions earlier in the meeting. I shared feedback with planners and our partnering society plans to collate feedback to share with the program committee. thank you!
- Excellent conference
- Excellent Learning opportunity
- Please select new software for recording talks and for the program app - both had limited function, were super cumbersome and non-intuitive.
- Get a new dedicated app. This one was very awkward, to the point where we all quit using it and just looked at the paper version.
- There should be signs in the poster area indicated the topic areas. Also, the App made it difficult to search posters.
- More workshops and special interest groups
- more pediatric kidney transplant
- The app could be improved. I created lists of events to go to and loading my schedule often times did not work. I found some of the APA events being held at PAS to be confusing (needing different registration etc.). As a first time attendee I was not expecting this.
- Overall...nice! I like the work shops
- Better PAS app for the future meetings is a must
- Not all of us >50 years of age are great with social media and apps. One could get an idea of all sessions within your sub-specialty. In my case it was available to me from ASPN communication but trying to access programs outside Nephrology which would be an equal interest to me was a complete disaster. The PAS abstracts were available just few days before the meeting. I am not sure what high secretive data is in Abstracts that once it has been accepted as either as oral or poster presentation cannot be made available a month in advance. This is the most ridiculous form that I have completed in all these years attending meetings.
- Thanks!
- Include more sub specialty content

- none, it was a great meeting
- More exhibitors
- Continue abstract selection with reviewers blinded to authors. Continue to prioritize trainee/early career opportunities. Never have meeting in Denver again.
- --
- Exceptional meeting - thank you all
- better handouts
- Shorter poster sessions Fewer opposing workshops--it is too hard to choose since there are so many great ones...and they are not available to view afterward on demand
- Get a different vendor for your app.
- Having the meeting in-person again was wonderful. Networking in-person is very important to me.
- The meeting overall went well given the two year hiatus. The IT team struggled (eg, there were sessions where the slides could not be shown), but clearly worked hard to resolve issues as they arose. The app is a great concept (especially the ability to download slides and posters) but was clunky and slow. I didn't like how the APS sessions were split between two days, and that reduced attendance. There was also disruption in the normal nights for clubs (eg Lung Club) which was confusing.
- Maybe have more platform talks than poster sessions and have them recorded. I prefer listening to talks rather than visiting posters.
- The app was not useful at all - it froze almost constantly, and was not able to load the personalized schedule I had created. Needs to be optimized. Schedule - I would appreciate a visual personalized schedule, rather than scrolling through 100s of options at the same time to find what I had starred.
- go back to longer meeting- too condensed
- Please offer advised/safe walking routes if transportation is not provided Please offer the ability to search posters by poster #/domain on the app organized by day Please minimize low quality posters yet keep the "socially distanced" spacing as it was much easier to navigate the room Please minimize gaps of time with no activities, at

least on several days Please offer workshop slides during the meeting to attendees Consider a new app platform as there were multiple issues I tried to create a search for authors from our institution (including posters) and was not able to search online because attendees don't log in until the time of the meeting but was able to figure it out using my cell phone. Ensure posters can be searched by author institution ahead of time. It also took me several times to submit this survey because missing answers were not highlighted and the N/A would disappear each time and had to be re-selected

- The website and CME section could be easier to navigate
- The app was a bit difficult, often would freeze
- Space out related sessions
- On line app was better but kept crashing and took a lot of time to reload. Needs better programming and not reloading the whole schedule when it restarts. Also slow loading presentations. Poster session finally had sufficient aisle space to prevent bottle necks.
- None at this time
- NA
- Please change the app. Horrible.
- The phone app is terrible. The venue and the presentations are great.
- Appreciate more scientific sessions than QI, LGBT sessions for the future meetings.
- Please continue to keep the conference in-person, and not make it 100% virtual
- Shorter workshop/quick hit sessions
- Excellent overall program and conference. Would look at other APP possibilities. Also, have some charging stations around for those who didn't bring cables with them to the conference!
- Overall very satisfied with meeting experience Online application not very user friendly
- I wish that I could have attended for more sessions. The ones that I did attend were very good.
- Overall great meeting
- ..

- I think the PAS should be as inclusive as possible in terms of selection of categories of scholarship and the acceptance process for submitted work (abstracts, posters) should be more transparent. My worry is that some abstract reviewers may not know how to assess the quality of research methodology and the tendency is to focus more on the result rather than the methods - both are important and if the methods are "left out" in deciding on quality, we can inadvertently distribute misinformation.
- APP for program guide was very erratic, sometimes sessions showed up and I could find what I was looking for. But last day it was not showing anything. I had no idea what sessions were going on
- Excellent meeting for the first one after the pandemic. Enjoyed all the sessions I attended.
- Please use a different faster app next year
- If possible provide schedule earlier
- nones
- Improve the phone app-- caused my phone to crash and ultimately needed to be deleted for my phone to function normally
- I think some sessions should be allowed for 2 hours, such as PAS Labs. I think there needs to be a balance of selecting young trainees and quality of science for platform presentations. I was disappointed by one session that highlighted many young trainees but the rigor of the studies was not on-par with those presented in poster form.
- Very good conference with many academic interests represented
- Improve the app. PLEASE. So much better to be able to look for objectives in the app rather than having to go back and forth, as one example.
- Get a new app next year.
- Enjoy the meeting. I am not a pediatrician but found the conference very helpful.
- No additional recommendations
- Workshop organizers may consider real situations or patient-cases to discuss and expand the content of the workshop.

- The better digital platform, especially the application never properly worked.
- The PAS app was slow. Looking for poster categories was not possible on the App. I had use the PAS web page to decide which posters to look at.
- Pick less but better quality posters
- I was very happy and felt safe after COVID and first in person meeting.
- Thanks for a great conference!
- The poster sessions remain a bit overwhelming. Too many posters. Too few meaningful discussions with presenters.
- Great meeting- hope more people attend next year!
- Consider providing sessions specifically for non -physician/ non medical students (e.g. clinical research coordinators)
- see above
- Great meeting!!
- Better app, better website needed.
- NA
- PAS Meeting conference hotel support to include parking.
- Meeting is always a bit too big - takes forever to sort out the program and where to go. Too much non-medical "career" content; I prefer more "medicine" You evaluation forms are FAR TOO long and detailed. Takes a ridiculous amount of time to complete them!!! Can't wait to get this done but it keeps on going..... Same with abstract submissions! Take a ridiculous amount of time to complete. The "implementation" and "practice change" questions at the end of this form are an example - really?
- The sessions of interest coincide very frequently
- NA
- Loved the trainee area!!!

.....

- This survey is long; doesn't highlight answers you missed
- The Denver location does not lend itself well to social gatherings. The city is depressed, the weather extremely windy and dusty, and there are other cities in the West that would be great locations for this meeting.
- New app developer

- continue to improve APP service less divided program - to be able to attend more sessions :(
- No additional feedback.
- Redo the APP to increase poster presentation
- More topics from the critical care areas should be included to attract critical care subspecialists.
- This survey is too long.
- The PAS app was incredibly unreliable and difficult to use.
- There needs to be less posters and more presentations with focus on clinical work.
- No further comment
- Great session. Looking forward to future conferences. Would recommend perhaps improving the application so it was easier to use (not take a long time to load, freeze, etc.)
- unsure
- Would have been helpful to have program schedule available sooner in terms of planning arrival and departure time
- NA
- no additional comments
- Continued virtual option to listen to concurrent presentations of interest.
- NA
- See above
- I would like to continue the In Person and On Demand offering because there are always an overlap of sessions running at the same time that I would love to watch the recordings afterwards. Also, it helps to earn more CME hours with this format.
- More clinically relevant topics to be included in lecture format
- More time for certain things - specifically SIG and Club meetings as well as Quality Scholars programming
- I was presenting two posters at the same time on Monday evening and the poster were located in different sections so I wasn't able to stand next to both of them.
- Please make sure workshops of common themes - DEI - are not scheduled at the same time as we would like to attend each other's workshops given our similar focus.

- Fewer options per time slot, the importance of the topics seemed watered down and was overwhelming. More practical content, many of the presentations were not actionable.
- Functionating APP is a must. Really dissapointing disfunction.
- A better app will help navigation The computers were not set up the same in different rooms. This is unacceptable. The people in the speaker ready room said to ask for "speaker ready room help" and not to ask for "IT help" - it seems they knew that there was differential quality across the groups of help. The meeting did not seem accessible for anyone with physical difficulties with mobility.
- excellent conference and venue. I would prefer more science, but also understand that my goals are different than those of other attendees
- This was the best conference I have ever attended. I do not like this survey. I did not really attend very many "scientific" presentations. I mostly attended educational and career focused sessions. I think many questions on this survey are not relevant to all attendees.
- I really enjoy the combined in person and on demand option: would make sure all presenters have on-line version available as well tape workshops
- More club or pleanary sessions
- I enjoyed the meeting and have no specific suggestions.
- Meetin was well organized and it was nice to see everyone after COVID in person.
- Include more innovative workshops that use concepts from outside of medicine e.g. business, entrepreneurship
- None.
- Survey is too long. Focus on diversity and inclusion is essential however the number of workshops on this topic tend to exceed the number of workshops of how we can actually teach our trainees more effectively.
- I was not so happy with the preparations, lot of work to prepare oral and video; I would suggest stopping the hybrid format and choose for in-person only
- The app was atrocious—wasted untold time with it freezing and crashing

- na
- Would be great to have detailed program guide available much sooner. There are so many events at PAS and difficult to plan only a few weeks out with only general program guide.
- More general audience sessions, inspiring sessions
- PLease keep on demand option in future!!!
- this evaluation should alert you to missing data
- NA
- The app was terrible this year, difficult to find presenters and poster abstracts in particular, hard to search topics.
- Please make sure that the rooms are adequate size for popular topics.
- More user-friendly App Strategies and execution for data mining of huge EMR data for inferences (since RCTs are uncommon / not possible in many instances in NICU and take too much time for a short inference). This should include not just private/limited networks but all the private practices EMRs.
- It was good we were wearing masks but that definitely decreased my ability to clearly hear/understand some speakers. I felt like the A/V tech support was slow but I understand they were understaffed.
- The app was really ineffective. It would freeze up and not allow one to access information effectively. The 2019 app was far better.
- better app please!
- more detail about sessions to attend in advance
- This session was really helpful.
- Much improved kudos to the organizers - no waiting lines
- It was great!
- Great meeting, the app was unfortunately slow. This could be improved for future years to improve ease of navigating the meeting
- The app really needs to be better. The need to synchronize your information every time is already inefficient, and additionally hampered by it loading very slowly and not always synchronizing correctly.

- Spread out the workshops slightly. Many I wanted to attend but unable to due to conflicts.
- Please make the abstract deadline either before the winter break or at least 2 weeks after people usually come back from winter break. Making the deadline at the beginning of January does not promote work-life balance because it causes people to spend their breaks working rather than spending time with family. This definitely affects our trainees who only have a limited time to spend it with family and they are often scrambling to pull things together for the deadline instead.
- See above
- I'd like to see a primary care asthma working group. I'd be happy to help with it . We need more opportunities to network and develop best practices . Asthma basic science and acute care management are common important topics - but a very different focus.
- Great location and content. More food/drink availability and sitting locations for meetings in the conference center would be helpful, particularly at such a big place with so many people attending.
- Great conference! Very well run and appreciated it being in person and ability to network with so many colleagues.
- Wonderful DEI content. Please continue in future.
- fix the app for next year
- More workshops
- Please use a different app for the meeting program next year.
- do not separate out sections for searching (posters, presentations, oral presentation, etc). that made it feel like I had to search everything 3-5 times, very difficult to find things of interest
- NA
- The app was very difficult to navigate. I found it difficult to locate talks that I knew were happening and wanted to attend.
- The app was slow
- Thank you for all of the hard work that goes into making this conference a success. I appreciate the changes that

were made and the food for thought in areas that I had not anticipated hearing/being excited to discuss.

- There was so much going on at any given time, it was hard to get to all desired activities but also it's hard to stay for so many days.
- The app really needs to facilitate the experience at this point. It was very subpar
- Get rid of these evaluation forms
- One of the best ways to create networking opportunities at conferences is to offer lunches/food. This is one of largest international conferences worldwide and I find it frustrating to need to go out on town to find something to eat every day. With all sponsors and attending fees it should be possible to arrange included lunches at the convention center. Also the PAS app was terrible with lot of bugs and hard to navigate, needs to be improved.
- I thought the way poster sessions were scheduled and run was an improvement.
- App needs a lot of work- crashed constantly. Had to use internet browser which was hard to sort and find sessions.
- Please try to increase critical care sessions to attract PICU physicians to the meeting
- Great meeting
- Please restore the option for 2 & 3 hour sessions, especially for the SIGs & workshops.
- More chance to discuss multicenter collaborations
- Well done!
- Short sessions are clearly better for this attendee's attention span. however, speakers seemed--at times--completely unaware of the truncated format so many ran WAY over allotted time. Should evidently be communicated more clearly to speakers in future.
- Shift to pediatric subspecialties of genomics from medical genetics is very positive in that real doctors (pediatricians and subspecialists who see many patients) are much better able to correlate DNA findings with patient findings. The sad part is that the molecular and medical geneticists who conduct most of the commercial testing and its interpretation do not benefit from pediatric perspective. The pediatric hallmarks of anticipatory guidance, emerging disorders in developing individuals, and screening for early

diagnosis should apply throughout patient lifespans yet are completely foreign to molecular geneticists and MS genetic counselors and minimally experienced by lab-oriented medical geneticists even with pediatric training.

- Use the PAS app that was used before, not event scribe. I feel like I missed out on lots of good content due to difficulty with app. The book that was printed didnt have the times on it, just what was going on each day, so it felt like you had to click on multiple areas to find things.
- Thank GOD for the help of Jaclyn Huff. My phone call went unanswered.
- No additional feedback
- It is a tough meeting to coordinate; my biggest issue was the number of things going on at the same time, which was overwhelming.
- the networking sessions were great! The app needs some improvement
- Clearer tagging for health services research.
- Please see prior comments. Also evaluation is redundant and too long.
- workshops that I attended were excellent! liked spread-out posters.... please continue in future! Wonderful to have everyone masked. Very glad to see lots of content relevant to medical educators. Nice to have a big hotel (Hyatt) with lots of lobby space and access to food and drinks so close to convention center. It was a good place to meet and network.
- increase opportunities to network
- More emphasis on platform sessions evaluating new scientific data.
- I think the timing of the conference going into the next week is a big inconvenience and it should end on Sunday morning to allow for time, so people like medstudents, residents, fellows dont have to take time from two subsequent weeks off to get to the conference.
- n.a
- The app was very slow, frequently crashed/froze, and was generally difficult to use to navigate the meeting. Frequently ended up opening laptop to perform tasks (like identifying session location) that should have been easily accomplished on the app.

- There were some issues for others with uploading presentations via App and then it not being there when came time for presentation. Would be good to get that glitch worked out.
- See my comments previously - liked SIG lunches - refreshment breaks on site so that you could continue to attend back-to-back sessions refueled or opportunity to meet/network with colleagues - app needs improvement- recommend testing by an actual PAS user, especially the poster format - attention to scheduling with concurrent sessions within a specialty where interests are divided
- Great Job!
- It was really nice to participate the PAS meeting in person. It was refreshing.
- It was a pleasure to see everyone in person again
- Improve navigation by the app Larger (and clearer) names on nametags - this seems small but important for networking... and also recalling the names/institutions of masked faces
- na
- smoother app for next year!
- it would be great to provide CME for poster sessions.
- good
- improvements needed for this form. If fields are missing, form should highlight them so that we don't need to keep scrolling over and over again to try and figure out what we are missing.
- Nothing to add
- Great opportunities for networking Scientific content less than optimal
- it was nice to see colleagues in person again
- The poster sessions were too long- maybe do 1hr max.
- Less concomitant sessions
- Badges - Highlight Name, Role, City MUCH BIGGER FONT - maybe different color badges for different fields? App - not a good ux at all. Thank you for all of your hard work, it was a great success and I was overall very pleased.
- Bring back endo

- More time for networking for specific topics

361 empty responses


Question 68:

Would you be willing to be contacted about your comments or meeting feedback?

Total Responses: 1692

Overall Average: 1.67

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Yes	561	33.2%
No	1131	66.8%



CME CREDIT REQUEST


Question 69:

Was the reporting of scientific research presented objectively?

Total Responses: 1692

Overall Average: 1.03

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Yes	1663	98.3%
No	6	0.4%
Not Applicable	23	1.4%




Question 70:

Did the presenters give a balanced view of therapeutic options?

Total Responses: 1692

Overall Average: 1.15

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Yes	1565	92.5%
No	5	0.3%
Not Applicable	122	7.2%



Question 71:

If you perceived bias, please explain:

Total Responses: 1

**Overall
Average:**
n/a

Answer

- Nutrition club was one sided

1692 empty responses


Question 72:

If drugs/devices in a class were discussed, were other drugs/devices in that class mentioned as well?

Total Responses: 1692

Overall Average: 1.75

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Yes	1048	61.9%
No	19	1.1%
Not Applicable	625	36.9%




Question 73:

The syllabus/handouts were useful

Total Responses: 1692

Overall Average: 1.84

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Very	686	40.5%
Mostly	681	40.2%
Somewhat	238	14.1%
Not at All	87	5.1%




Question 74:

The learning objectives were met.

Total Responses: 1692

Overall Average: 1.36

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Very	1115	65.9%
Mostly	552	32.6%
Somewhat	22	1.3%
Not at All	3	0.2%




Question 75:

The content was relevant to my practice.

Total Responses: 1692

Overall Average: 1.39

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Very	1118	66.1%
Mostly	491	29%
Somewhat	79	4.7%
Not at All	4	0.2%




Question 76:

The evidence base for best practice recommendations was provided.

Total Responses: 1692

Overall Average: 1.48

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Always	941	55.6%
Often	698	41.3%
Sometimes	50	3%
Never	3	0.2%




Question 77:

Would you recommend this program to others?

Total Responses: 1692

Overall Average: 1.01

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Yes	1671	98.8%
No	21	1.2%



Question 78:

Please briefly comment about the program:

Total Responses: 108

**Overall
Average:**
n/a

Answer

- Good program!
- PAS is very busy and fun and interesting in terms of learning and networking! Love attending in person - much better than online / virtual !
- PAS 2022 met the high quality standard of past sessions
- Great
- there was too much overlap of the neonatal sessions. I wanted to go to all of them!
- I enjoyed the variety of topics and ability to see colleagues in person
- First time attending PAS, and I will be back. I learned a lot of practical knowledge to help me in my practice.
- No syllabus, so response to that Q would be "N/A".
- well-balanced and relevant
- recommendation would depend on the stage of academia the person is in and his/her personal subspecialty interests
- I mostly attended workshops which were hugely beneficial to my practice both clinically and in the medical education space.
- I would only go in person in future, if I can.
- cool meeting
- I did not like the big open time period on Sunday afternoon. I missed a number of concurrent sessions I wish I could have attended earlier, and then, Sunday afternoon...nothing.
- The app was not sufficiently functional.
- It was good to be back in person this year and meet old friends and connect. Also have recorded sessions for on demand after the conference
- Overall, great experience.
- This survey is too long

- The nephrology scientific programming was excellent all around! It was evident that there was a push to include junior faculty as invited speakers, and this made the conference immeasurably better! Overall it was a great experience.
- It was a good program with excellent workshops
- Outstanding
- Wonderful range of topics in each specialty
- NA
- Still the best venue for the pediatric clinical researcher, IMHO.
- Excellent program--learned things that will influence my practice
- Excellent program overall
- It remains an educational and fun meeting for academicians to interact.
- Absolutely. As a non-academic I thought that PAS would not be pertinent.j. Boy was I wrong! Excellent DEI and mental health sessions!
- Good
- Wonderful and exciting information
- I very much appreciated the coffee/tea/snacks twice a day.
- It was very good!
- Highly engaging and diverse. Any pediatrician (clinical or academic) could gain from this meeting!
- Will definitely recommend PAS. I grsatly appreciate the availability of the presentations
- always love PAS
- very broad range of topics and good presenters
- My favorite conference of the year for research with clinical connection
- The overall program was fantastic. Really enjoy PAS
- not as applicable to clinical practice and sessions for such providers are not well organized so they can easily focus on them.
- but if I had to choose, I would probably go to PHM over PAS next year; BTW, this is the LONGEST SURVEY EVER (please make shorter next year)

- well organized
- nice
- I am a pediatric intensivist and bioethicist. This is the fourth PAS meeting I've attended since completing training in 2000. Every time I have attended I was presenting at the meeting, and every time I swear I will never come back because there is so little PICU and ethics content that the meeting is useless for me. Again, this was true. It is a shame because there is so much that neonatologists and intensivists could learn from one another if there were sessions that discussed topics that overlapped. Instead, there was many sessions on preterm infants (which we don't see in the PICU), and virtually none on full-term infants with heart or lung disease, which we see a great deal in the PICU. I have always felt that PAS is not "my" meeting.
- Good variety of content. Excellent speakers who were knowledgeable and engaging.
- less overlap of sessions within SIG
- The only reason I said "no" in all honesty, for the above question, is because I prefer a conference with more clinically relevant topics. EBM I can use in my everyday practice in PHM. I recognize this is an excellent conference for others, it just was not my favorite for that reason.
- Very Good
- excellent for the right audience
- overall very useful conference
- Yes
- I liked the PAS labs. Workshops always interesting, and I find really helpful
- broad array of useful topics for pediatric hospital medicine
- Great program. Sad that so many interesting sessions run concurrently, but having recorded sessions available helps with this.
- Great!
- Great content but too many things in each time slot to choose between
- thoroughly enjoyed being in person!!!
- na

- Great meeting - was fun to be back in-person. Thank you!
- NA
- Some sessions unable to be attended as the room was over capacity (functional echo in neonates)
- Excellent conference. Very applicable topics that I hope to integrate into my practice
- Overall it was excellent! I took 25 pages of notes and created 2 pages of actions that I will take as a result of the sessions I attended, distilled from my notes.
- Great program
- The online program eventscribe is very well organized, but was frequently slow and freeze frequently. This program need major attention and revision.
- It was great to convene together in person. I did not realize how much I missed the in person meeting format. Thank you again!
- Some of the topics I was interested in occurred during my presentation session, so had to miss them.
- A good start for networking
- Excellent.
- Excellent
- This is always a great conference and i have attended it nearly for over 4 decades.
- Given growth in visibility of hospitalists, please consider how to elevate visibility of academic outpatient gen peds as an equally viable academic path for trainees (students, residents) coming to PAS.
- I regret that it is more difficult to recommend this program to others, since the amount of original research continues to decline. Not sure of the purpose of this meeting anymore.
- Ok
- I can't recommend the program to others based on the two major things I discussed-- too much downtime, and too much overlap of having the plenary sessions competing with the other sessions.
- Love the mental health paradigms - please continue to integrate themes of trauma-informed-informed care and support of the infant-mother dyad (family unit care) in future

conferences. Trauma integration and mitigation of long-term adverse childhood experiences (emotional, socio-behavioral and neurodevelopmental) are critical to the healthy development of children. And this wildly impacts the health of the family unit as a whole. One of the most vulnerable populations is the high risk infant. As a neonatologist, I believe to my core that our work starts on day 0 of admission to the NICU, and, with continued attention to this critical truth, we can impact the young children who survive the journey and trauma of their life-saving NICU course.

- Mostly because very little content was relevant to pediatric critical care medicine
- program for neonatology was great
- Overall excellent program with a wide range of interesting topics
- Broad array of offerings, lots of networking opportunities
- Please employ a better app. The current one is nearly useless and always a source of frustration.
- xx
- Denver was a great location with hotels very close to convention center.
- ynmwry
- great meeting
- Would love to see more interdisciplinary collaboration/inclusion
- Excellent meeting for pediatric research with very good networking opportunities.
- na
- Yes, because it is important to supplement on going learning and make people aware of latest developments.
- need more time! could barely attend anything outside of what we were presenting at different sessions given how short the meeting was
- Again, excellent overall program. Very satisfied.
- Overall, superb content and delivery.
- PAS is one of my favorite meetings
-

- Overall a great breadth and depth of presentations and topics covered.
- Overall great program
- The app was terrible
- PAS is an excellent venue for research in Pediatrics.
- helpful to hear what other institutions are doing.
- Positive to see pediatric sophistication in genomics/epigenomics, sad to see the migration of geneticists to other meetings
- PAS 2022 was okay but not as good as the prior in person sessions. Themes drastically limited options.
- Very much enjoyed the med-ed and leadership workshops.
- So glad the PAS program booklet was available since the online PAS program often stopped working
- getting cme and filling out this evaluation form difficult


1590 empty responses

Question 79:

How could this program be enhanced? (Select all that apply)

Total Responses: 2972**Overall Average: 4.38**

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
No changes needed	701	23.6%
Provide more opportunity for applying knowledge in a simulated setting (e.g., case discussions, simulators, workshops)	371	12.5%
Provide more interactivity (e.g., panel discussions, Q&A)	528	17.8%
Provide implementation tools (e.g., calculators, forms, algorithms for diagnosis or therapeutic interventions)	256	8.6%
More information on clinical relevance	286	9.6%
More information on differential diagnosis	78	2.6%
More information on content for all members of clinic or office team	51	1.7%
More information on patient management	174	5.9%
More information on patient education	95	3.2%
More information on clinical examples of successful implementation	279	9.4%
More information on how to monitor your own improvement	153	5.1%



Question 80:

Suggestions for future topics or programs:

Total Responses: 129

**Overall
Average:**
n/a

Answer

- How to manage complex children with major behavior and mental health challenges
- The poster sessions were very difficult to navigate because the topics were not grouped together
- more information on systems management and collapsing siloes in healthcare and health services
- Positive parenting counseling in the well child visit.
- More cutting edge science
- Continue to offer a combination of sessions focused on current research and clinical practice
- less content
- more intensive fundamental research presentations
- more focused club sessions would be useful
- no ideas
- I really liked the poster symposium format
- Add a fun run...This survey is too long
- POCUS workshops for neonatologists. I would sign up! Need to learn how to place lines under US.
- mental health, climate change, COVID
- HSR Track could use a refresh
- more DEI, more trauma informed care, more interdisciplinary work presentation.
- more ICU
- developing the next generation of diverse researchers
- A better AP- this is the worst one for any meeting I have ever used.
- addressing poverty as a SDOH
- Skip politically fraught social justice topics and stick with scientific agenda

- Spread program so talks relevant to a track can be attended instead of having to choose between high value talks
- Really enjoyed the equity focus of the meeting
- across lifespan approaches to research
- more cutting edge science
- Vaccinations, group A streptococcal infections
- More on climate change and its impact of child health
- More POCUS sessions. It would be great if you could attend these workshops and build credit toward accreditation.
- State of the ARt Brain MRI techniques
- Enjoy non invasive workshops.
- placenta
- More focus on intervention and implementation and less repetition of bad things are bad for kids
- Family and community engagement work
- Ecmo in neonates
- more medical education sessions
- Food insecurity
- More infectious disease sessions.
- Fewer simultaneous sessions
- pharmacogenetics for other specialties besides DBP
- more on multiple identifies, blending advocacy with leadership, advocating for health quality in wRVU environments, dealing with the new academic pressures we are all facing in our institutions.
- firearm injuries
- Not specifically asked - but the app needed work, too slow to the point of ineffective when needed.
- Create better tracks for professionals interested in quality
- Really loved the debate format for "hot topics." PIDS did one on antibiotic choices, but if other discussions in that regard could be done, that would be great.
- Motor development and ophthalmology/visual processing.
- Transport medicine workshop to include high-frequency percussive flow ventilation

- PAS content seems to be trending away from biology toward soft sciences. Both are important and necessary. But I worry that translational scientists are going to stop attending this meeting if biology-oriented talks are further marginalized.
- Implementation of arts into medicine
- more workshops to enhance research such as basic statistics, survey design, guidelines on writing a grant, etc
- keep promoting mental health themes
- Sex differences in management
- CLimate change, sustainability. Make our meeting more "green". I love how they offered compostable cups and utensils, and also giving free coffee and cookies was a really nice touch. I do not remember that from previous years, and people felt appreciated and positive about that.
- Don't have big gaps where meetings have finished yet the exhibits are closed - that left thousands of people milling about
- Parent and patient perspectives and important outcomes
- Too many simultaneous presentations one may be interested to attend
- Hopefully less covid next year
- more palliative care content
- please continue, do not abandon the DEI focus!
- improve
- Pediatric critical care medicine topics.
- Even more on DEI and social justice issues.
- No DEI in the introductory presidential sessions. More science.
- I would like to see a discussion regarding the ethics and clinical dilemmas associated with a shift in neonatal practice over the years to include more life-saving procedures to infants with genetic anomalies once considered "lethal" and the change in clinical practice to place the decision for transition of care to comfort care in the hands of the parents, instead of guided by the physician. I believe that we are keeping infants alive longer and using much more support that may cause harm and not improve quality of life. I also believe a discussion on the impact of pediatric care in the States that have adopted

strict abortion laws and any information regarding later child health, safety, hunger, and effects on parents.

- It would be nice to have more neurology. I come to PAS because it is the place for neonatology research. However, the rest of pediatric neurology is basically inexistant. I think that's unfortunate.
- cluster activities of respective societies on specific days.
- more pharmacology content
- More policy relevant topics.
- POTS (postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome)
- Cast sessions to conference hotel room televisions
- the future of general pediatrics; challenge of educating for primary care pediatrics, real world challenges for pediatrics-grants, economics and reality
- I'd like PAS to remain focused on science (across disciplines). Some of the above seems more fitting for what I think of for AAP NCE in terms of clinical care delivery/practice. That said, could there be a way to indicate broad categories of methods (in addition to the clinical context) for the abstract submissions?
- An implementation scientific session would have been incredible!
- More patient management
- Critical Care Transport and EMS
- Have enjoyed the Pro/Con discussions that have taken place in the past.
- Diet, Metabolomics, Immunology
- I'm interested in more cord blood research
- NA
- More time to present research; net-working time earlier in meeting
- Better and more in-depth scientific presentations.
- More sedation information!
- None at this time
- Select a different city from Denver
- More on guidelines and consensus for specific practices-- summarizing literature where conflicting information is present.

- except again, emphasize to speakers to slow down, many spoke too fast this year, young and anxious....
- Please include a whole tract on Autism Spectrum Disorder. The sessions were glaringly lacking and did not include parental perspective. With prevalence now 1 in 44, it is a significant public health and advocacy issue and PAS/SPR has not done enough to tackle it. Great conference but really disappointed in this area
- Sleep Medicine, especially in Adolescents.
- More debates
- It is just hard to get to everything you are interested in when so many (Neonatology, in my case) similar topics are presented at the same time.
- The question above perfectly exemplifies the downfall of the PAS meeting. There wasn't even a 'How Could This Program Be Enhanced' question regarding "research". Couldn't have made my case any better than this.
- NO critical race theory or climate change - stick to pediatrics
- Dedicated Suicide Prevention lectures, platforms, posters, etc
- Researchers with disabilities
- Love the mental health paradigms - please continue to integrate themes of trauma-informed-informed care and support of the infant-mother dyad (family unit care) in future conferences. Trauma integration and mitigation of long-term adverse childhood experiences (emotional, socio-behavioral and neurodevelopmental) are critical to the healthy development of children. And this wildly impacts the health of the family unit as a whole. One of the most vulnerable populations is the high risk infant. As a neonatologist, I believe to my core that our work starts on day 0 of admission to the NICU, and, with continued attention to this critical truth, we can impact the young children who survive the journey and trauma of their life-saving NICU course.
- increase in child abuse peds
- Hands on, practical clinically relevant cases and skills
- Increase basic and clinical science - this is not a selection available above

- Women in pediatrics issues, gender and health equity
- xx
- In person is soooo muc better
- jny5rwnmy
- How to perform good medicine, evidence based practices, not defensive medicine, and still stay away from medical practice. Maybe involve some medical attorneys for their input in these sessions.
- Incorporating patients and families directly as PAS conference attendees, presenters, workshop facilitators, etc.
- More original research presentations
- na
- Global health - top scientists from each continent presenting the pressing challenges in child health from their setting.
- add back a day! don't have sessions before 8 am! with time difference, can be very challenging
- More basic science research
- More scientific sessions like the rare disease session of ASPN.
- Collaboration with providers in other disciplines and with patients and parents, Impact of misinformation, Role of Public Health
- Research in neonatal nutrition, New NEC research, steroid use for BPD.
- Pharmacogenetic implementation: precision dosing implementation
- More workshops on Qualitative Research
- More about new neonatal technologies; more about procedures; more about clinical cases
-
- More pediatric toxicology
- Pediatric vascular function testing in various diseases.
- More on the future or general audience things
- Please keep the on demand option. It is great!!! I was able to attend in person this year but imagine that will not

always be the case in the future. It is so helpful to be able to listen to scientific sessions later on.

- I really liked the brief networking session for medical education on Saturday afternoon. there were > 100 people there and I heard some projects get discussed and folks networked to meet collaborators.
- precision medicine; utilization of genomics and epigenomics in everyday practice
- more medical education related topics
- NA
- improve tech
- implications on the health effects of cannabis legalization- numerous topics
- good

1567 empty responses

Practice Change


Question 81:

Do you intend to make practice change as a result of this program? (Select one answer)

Total Responses: 1515

Overall Average: 1.5

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Yes	805	53.1%
Possibly	662	43.7%
No, because	48	3.2%




Question 82:

No, because (Select one answer)

Total Responses: 48

Overall Average: 1.25

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
confirmed current practice	38	79.2%
not convinced of need to change	8	16.7%
prohibitive barriers	2	4.2%



Do you foresee any barriers to implementation?


Question 83:

Insurance reimbursement

Total Responses: 1230

Overall Average: 1.28

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
None / Minimal	893	72.6%
Sizeable	326	26.5%
Insurmountable	11	0.9%




Question 84:
Formulary

Total Responses: 1080

Overall Average: 1.17

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
None / Minimal	903	83.6%
Sizeable	172	15.9%
Insurmountable	5	0.5%




Question 85:
Cost effectiveness

Total Responses: 1197

Overall Average: 1.32

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
None / Minimal	815	68.1%
Sizeable	378	31.6%
Insurmountable	4	0.3%




Question 86:
Time management

Total Responses: 1286

Overall Average: 1.51

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
None / Minimal	655	50.9%
Sizeable	601	46.7%
Insurmountable	30	2.3%




Question 87:
Administrative/Support staff

Total Responses: 1245

Overall Average: 1.52

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
None / Minimal	641	51.5%
Sizeable	557	44.7%
Insurmountable	47	3.8%




Question 88:
Patient compliance

Total Responses: 1195

Overall Average: 1.27

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
None / Minimal	874	73.1%
Sizeable	316	26.4%
Insurmountable	5	0.4%



Do you intend to implement the following?


Question 89:

Apply emerging evidence-based research and technology to design and/or modify strategies to implement the appropriate innovations and technology in practice.

Total Responses: 1300

Overall Average: 1.43

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Yes	975	75%
No	89	6.8%
Already Implementing	236	18.2%




Question 90:

Include opportunities and new tools for increased basic science and clinical research in the medical education curriculum.

Total Responses: 1245

Overall Average: 1.46

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Yes	873	70.1%
No	176	14.1%
Already Implementing	196	15.7%



Practice Change


Question 91:

Please rate your knowledge or confidence level (before)

Total Responses: 1692

Net Promoter Score: -37

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Not Likely At All	2	0.1%
	7	0.4%
	21	1.2%
	47	2.8%
	87	5.1%
	289	17.1%
	318	18.8%
	434	25.7%
	346	20.4%
	112	6.6%
Very Likely	29	1.7%




Question 92:

Please rate your knowledge or confidence level (after)

Total Responses: 1692

Net Promoter Score: 11

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Not Likely At All	1	0.1%
	3	0.2%
	0	0%
	9	0.5%
	17	1%
	96	5.7%
	158	9.3%
	334	19.7%
	602	35.6%
	406	24%
Very Likely	66	3.9%




Question 93:

Please rate your knowledge or confidence level (before)

Total Responses: 1685

Net Promoter Score: -37

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Not Likely At All	6	0.4%
	4	0.2%
	23	1.4%
	59	3.5%
	82	4.9%
	291	17.3%
	302	17.9%
	422	25%
	358	21.2%
	108	6.4%
Very Likely	30	1.8%




Question 94:

Please rate your knowledge or confidence level (after)

Total Responses: 1685

Net Promoter Score: 6

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Not Likely At All	5	0.3%
	3	0.2%
	2	0.1%
	13	0.8%
	22	1.3%
	105	6.2%
	163	9.7%
	361	21.4%
	590	35%
	353	20.9%
Very Likely	68	4%




Question 95:

Please rate your knowledge or confidence level (before)

Total Responses: 1692

Net Promoter Score: -28

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Not Likely At All	3	0.2%
	5	0.3%
	14	0.8%
	39	2.3%
	73	4.3%
	266	15.7%
	268	15.8%
	439	25.9%
	391	23.1%
	148	8.7%
Very Likely	46	2.7%




Question 96:

Please rate your knowledge or confidence level (after)

Total Responses: 1692

Net Promoter Score: 9

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Not Likely At All	2	0.1%
	2	0.1%
	0	0%
	10	0.6%
	21	1.2%
	112	6.6%
	168	9.9%
	353	20.9%
	556	32.9%
	383	22.6%
Very Likely	85	5%




Question 97:

Please rate your knowledge or confidence level (before)

Total Responses: 1685

Net Promoter Score: -30

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Not Likely At All	3	0.2%
	3	0.2%
	16	0.9%
	39	2.3%
	79	4.7%
	252	15%
	287	17%
	437	25.9%
	399	23.7%
	129	7.7%
Very Likely	41	2.4%



Question 98:

Please rate your knowledge or confidence level (after)

Total Responses: 1685

Net Promoter Score: 7

Answer	# Responses	Percentage
Not Likely At All	2	0.1%
	2	0.1%
	1	0.1%
	12	0.7%
	17	1%
	105	6.2%
	175	10.4%
	351	20.8%
	583	34.6%
	350	20.8%
Very Likely	87	5.2%

